EXHIBIT 1

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 2 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 3 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	
	For the Customers:
3	
	HELEN DAVIS CHAITMAN, Esq.
4	Chaitman, L.L.P.
	465 Park Avenue
5	New York, New York 10022
	(908) 303-4568
6	hchaitman@chaitmanllp.com
7	
	For the Trustee:
8	
•	DAVID J. SHEEHAN, Esq.
9	AMANDA E. FEIN, Esq.
10	Baker & Hostetler, L.L.P.
10	45 Rockefeller Plaza
	New York, New York 10111 (212) 589-4616
11	dsheehan@bakerlaw.com
12	dsheenah@bakellaw.com
13	For the Witness:
14	PETER A. GOLDMAN, Esq.
	Attorney at Law
15	12 Fairlawn Parkway
	Rye Brook, New York 10573
16	(914) 937-6857
	pagoldman45@gmail.com
17	
18	The Videographer:
19	Robert Collier
20	CONFIDENTIAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF BERNARD L.
21	MADOFF, taken by the Customers, at the Federal
22	Correctional Institution, Butner Medium I, Old NC
23	Highway 75, Butner, North Carolina, on the 20th day of
24	December, 2016, at 8:52 a.m., before Lisa A. DeGroat,
25	Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public.

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 3 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 4 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 3
1	CONTENTS
2	The Witness: Bernard L. Madoff Examination
3	By Ms. Chaitman 6
4	
5	
6	INDEX OF THE EXHIBITS
7	Madoff For Identification
8	Exhibit 1 Order Authorizing the Deposition . 4
9	Exhibit 2 3/12/09 transcript
10	Exhibit 3 11/21/11 transcript
11	Exhibit 4 8/11/09 transcript
12	Exhibit 5 Handwritten notes
13	Exhibit 6 Lazard article 61
14	Exhibit 7 Dubinsky report 81
15	Exhibit 8 Order confirmation
16	Exhibit 9 14.4 "The Secondary Market" 113
17	Exhibit 10 Handwritten notes
18	Exhibit 11 Freeman article
19	Exhibit 12 Authorization letter
20	Exhibit 13 Authorization letter
21	Exhibit 14 Blecker account statement 163
22	
23	
24	
25	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 5 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 4
1	(MADOFF EXHIBIT 1 WAS MARKED FOR
2	IDENTIFICATION.)
3	* * * *
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the
5	record.
6	Please note that the microphones are
7	sensitive, and they pick up whispering and
8	private conversations. Please turn off all
9	cellphones or place them away from the
10	microphones, as they can interfere with the
11	deposition audio. The recording will continue
12	until all parties agree to go off the record.
13	My name is Bob Collier, representing
14	Veritext Legal Solutions. The date today is
15	December 20th, 2016, and the time is
16	approximately 8:52.
17	This deposition is being held at Butner
18	Federal Correction Facility, located at 3000 Old
19	75 Highway, Butner, North Carolina 27509 and is
20	being taken by counsel for the customers and
21	trustees.
22	The caption of this case is In re:
23	Securities Investor Protection Corporation,
24	Plaintiff-Applicant, v. Bernard L. Madoff
25	Investment Securities, L.L.C., Defendant.

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 5 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 6 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 5
1	And this case is being held in the
2	United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District
3	of New York. Case number 08-01789 (SMB). The
4	name of the witness is Bernard L. Madoff.
5	At this time the attorneys present in
6	the room and everyone attending remotely will
7	identify themselves and the parties they
8	represent.
9	MS. CHAITMAN: Helen Davis Chaitman, of
10	Chaitman, L.L.P., on behalf of various defendants
11	in the good faith clawback actions.
12	MR. GOLDMAN: Peter A. Goldman. I
13	represent Bernard Madoff.
14	MR. SHEEHAN: David J. Sheehan, with
15	Baker Hostetler, attorneys for the trustee Irving
16	Picard.
17	MS. FEIN: Amanda Fein, Baker
18	Hostetler, for the trustee.
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Our court reporter
20	is Lisa DeGroat, representing Veritext Legal
21	Solutions, and she will swear in the witness, and
22	we can proceed.
23	
24	* * * *
25	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 7 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 6
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	Whereupon,
3	BERNARD L. MADOFF,
4	having been duly sworn,
5	was examined and testified as follows:
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR CUSTOMERS
7	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
8	Q. Good morning, Mr. Madoff. I want to hand
9	you what I've marked as Madoff Exhibit 1, which is an
10	order that was entered by Judge Bernstein permitting
11	this deposition.
12	And there are specific topics that are
13	defined in this as permitted topics of of
14	questioning, and I'm going to limit my questions to
15	those topics. This is Exhibit 1.
16	Just let me know when you've finished
17	reading through seven the the permitted topics
18	on this
19	A. Oh.
20	Q. Page three. It's it's on page three.
21	A. Okay.
22	Q. Yeah.
23	A. Understood.
24	Q. Okay. Good. Thank you.
25	Mr. Madoff, it's been actually over eight

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 8 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

P	a	a	e	7

- years since you confessed on December 11th, 2008. At that point in time can you just briefly explain what your emotional state was about confessing at that moment?
 - A. Relieved, I guess you would say.
 - Q. Can you explain that?
 - A. Well, the -- you know, I had committed this crime over a period of roughly 16 years, and the -- I realized that, you know, certainly towards the end of it that I could not go on any further.

And I was confronted by my brother and my sons, because they realized that I -- I was not my normal state, mental state, and that was when I acknowledged, you know, my wrongdoing and the fact that I was operating a -- a fraud or a Ponzi scheme.

And notified my wife at the same time, went home that afternoon to discuss it with my wife and admit this to my wife. And then my sons turned me in to the U.S. attorney at the advice of their counsel, which was Paul Weiss.

And I, you know, met with the -- a group of attorneys and the trustee and -- and the SEC and the U.S. attorney a couple of days after that, and, you know, discussed what had happened.

And they asked me the same thing that you

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 9 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 8
1	just asked me, you know, about my mental state, and I
2	said that, you know, that I was relieved to to have
3	this thing over and get if off my chest. And they
4	all, you know, acknowledged, you know, that they must
5	have felt the same way.
6	Q. Now, were you represented by counsel at the
7	time that you met with the U.S. attorney and the
8	other
9	A. Yes.
10	Q people?
11	Okay. And who was your counsel?
12	A. Ira Sorkin.
13	Q. And
14	A. From well, at that time he was with he
15	changed firms.
16	MR. GOLDMAN: Dickstein Shapiro.
17	THE WITNESS: Huh?
18	MR. GOLDMAN: Wasn't it Dickstein
19	Shapiro?
20	MR. GOLDMAN: Off the record.
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
22	record. The time is 8:58.
23	(RECESS FROM 8:58 A.M. TO 8:59 A.M.)
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record.
25	The time is 8:59.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 10 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 9
1	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
2	Q. Let me start again with my question before
3	we were so rudely interrupted.
4	At at the time that you confessed to the
5	government officials were you represented by counsel?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And who was your counsel?
8	A. Ira Sorkin.
9	Q. How long had you known Ira Sorkin?
10	A. Oh, many, many years. Probably at least 20
11	years.
12	Q. And had he ever worked for the SEC?
13	A. I am not sure. No. He didn't work for the
14	SEC. He was he was a U.S. attorney, though.
15	Q. Okay. And is that the same Ira Sorkin who
16	represented Avellino & Bienes when
17	A. Yes, it is.
18	Q when the SEC
19	A. Yes. He
20	Q investigated them in 19
21	A. In 1992.
22	Q. In 1992. Let me finish my question, and
23	then I'll let you finish your answer. Okay? I
24	promise.
25	Now, when I don't want you to disclose

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 11 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 10
1	any attorney/client privileged information between you
2	and Mr. Sorkin, but was Mr. Sorkin surprised when you
3	told him that you had been operating a Ponzi scheme?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. In fact, had any family members of
6	Mr. Sorkin invested through you?
7	A. I believe his his his mother and
8	father had an account with me through Avellino &
9	Bienes.
10	Q. Okay. And so he represented Avellino &
11	Bienes with respect to the 1992 SEC investigation?
12	A. Yes. That's correct.
13	Q. And thereafter his parents invested with
14	you?
15	A. Well, I think they were a client prior to
16	that.
17	Q. Okay. And did they did they continue to
18	be clients after that?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. They did?
21	A. I believe.
22	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that if
23	Mr. Sorkin had come to learn that Avellino & Bienes
24	had done something illegal, that he would not have had
25	his parents continue to invest through you?

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 12 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

wrongdoing by me.

Page 11 MR. SHEEHAN: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I -- you know, I don't know -- well, the -- the issue with Avellino & Bienes really had nothing to do with any

It was the fact that they were deemed to be operating an unregistered investment partnership, and the SEC, you know, acknowledged to me that there was nothing wrong with what I was doing.

And that if they were willing to register, I could continue register -- registering -- continue investing for them. I refused to at that time and insisted upon returning their moneys and closing out their account.

So, as far as the SEC, or as far as any of Avellino & Bienes' clients are concerned, based upon everything that happened, none of them would have any reason to feel that I was doing anything wrong. It was Avellino & Bienes that had the problem.

BY MS. CHAITMAN:

Q. Now, you recall that you appeared before Judge Chin and entered a guilty plea in June of 2009?

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 12 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 13 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 12
1	A. Yes.
2	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. And I'm going to
3	mark the transcript of that proceeding as
4	Exhibit 2.
5	(MADOFF EXHIBIT 2 WAS MARKED FOR
6	IDENTIFICATION.)
7	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
8	Q. Mr. Madoff, do you have a good recollection
9	of that day?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. If you'd be good enough to turn to
12	page 25.
13	A. Okay.
14	Q. Now, just to put this into context, you
15	confessed on December 11th, 2008, and Mr. Sorkin began
16	representing you at that time; right?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And you entered a guilty plea actually,
19	it was March 12th, 2009. Do you see that, that's the
20	date
21	A. Uh-huh.
22	Q on the first page?
23	A. Yeah.
24	Q. Okay. And during the period, from
25	December 11th until March 12th, you had been living in

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 14 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 13
1	your apartment; is that right?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And is it fair to say that you had a lot of
4	time to think about what you had done?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. When you spoke to Judge Chin on March 12th,
7	2009, did you tell the truth about what you had done?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. I want to read, beginning on line 12 of page
LO	25, the the one paragraph. Quote, "To the best of
11	my recollection, my fraud began in the early 1990s.
12	At that time the country was in a recession, and this
13	posed a problem for investments in the securities
14	markets."
15	"Nevertheless, I had received investment
16	commitments from certain institutional clients and
17	understood that those clients, like all professional
18	investors, expected to see their investments
19	outperform the market."
20	"While I never promised a specific rate of
21	return to my client, I felt compelled to satisfy my
22	clients' expectations at any cost. I, therefore,
23	claimed that I employed an investment strategy I had
24	developed called the split-strike conversion strategy
25	to falsely give the appearance to clients that I had

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 15 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 14

achieved the results I believed they expected."

Is that statement 100 percent true?

A. Yes. However, there's -- if I understand this statement, it said that -- that -- "to falsely give the appearance," implies that I had achieved the results. When I took the money and I started the strategy, the strategy -- there was nothing in the strategy to give a false impression.

In other words, I was the -- you know, I intended to invest the money. The fact that I invested -- that I couldn't invest it because of market conditions, but then shorted the strategy to the clients, then it was -- it -- it gave the false impression, but that -- it was not my intention when I first developed the strategy or made the commitments to the clients to -- to not invest the money at that time.

- Q. Okay. So, if I understand you correctly, when you developed the split-strike conversion strategy, your intention was to carry it out --
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. -- honestly?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. But you didn't have the money to do that, and so you --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 15 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 16 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 15
1	A. I didn't have the market conditions to do
2	that.
3	Q. Okay. So you started sending statements to
4	clients which were not accurate, because they
5	reflected purchases of securities that did not occur?
6	A. Yes. That's correct.
7	Q. Okay. Now, you understood that this was a
8	fraud on your customers; right?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And is it your testimony that you never
11	perpetrated a fraud on your customers prior to the
12	initiation of the split-strike conversion strategy?
13	A. That's correct.
14	MR. SHEEHAN: Object object to the
15	form.
16	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I didn't hear
17	what you just
18	MR. SHEEHAN: I said I objected to the
19	form.
20	THE WITNESS: Oh.
21	MR. SHEEHAN: We have an understanding
22	that all objections are preserved, as subject to
23	objections as to form.
24	MS. CHAITMAN: Right, but what is your
25	objection to that form? I just want to

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 17 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 16 1 MR. SHEEHAN: You're testifying. 2 MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. Okay. 3 MR. SHEEHAN: All right. BY MS. CHAITMAN: 4 5 0. Mr. Madoff, can you tell us in your own words whether you had ever -- prior to the 6 7 split-strike conversion strategy ever misrepresented a 8 purchase or a sale on a customer's statement so that 9 the customer was misled? 10 A. Yes. 11 0. When? 12 Α. Well, basically after the market crashed in 13 1987, certain customers that were not doing the split-strike conversion strategy, but were involved in 14 a -- in a normal market-hedging strategy, where there 15 16 were commitments on both my side and the client's side 17 to keep the strategy open, and -- because of the 18 market crash they were forcing me to liquidate part of their -- their strategy in violation of a commitment 19 20 that -- that they had made to me and to others. 21 That was done, and that triggered in the 22 years after that, which I quess started primarily --23 that went really from the early '90s on through, you 24 know, 2008, where those clients gave instructions to

do some backdating of transactions, and, you know,

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 18 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 17
1	liquidating of securities or and so on to generate
2	certain losses that they deemed desirable for tax
3	purposes.
4	So I was aware of the fact that those
5	and, you know and told the clients that they were,
6	you know, basically, you know, running into a tax
7	violation problem. So that had nothing to do with the
8	split-strike conversion, and that was
9	I don't know if I've answered your question.
10	Q. Okay. Well, we've gone into a different
11	subject, but let me, if I may, just come back to my
12	question, and perhaps I misstated it.
13	What I was really asking you I'm not
14	asking you whether a client directed you to do
15	something illegal.
16	A. Right.
17	Q. Because that would not be a fraud on that
18	client; right?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Yeah.
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. Okay. So I want you to limit
23	A. It was a violation for probably the SEC
24	regulations on my part, but it was not a fraud. It
25	was it was the clients that, you know, instructed

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 19 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 18
1	what should be done to employees in my firm.
2	Q. Okay.
3	A. My employees in my firm.
4	Q. Okay. We'll come back to that, but I want
5	you to focus now solely on the situation where you are
6	making a misrepresentation to a client and the client
7	doesn't know the truth.
8	A. Okay.
9	Q. Prior to the split-strike conversion
10	strategy did you ever orally or in writing make a
11	misrepresentation to the client where the client
12	didn't know the truth?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Are you absolutely sure about that?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Now, to the best of your recollection do you
17	remember when in 1992 you started to do the
18	split-strike conversion strategy?
19	MR. SHEEHAN: Object to the form.
20	THE WITNESS: No. I would just say it
21	was the early part of '92.
22	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
23	Q. Okay. But we can look at statements, and we
24	would be
25	A. Yeah.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 20 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 19
1	Q able to recognize that
2	A. Yes.
3	Q that a statement would show that
4	trading strategy; isn't that true?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Okay.
7	A. It should.
8	Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that if we
9	found the first split-strike conversion statement,
L O	that you would say that that's when the fraud began?
L1	A. When I failed well, it's I had
L2	developed a strategy before '92, the split-strike
L3	strategy before '92. And I might have actually done
L 4	some transactions, but I was it wasn't until
L5	sometime after '92, when the market conditions
L 6	changed, that I was not able to continue doing the
L 7	split-strike, and that's when the fraud began.
18	Q. So how would we determine what market
L 9	conditions should we look for in order to determine
20	when you actually stopped buying the positions that
21	were reflected on the statements?
22	A. Well, you could look for the you can look
23	for the transactions and well, you would you
24	could look at the market conditions that occurred, you
25	know, in '92.

Page 20

It was actually -- this started during the Gulf War situation. I don't remember the exact dates of when I -- when I started or stopped it, but there -- '92 is a ballpark number.

- Q. What were the specific market conditions that led to your not actually purchasing the securities that were reflected on the statements?
- A. There wasn't enough volatility or really volume to do what I wanted to do for the strategy.
- Q. Explain to me what you mean by that. You mean there weren't enough shares of the securities that you were --
- A. It was a combination of not -- the strategy was basically a strategy that required sort of an upmarket, a market that would appreciate. So at that time the markets were in a very fragile state, because of the -- the Gulf War, and there was -- the recession had already started.

So in order for the strategy to work successfully you basically had to have an upward bias in the market for the -- for the basket of securities that were involved in the strategy to move towards the upward strike price of the call options that you sold for the strategy.

MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. Okay. Now I'd

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 21 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 22 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

ľ	Page 21
1	like to mark as Exhibit 3 the transcript of the
2	plea of Mr. DiPascali.
3	(MADOFF EXHIBIT 3 WAS MARKED FOR
4	IDENTIFICATION.)
5	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
6	Q. Now, Mr. Madoff, I'd like you to if you'd
7	look at the transcript, the first page, you can see
8	this is an August 11th, 2009 transcript before Judge
9	Sullivan in the United States of America versus Frank
10	DiPascali. Do you remember when he plead guilty?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. If you'd be good enough to turn to
13	page 44.
14	A. Uh-huh.
15	Q. The the court is asking Mr. DiPascali
16	to to read his plea, and the court says, and I
17	quote, "Let me ask you to read slowly, so that the
18	court reporter can get it down."
19	And then the defendant says, Mr. DiPascali
20	says, and I quote, "I am standing here today to say
21	that from the early 1990s until December of 2008"
22	MR. SHEEHAN: Where are you?
23	THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
24	MS. CHAITMAN: Page 44.
25	THE WITNESS: Page 44.

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 22 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 23 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 22
MR. SHEEHAN: I think we may have the
wrong copy.
MS. CHAITMAN: You have the wrong
THE WITNESS: Right.
MR. SHEEHAN: This is Kugel.
MR. GOLDMAN: Right.
MS. CHAITMAN: Oh, this is Kugel. Oh,
I'm sorry.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Your mike. Your
mike.
MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. Sorry.
THE WITNESS: David, could you do me a
favor and just get me a soda or something to
drink?
MR. GOLDMAN: Do you want a Pepsi?
THE WITNESS: Yeah, anything is fine.
I'm losing my voice.
MR. GOLDMAN: Could I just ask for a
clarification. I know we're not using the
exhibit right now, but the Bates stamp, "Public,"
at the bottom, does that mean that's open?
MR. SHEEHAN: Correct.
MR. GOLDMAN: Is it under the
confidentiality order? I just want to make sure
I'm clear on what the confidentiality

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 23 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 24 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 23
1	MR. SHEEHAN: No. That's a public
2	document.
3	MR. GOLDMAN: Okay. Okay. Fine. You
4	can continue while I get his soda.
5	MR. SHEEHAN: Sure.
6	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. Are we back on
7	the record?
8	Okay. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 4
9	what I had intended to mark as Exhibit 3, which
10	is the DiPascali plea.
11	THE WITNESS: Do you want page 44
12	again?
13	MS. CHAITMAN: Yes.
14	(MADOFF EXHIBIT 4 WAS MARKED FOR
15	IDENTIFICATION.)
16	MS. CHAITMAN: And if you'd go to page
17	44. Everybody, just make sure that you've got
18	the right document.
19	THE WITNESS: Okay.
20	MS. CHAITMAN: Everybody is okay?
21	MR. SHEEHAN: Yup.
22	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
23	Q. Good. Okay. So I'm going to read when the
24	judge tells DiPascali he can begin. It's on line 18
25	of page 44.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 25 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 24
"I am standing here today to say that from
the early 1990s until December of 2008 I helped Bernie
Madoff and other people carry out the fraud that hurt
thousands of people."
"I am guilty, and I want to explain a little
bit about what I did and how I want everybody to know
that I take responsibility for my conduct."
And then if you'd skip to page 46, line
nine. And I quote, "From at least the early 1990s
through December of 2008 there was one simple fact
that Bernie Madoff knew, that I knew and that other
people knew, but that we never told the clients, nor
did we tell the regulators, like the SEC. No
purchases of" "or" I think it should have
been "or sales of securities were actually taking
place in their accounts. It was all fake. It was all
fictitious. It was wrong, and I knew it was wrong at
the time."
And then the court said, "When did you
realize that?"
And DiPascali said, "In the late '80s or
early '90s."
And then if you look on page 47.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Page line five. The Mr. DiPascali

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 26 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 25
said, "Most of the time the clients' money just simply
went into a bank account in New York that Bernie
Madoff controlled. Between the early '90s and
December 2008, at Bernie Madoff's direction, and
together with others, I did the following things."
"On a regular basis I told clients over the
phones and using wires that transactions on national
securities exchanges were taking place in their
account when I knew that no such transactions were
indeed taking place."
"I also took steps to conceal from clients,
from the SEC and from auditors the fact that no actual
security trades were taking place and to perpetuate
the illusion that they actually were."
Now, Mr. DiPascali in one place in two
places he said it was the early '90s, and in one place
he said it was the late '80s or early '90s.
When to the best of your recollection did
Mr. DiPascali become involved in dealing with
investment advisory customers?
A. Well, he he knew that I was doing
customer business, you know, you know, in the '80s.
Primarily the what I was just talking about with
some large clients and also the convertible arbitrage
clients, he was aware he was aware of that

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 27 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 26
1	business.
2	He was also aware that what happened in '87
3	and and during the crash that, you know, there was
4	some there was some there was some clients that
5	were wanting to sell out of their positions that they
6	had had because of their concern about the market.
7	And that I had, you know, objected to that,
8	telling them that this was in violation of their
9	commitments that they had made originally. So he was
10	aware of the fact that those discussions were taking
11	place.
12	And he was also aware of the fact that I was
13	doing actually doing the convertible arbitrage
14	transactions prior to that.
15	Q. Okay. But in terms of the the crime that
16	he's admitting to here
17	A. Right.
18	Q can you shed any light on whether, in
19	fact, this began in the late '80s or the early '90s?
20	A. Oh, it it began, as I said, in the '90s.
21	Q. Okay. All right. And when you say in the
22	'90s, are you fairly certain it was 1992?
23	A. That was yes. That was the date the
24	approximate date that I said it started, because
25	that's when I really started to take in the money to

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 27 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 28 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 27
1	do the split-strike trades.
2	Q. Okay. All right. Now I'd like to go to
3	MS. CHAITMAN: You-all have
4	Mr. DiPascali's transcript?
5	MR. SHEEHAN: Mr. Kugel's.
6	MS. CHAITMAN: I'm sorry. Mr. Kugel's
7	transcript?
8	MR. SHEEHAN: Yup.
9	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
10	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. Can I just have
11	Kugel's transcript for a second?
12	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
13	Q. All right. So I've marked this as
14	Exhibit 3. Now, if you'd be good enough to look at
15	page 32. I'm going to read, beginning on line four.
16	This is Mr. Kugel's plea, and he gave this plea on
17	November 21st, 2011.
18	"Specifically beginning" it should be
19	"in the early '70s until the collapse of BLMIS in
20	December of 2008 I helped create fake backdated
21	trades."
22	"I provided historical trade information
23	sorry first to Annette Bongiorno and later to Joann
24	Crupi and others, which enabled them to create fake
25	trades that when included on the account statements

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 29 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 28

and trade confirmations of investment advisory clients gave the appearance of profitable trading, when, in fact, no trading had actually occurred."

"I helped Bongiorno, Crupi and others create these fake backdated trades based on historical stock prices and were executed only on paper."

Now, during the 1970s and '80s who within the firm was responsible to deal with the clients that you've described were involved in transactions that you felt were violations of either the tax laws or the securities laws?

- A. Well, first of all, I was the only one that dealt with the clients, period, as far as doing the trading.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So none of this makes sense to me, because I think David Kugel started in the '70s working for me.

REDACTED

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 30 of 274
*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 29

1 EDACTE 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. Okay. 10 And there were some others, but primarily A. 11 those. 12 Q. Okay. And --13 A. Those are my four big clients. 14 Q. And did each of the four big clients have 15 multiple accounts? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. So they might have had accounts for their 18 children or their --19 A. Yes. They all did. Okay. So can I refer to them as the four 20 Q. 21 families? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. When did you begin doing business 24 with the four families? Was it at different times? 25 Α. Varied times. Yeah. It started from the

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 31 of 274

*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

		*** CONFIDENTIAL ***	
		Page 30	
1	early '6	0s, you know, through 2008.	
2	Q.	Okay. Let me just take them one by one.	
3	Carl Sha	piro?	
4	A.	Yes. He was probably the first one.	
5	Q.	And would that date back to the 1960s?	
6	Α.	Yes.	
7	Q.	Norman Levy?	
8	Α.	Probably started in the '70s.	
9	Q.	Okay. Stanley Chais?	
10	A.	In in the '60s and '70s.	
11			
12	K	H.I.) A (
13	T		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 32 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 32

REDACTED

Q. Okay. And who among your employees dealt with the four families?

A. Well, I dealt with the families as -- you know, initially with them, but when it came to doing bookkeeping work, backdating their trades or changing statements, which I looked at as an SEC violation, that they were -- they were dealing directly with primarily Annette Bongiorno, who was the bookkeeper at that time.

Jodi Crupi came on -- I'm not sure. It was a little bit later, but she was not really involved in the -- handling the four clients, certainly with their

	*** CONFIDENTIAL ***
	Page 33
1	account statements and so on. That was primarily
2	Annette Annette Bongiorno's responsibility.
3	Q. Okay. So, just to be clear, Annette
4	Bongiorno would have direct contact with the four
5	families?
6	A. With yes.
7	Q. And when we say, "the four families," are
8	you saying that Norman Levy himself would call Annette
9	directly or would he have someone in his office?
10	A. Norman Levy or his accountant, which at that
11	time was Adam Woltz, who is no longer alive.
12	Q. Okay. And with respect to Picower, would it
13	be Picower directly or
14	A. Primarily it was Picower directly and his
15	assistant, which was who was April Freilich.
16	Q. Okay. And with respect to Stanley Chais?
17	A. It was primarily Stanley Chais himself.
18	Q. And with respect to Carl Shapiro?
19	A. It was Carl Shapiro or it was his
20	accountant, who was with Coopers & Lybrand.
21	Q. Okay. And, just to be very clear about
22	this, because Mr. Kugel is suggesting something quite
23	different, I just want to be very clear, is it your
24	testimony that these transactions for the four

families were done specifically at their direction?

Page 34

- A. Yes. David Kugel had nothing to do with these clients. I don't think he's ever spoke to them at this stage. What did he say?
 - Q. Okay. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. David Kugel's work for me was strictly involving arbitrage securities, convertible bond arbitrage securities. It had nothing to do with -- with the trades, the hedged trades that they were doing to get long-term gains.

In other words, there's -- David Kugel was hired as an arbitrageur in convertible securities, and that's all he ever was involved in. He was not involved in -- and he would have no reason to give Annette Bongiorno or anybody else in my firm any instructions to create any trades, because they were not -- because he didn't -- he only dealt in convertible securities.

And these people were -- were primarily dealing with, you know, just long positions. They did have convertible arbitrage accounts, like everybody else did in the very early stages, but most of their money was involved in these long position accounts, as we called them, which was handled by -- by me and by Annette Bongiorno. And David Kugel, to my knowledge, never touched anything with those trades.

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit 1 Pg 35 of 273
08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 36 of 274
*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 35
1	Q. Now, with respect to the convertible
2	arbitrage trading that you did for the investment
3	advisory customers from from the 1980s, was that
4	all actual trading that was conducted?
5	A. Correct.
6	MR. SHEEHAN: Object to the form.
7	Sorry.
8	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
9	Q. Sitting here today, Mr. Madoff, are you
10	absolutely certain that the convertible arbitrage
11	trading, which was done for your investment advisory
12	customers, was executed trading which was actually
13	performed by your office?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Okay. Is there any doubt in your mind about
16	that?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Now, did David Kugel report to you with
19	respect to his trading?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And was his compensation determined based
22	upon his trading performance?
23	A. Correct. All my traders were compensated
24	that way.
25	Q. So tell me how that worked. Did he have to

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 37 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 36

on a periodic basis supply to you reports of his trades?

A. He -- well, he -- you know, he doesn't -- he doesn't have -- the way the -- the way the firm was always operated, which was typical of all firms like ours, market-making or dealer firms, each market-maker, which David Kugel was one of them, that made market in convertible securities, which was really basically all he did.

They -- their compensation is based upon a percentage of their net trading profits. So I, particularly at that time, when the firm was much smaller, was very familiar with what the firm's trading profits were with each trader.

And David Kugel was one of a number of convertible bond traders in my firm. You know, I always monitored what their -- what their long and shorts were, what their profits were and all.

And, of course, it was then -- each trader had his -- had his own account that was kept by the bookkeepers in the firm, and that -- and that was Annette Bongiorno -- not Annette Bongiorno. She had nothing to do with that.

That was, you know, handled by the operations people in the firm. And they got a report.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 38 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 37
1	We had a report at the end of each month, which was
2	monitored on a, you know, daily basis as to what their
3	profits were.
4	Q. So let me just understand this. Was there a
5	system in place where you had the ability to monitor
6	on a daily basis what David Kugel
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. What trades he did?
9	A. Yeah.
10	Q. And how often would you monitor that?
11	A. Pretty much daily.
12	Q. So you would come into the office and
13	monitor on a daily basis what each of the traders had
14	done the day before?
15	A. At the time he's talking about, yes.
16	Q. Okay. And when you say, "at the time he's
17	talking about," you mean the '70s and the '80s?
18	A. Well, in certainly in the '70s. You
19	know, in the '80s my sons came into the firm, and
20	the the SEC has very specific regulations when it
21	comes to market-making firms.
22	And it's the regulation requires there to
23	be a Chinese wall established with the firm, where
24	each trader is only privy to his own trading profits
25	or losses and his positions or risks.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 39 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 38

And there has to be a -- we had two different types of operations. We had proprietary trading, which is trading for the firm's own account, and market-making, which is also for the firm's own account, but involve making a two-sided market in -- in securities, particularly convertible securities, which was our specialty at that time.

So the -- the trader -- each trader only knows what he is buying and selling. He has no idea what the firm is buying and selling. He has no idea what the firm's -- what those positions are. They're walled off.

You even have to have a separate supervisor for each one of those departments. So, for example, in the later years my son -- one son ran the market-making department, supervised that. One firm -- one son managed the proprietary trading side of the firm.

And then the -- the only one that was familiar with the overall positions of the firm and the firm's investment account was myself, because I was the only one that handled that.

So David Kugel would only be privy, like -- like any other trader, to what he was buying and selling, what his profit and loss was, not -- not the

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit | Pg 40 of 274
*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 39 1 rest of the firm. 2 Q. Okay. And if he did trades that were 3 fictitious, is -- was there some -- if he -- if he 4 gave you a false report as to what he had traded, 5 would you have been able to pick that up? 6 Α. Sure. How? 7 0. 8 Α. Well, because I was -- it's between those --9 in the '70s is what he's talking about. You know, 10 I -- I -- the firm was not that large that I wouldn't 11 know -- I knew what was going on everywhere in the 12 firm. So I knew -- I would know whether or not he 13 14 had -- what he was buying and selling. You know, I 15 could see that the firm had -- you know, had the 16 ability, you know -- we had to supervise -- I had to 17 supervise what the firm's risk was, what their 18 positions were, what their inventory was. 19 So if he was creating trades, as he -- as 20 this states, certainly I would know that the trades 21 didn't -- you know, were fictitious. 22 How could you tell -- if he gave you a 23 report, which said, I earned X dollars last month, 24 because I did all of these trades, if he put down 25 fictitious trades, how could you check to make sure

212-267-6868

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 41 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 40

they were real?

A. Because there were trades -- well, any number of ways. Number one, if he created -- if a trader creates a ticket that is wrong, that says he bought stock and sold stock and didn't, then when the delivery -- when the securities were not delivered or not came in, they wouldn't settle.

So there would be -- it would be a vacuum between -- you know, because the way the stock market works, when you do a trade, eventually the trades get settled and the delivery is made of the securities and people pay for it.

To say nothing of the fact that we monitor what is being bought and sold for the firm on an ongoing basis. So -- so we would know what the exposure of the firm was, what the profitability of the firm was.

So a trader -- a trader can't, you know, create a -- now -- nowadays, you know, with large volumes and so on if you have a lot of traders, a trader can put through what they call a wooden ticket or a rogue trade. That's what you hear about.

You can do that if the firm is very large. You know, unless the firm has the proper automation, which we certainly had in the later days, you know,

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 42 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 41
1	you would you would be able to detect that.
2	Q. Okay.
3	MR. GOLDMAN: Bernie, if you get tired
4	or you want to stand up and stretch at anytime,
5	just tell us. Okay?
6	THE WITNESS: I'm okay.
7	Can can I interject something that I
8	think that maybe will will clear up this thing
9	with David Kugel?
10	MS. CHAITMAN: Sure.
11	THE WITNESS: And this because
12	this this this issue of him creating
13	fictitious trades surfaced originally when you
14	were down here last time, seven years ago or six
15	years ago or whatever it was.
16	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
17	Q. Okay. When you when you said, "you,"
18	you you looked at Mr. Sheehan?
19	A. David Sheehan.
20	Q. Okay.
21	A. Yes. Where a a scrap of paper was handed
22	to me and to my attorney, Ike Sorkin, at that time
23	that had a handwritten scribbling of a formula with a
24	convertible bond on it that said, for example, X
25	convertible bonds equals X number of shares of stock.

Page 42

It was a -- it was a -- it was when we were setting up a -- the way we traded convertible bonds, which is typical of any -- any firm like ours, for a client. You know, you buy stock into a trading account, because we deal always as principal.

So the stock -- we go out, and we buy -- we -- as a market-maker we maintain positions in -- in convertible bonds and also in, you know, the related security for that.

And then those securities, if they're allocated to clients after the -- the positions are accumulated, you then break up -- you break up the trade. That may be 100 bonds, and you're going to give each client, for example, ten bonds or 15 bonds and so on.

So an instruction sheet is issued to -- basically to the operations side of the firm as to, you know, how you allocate a buy and a sell.

All right. So David Kugel, as one of my convertible bond traders. All right. If the firm was selling -- if -- whether it be from my investment account or from another trader's account, if we were going to -- if I wanted to sell a convertible bond to a client from my firm's trading account or investment account, then, you know, the instructions had to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 44 of 274

*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 43

issued to the back office as to how many shares or bonds to -- to transact for a client.

So this scrap of paper was an instruction sheet that David Kugel, if he was one of the market-makers in a particular security, would be told okay by me, all right. I want to give this bond to this client and so on.

He would write an instruction sheet out to the back office, to Annette Bongiorno or Jodi Crupi, if she was there at the time, that this -- this is how you convert this bond.

In other words, this bond -- because the back office are not familiar, not being traders, with what the -- what the formulas are. So at the -- that is probably one of maybe 100 different scraps of paper that -- that would be issued or written by David Kugel or another trader, if there was another trader involved, you know, of what the allocation formula is.

Now, at that time, you know, when -- the first meeting that I had with the attorneys down here and my attorney, they showed me this piece of paper.

And they asked me, what is this?

And I looked at it. And at first I wasn't really sure what it was, because the handwriting was hard for me, you know, to understand. Then I said

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 45 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 44

to -- it looks like to me like a formula, and it looks like David Kugel's handwriting.

So I -- I explained, just as I explained to you now, what this was. And I said, you'd probably find a lot of them. So they said, well, you know, so he's the one that is -- that is writing this? And I said, yes. It's an instruction sheet.

This is not uncommon for -- you know, this is the way the business is done. That -- now, this was not a fictitious trade. It was -- it was just instructing the -- the operations side of the firm what the allocation.

It would be no different than if I said, I'm selling to Carl Shapiro, you know, 1,000 shares of IBM that we had bought, you know, as a -- you know, as part of our business. I would -- I would put through an -- an instruction sheet, sell 1,000 shares of IBM to Carl Shapiro.

In David Kugel's side, if he was a trader in that particular security, he would issue the same instruction for that. These are not -- there's nothing fictitious about it.

So that -- none of that makes any sense to me. And I think that -- that, you know, one of the problems that I had when I, you know, met with both

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 46 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 45

the proffer agreement and so on, when I gave my -- and told how the firm operated, there seemed to be sort of a blank stare in the room when I said certain things.

And for an example -- now, in fairness to the people that were there, whether it be the trustee, whether it be the U.S. attorney or all the other people and the attorneys and all, the market-making business, the dealer business is -- is a specialized type of business.

It is not Merrill Lynch. You know, if you ask the average person how the stock markets work and how Merrill Lynch works, they have no clue. You know, they actually think that every time you buy, for example, a share of stock, the money goes to the company, and the company, you know, invests it.

When the average person buys a share of stock, the company gets nothing. It's just all he's doing is buying and selling, you know, from other clients that have the stocks, but people never understood that.

The market-making and dealers are a very specialized type of business, and they really don't have a clue. And the best example that I can use is that the U.S. -- the -- the prosecutor at the time asked me, can you please explain the history of the

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 47 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 46
1	firm and how you operated?
2	And I said, well, when? He says, from the
3	beginning. So I started in 1960, which is when I
4	started the firm, and I went into then after I got
5	to a certain period, I said, like in the '70s I became
6	a market-maker, and I, you know, bought stock, and I
7	sold stock for clients, as well as the firm.
8	Sometimes I was long stock. Sometimes I
9	shorted stock. And I said the word short, and it was
10	like there was a magic, you know, word that I said.
11	He said, short? And what does short mean?
12	And I'm sitting there in a room that has at
13	least three or four SEC people sitting there. So I
14	said, you don't know what short is? And everybody
15	nobody said anything.
16	So I had to explain the shorts. He said, so
17	you're selling stock, and you don't own it? You
18	shorted it? I said, yes. As a market-maker I'm
19	required at times to sell a stock short.
20	It's part of my my job. I have to I
21	am required to make a two-sided market. Meaning I
22	have to make a bid and make an offer as a registered
23	market-maker.
24	So, yes, I do short stock for customers. I
25	sell them stock they don't own. Hopefully I'm going

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 48 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 47
1	to buy it back, you know, at a profit, not a loss, but
2	that is the typical way of doing business.
3	So, to get back to the this issue of
4	David Kugel, when you talk about, you know, him
5	putting instructions to the operations side of the
6	firm, how to allocate a position or a formula, there's
7	nothing unusual about that.
8	It's an everyday occurrence in a
9	market-making firm. How or why he would say that he
10	was creating a fictitious trade, I'm sort of
11	dumbfounded. You know, I just it doesn't make any
12	sense to me. And the timing is is doesn't make
13	any sense to me, you know.
14	Q. Okay. Now, you've just said that you
15	started your business in 1960. Can you tell us the
16	various distinctions that you achieved in the
17	securities industry?
18	A. What do you mean distinctions?
19	Q. Well, you were president of the NASDAQ.
20	A. Oh, you mean the my
21	Q. Achievements.
22	A. All right.
23	Q. You're reading from notes.
24	A. I'm reading from because, you know, my
25	brain is a little bit

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 49 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 48
1	MR. SHEEHAN: Could could we mark
2	that note?
3	THE WITNESS: is fried.
4	MR. SHEEHAN: It should be part of the
5	record, if he's going to read from it.
6	MS. CHAITMAN: Yeah, yeah.
7	MR. SHEEHAN: But you read from it
8	first. We'll mark it afterwards.
9	THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, okay. I was
10	chairman of
11	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
12	Q. Are these handwritten notes that you've
13	A. Yes.
14	Q prepared?
15	Okay. Okay.
16	A. The reason why I did this, by the way, is
17	I I understand that I have to establish my
18	credibility, you know, at this deposition, because I
19	was
20	MR. GOLDMAN: Just read.
21	THE WITNESS: I was told that I had no
22	credibility, but apparently, from what I've read
23	in the, you know, cases that I've been reviewing,
24	people that are like David Kugel or Frank
25	DiPascali or other people in my firm, Annette

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 50 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 49			
1	Bongiorno, who have been accused and admitted			
2	guilt, they seem to be the witnesses that all of			
3	a sudden have credibility.			
4	So but I have no credibility, and I			
5	don't understand how I mean, I admitted that I			
6	created a fraud, and for that I can understand			
7	why there's some question about whether I have			
8	credibility, but since everybody else is			
9	establishing their credibility, I feel I have to			
10	establish my credibility as well.			
11	BY MS. CHAITMAN:			
12	Q. Well, let me ask you something, Mr. Madoff.			
13	You've been in prison about seven years?			
14	A. Seven and a half years.			
15	Q. Seven and a half years. And you've had a			
16	lot of time to think, haven't you?			
17	A. Unfortunately.			
18	Q. Yeah. And you've been provided with the			
19	services of a prison psychiatrist?			
20	A. Yes.			
21	Q. And is it fair to say that you allowed a			
22	number of different people who are writers and			
23	scholars to interview you?			
24	A. Yes.			
25	Q. Is it fair to say that you at this point			

	Page 50
1	have had a very long time to think about what you did?
2	A. Uh-huh.
3	Q. You have to say yes or no.
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. And do you have any motivation at
6	this point to lie about what you did?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Okay. Tell us what accomplishments you had
9	achieved.
10	A. All right. I served as chairman of NASDAQ
11	and the NASD board of directors, chairman of the
12	Q. Okay. And can you just explain for the
13	record what NASDAQ is?
14	A. NASDAQ is the automated marketplace for the
15	trading of non-listed securities and now listed
16	securities as well. It's an automated part of the
17	market, like the New York Stock Exchange.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. So I
20	Q. And when was NASDAQ formed?
21	A. NASDAQ was formed in the late '60s, early
22	'70s. I was one of the founders of NASDAQ. And then
23	became its chairman and served on the the board of
24	directors of NASDAQ for nine years.
25	I also served as chairman on the board of

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 52 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 51 1 the National Association of Securities Dealers, also 2 for nine years, starting in the early '80s through 3 that. I was also founder and chairman of the 4 5 National Securities Clearing Corporation and 6 Depository Trust. I was chairman of the International 7 Securities Clearing Corporation. 8 I was chairman of the NASD trading 9 committee. Chairman of the NASD National Market 10 Design Committee. Chairman of the National 11 Association for Securities Business -- National 12 Business Conduct Committee, which is a regulatory arm 13 of the -- of the industry. 14 I served as chairman of an SEC Large Trader 15 Reporting Committee. I chaired -- was -- served as 16 chairman of the NASD Small Order Execution Committee. 17 Chairman of the NASD Surveillance Committee and 18 chairman of an SEC NASD Payment for Order Flow 19 Committee. 20 I was also a member of the Securities 21 Industry Association board of directors, the 22 Securities Industry Association Trading Committee, 23 Securities Industry Association Federal Regulation 24 Committee, Security -- chairman -- a member of the SEC 25 SIAV/SIA Capital Markets Committee.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 53 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 52	
1	I was chairman and member of the SEC	
2	Intermarket Trading System Committee, which is the	
3	committee that links all of the exchanges, the	
4	New York Stock Exchange, NASDAQ and the five regional	
5	exchanges. And I was head of the SEC NASD arbitration	
6	committee. Those were the committees that I served on	
7	over the history of my firm.	
8	Q. Did you advise any foreign governments with	
9	respect to the establishment of a stock exchange?	
10	A. Yes. I was I served as the liaison and	
11	consultant to the London Stock Exchange, the London	
12	Futures Exchange, the Singapore Stock Exchange, the	
13	Tokyo Stock Exchange, the Frankfort Stock Exchange,	
14	the Paris boards and the Moscow Stock Exchange.	
15	MS. CHAITMAN: We have to wait a	
16	second.	
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the	
18	record. The time is 9:56.	
19	(RECESS FROM 9:56 A.M. TO 10:16 A.M.)	
20	(MADOFF EXHIBIT 5 WAS MARKED FOR	
21	IDENTIFICATION.)	
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record.	
23	The time is 10:16.	
24	BY MS. CHAITMAN:	
25	Q. Mr. Madoff, we've taken a break, and do you	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 54 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 53

remember where we were when we were interrupted?

A. Yes. We were -- I had listed the number of exchanges that I was advising on building new trading platforms, and I listed, you know, basically London, Singapore, Tokyo, Frankfort, Paris and Moscow.

This was basically partly at the request of the SEC, who was asked by these various exchanges if, in fact, they could have me willing to -- to travel over there to consult with them on building a platform that was similar to the NASDAQ platform that I was one of the ones that designed that platform for -- for NASDAQ.

And the SEC had a policy basically where they would send up, you know, teams of employees to come up -- basically compliance people, come up to watch us into our trading room to witness us trading on a daily basis and looking at the operations side of the firm and the automation side of the firm.

So they would -- we did that for a number of years, where they came and watched us trade, and we consulted with them. So --

- Q. Now, when you say, "they," you mean people who were employed by the SEC --
 - A. Yeah. We had --
 - Q. -- would come to your offices?

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 55 of 274

*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 54

A. The past four chairmen of the SEC have all been up in my office, watching us trade and meeting with us, as well as all of these foreign exchanges.

As a matter of fact, the -- the NASD used our -- during the 9/11 crisis the SEC and the NASD asked us, would we allow the NASD to -- to operate their backer facility out of our backer facility that we had in Queens, because that's the -- everybody was having problems because of the -- you know, the -- the bombing out of the -- the plane crashing into the buildings.

So the NASD for a period of, I guess it was, three months used our facilities to back up their trades, and also their compliance people sat in my office for a period of months, operating, you know, because they didn't have -- their offices were destroyed.

Q. Now, Mr. Madoff, I think you know that the trustee has taken the position in court he has not conceded that you ever did any legitimate trading. Can you explain to us -- starting in 1960 and then ending on December 11th, 2008 can you explain to us the volume of trading that you did in various periods of time and the number of employees whose job was to conduct real trades?

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 56 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 55

A. Well, when I started my firm in 1960, it was basically myself. And I operated at that time out of my father-in-law's accounting office, because I was in law school at the time. So in those days it was very common for small brokerage firms to operate.

As a matter of fact, I started with \$500 of capital. And that was a small amount even by the SEC's standard during that time. So I was required to meet with the New York office of the SEC to explain how I basically had the nerve to present a handwritten balance sheet with \$500 cash assets and no liabilities.

And, you know, so they wanted to make sure that I was real. And I started with the \$500 of -- of capital, which in those days didn't require much, because I was -- my plan was just to do a small retail business basically with my family as clients.

That, you know, eventually grew from a one-man operation to, I guess, you know, 200 some odd people here and in -- in London in 2008.

I started this small retail firm.

Relatively unsuccessful the first couple of years,

because I ran into the Cuban missile crisis, and the

marketplace collapsed in 2000 -- in 1962.

Required me to borrow \$30,000 from my

Page 56

father-in-law in the form of -- of municipal bonds to recapitalize my firm, which I paid back, you know, a year or so later.

And then gradually just -- and then became a market-making firm in the '70s, early '70, and became a market-making firm for the rest of the balance of my 50 years in the business.

At one -- we -- at the -- by the time we were finished in 2008 the firm was operating -- was executing a few 100,000 trades, up to 600,000 trades a day at the high, but we were averaging about 300,000 transactions a day, and we represented ten percent of the United States' volume in -- in transactions.

- Q. Now, we're talking about legitimate transactions?
- A. All market-making were legitimate transactions. The -- and the firm was operating basically as -- primarily most of the time as a market-making and proprietary trading firm.

It was -- the investment advisory firm really came into -- you know, into being on a gradual basis, and then was my undoing basically in the early '90s, because of a problem that occurred originally in '80 -- as I say, the crash in '87, but perpetuated a fraud that started, as I said, in the '90s, which was,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page	57
------	----

1 you know, a disaster.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And when -- the fraud in the '90s you're referring to is the split-strike conversion?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, can you just briefly explain what the difference is between market-making and proprietary trading?
- A. Well, market-making is -- in order to be a market-maker, according to SEC and NASD regulations, you have to maintain an inventory -- trading inventory and making a two-sided market. In other words, you -- you post bids and offers to the -- to the market in general.

Originally that was done in the over-the-counter market through what was called pink sheets, where they were daily published bids and offers of the brokerage firms in the United States, listing what you were willing to buy and sell securities.

And you had to be ready to buy and sell securities, whether either long or short, for -- for the market in general or for your clients.

Then with the development of NASDAQ, which was an -- which was the first automated system, basically we automated the pink sheets.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 59 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 58

So electronically you put your bids and offers in a -- you know, on a screen-based system, and that developed what -- I was one of the firms that -- that developed, came up with that concept.

And, as a matter of fact, my brother, Peter, negotiated and wrote the first contract for NASDAQ with Bunker Ramo Company, who operated it at that time. While he was in law school he worked for -- for me until he graduated.

So the -- that's how we got involved in NASDAQ, but as a market-maker we traded basically close to 1,100 securities, all the convertible bonds. And then we got involved in making a market in listed securities, and they encompassed stocks that were traded on the New York Stock Exchange.

So we were considered to be one of the largest market-makers in convertible securities in the country and one of the largest market-makers in general, in both listed securities and over-the-counter securities.

We had, you know, hundreds of -- of other dealer clients, like Merrill Lynch, Fidelity, Smith Barney. We had directed automatic interfaces for them. Those were our wholesale clients as part of our market-making operation.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 60 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 59

The proprietary side of the business is when the firm trades with their own account they maintain -- we maintain an inventory, you know, that could be hundreds of millions of dollars on the long side or short side. The firm is trading for its own account.

But as a market-maker you also are trading for your own account with the clients, as well as other dealers. But primarily the firm was known as a -- as a market-making firm, a proprietary firm that traded with other brokerage firms.

So if you, as a client, would call up

Merrill Lynch to buy IBM or to buy Apple computer,
they would buy the stock through someone like me. So
our clients were basically other brokerage firms, as
well as individual retail clients that we had that
were people like the Shapiros and the Levys of the
world. Those clients, as well as smaller clients that
we did convertible arbitrage for as well.

And then in the '90s we started dealing with hedge funds that were doing the split-strike conversion or thought they were doing the split-strike conversion trades for us, which was where the fraud perpetuated.

Q. Okay. And you mentioned that you had about

	*** CONFIDENTIAL ***
	Page 60
1	200 people working in New York and in London?
2	A. Right. Approximately.
3	Q. And was that as of 2008?
4	A. Yes. We I think we started the London
5	operation in 1983, and that was also started as
6	primarily a market-making firm in U.S. securities in
7	London.
8	We were either the first or one of the first
9	members of the London Stock U.S. firms that became
10	a member of the London Stock Exchange.
11	Q. Okay. But when when you do you
12	remember when it was that you reached the level of
13	approximately 200 people working in your firm?
14	A. Probably, you know, towards the end. I
15	would say in the in the in the late 2000s.
16	Q. Okay. Now, when was it that you brought
17	your sons into the business?
18	A. My son, Mark, came in when he graduated from
19	the University of Michigan, which I think was in the
20	mid '80s. My son, Andy, graduated from Wharton, I
21	think in '87 and '88, and he came with us.
22	Q. If you had been doing the fraudulent
23	split-strike conversion in '87 and '88, would you have

Would I have brought him into the business?

brought Andy into the business?

Α.

24

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 62 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 61
1	No.
2	Q. My question is really, if you were doing
3	something dishonest with your customers, would you
4	have allowed your children to be brought into the
5	business?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Now, I had asked Mr. Goldman to give you a
8	copy of the Lazard report.
9	A. Right.
10	Q. And you've reviewed that, didn't you?
11	A. Yes.
12	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. I'm going to mark
13	this as Defendants' Exhibit 6, but, at
14	Mr. Sheehan's suggestion, I have put a stamp on
15	the handwritten notes that Mr. Madoff described.
16	And I didn't I don't have copies of
17	that, but if you if you e-mail it to me, I'll
18	circulate copies to everybody.
19	(MADOFF EXHIBIT 6 WAS MARKED FOR
20	IDENTIFICATION.)
21	MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. Thank you.
22	MS. CHAITMAN: Thank you.
23	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
24	Q. So I'm handing out Exhibit 6, which is the
25	Lazard report. Mr. Madoff, do you this is

		Page 62
1	Exhibit 6	for you. I can take those from you.
2	Α.	Okay. Uh-huh.
3	Q.	Before you received a copy of this from your
4	attorney	had you ever seen the Lazard report?
5	A.	No.
6	Q.	Okay. And do you understand that what it
7	is?	
8	Α.	Uh-huh, yes.
9	Q.	Okay. And this is something that the
10	trustee h	ad prepared when he was trying to sell the
11	market-ma	king and
12	Α.	Correct.
13	Q.	proprietary trading units?
14	Α.	(WITNESS NODS HEAD UP AND DOWN.)
15	Q.	Now, in general did you find that this was
16	an accura	te description of the business?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	So on the page which is Bates stamped number
19	181058, w	hich is the business overview.
20	Α.	Uh-huh. What what page number?
21	Q.	It ends in 58. It's in the very beginning.
22	Α.	88?
23	Q.	58.
24	Α.	Oh, 58.
25	Q.	181058.

	Page 63
1	A. Yes, uh-huh.
2	Q. You've got it? Okay. It says, "Business
3	overview"?
4	A. Yeah.
5	Q. It says, "The company's"
6	MR. SHEEHAN: Where are you reading?
7	I'm sorry.
8	MS. CHAITMAN: The second paragraph.
9	I'm sorry.
10	MR. SHEEHAN: All right.
11	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
12	Q. "The company's market-making operation is a
13	market-maker in approximately 2,100 stocks, including
14	all of the S&P 500 stocks and in over 350 NASDAQ
15	stocks."
16	A. Uh-huh.
17	Q. "It has over 100 direct relationships and
18	several correspondent-clearing clients representing
19	over 1,000 correspondents. The market-maker processes
20	approximately 300,000 to 400,000 tickets per day."
21	Excuse me. "Peak" excuse me. "Peak volume of
22	800,000 and capacity up to 1.4 million."
23	"Approximately 80 percent of the trades are
24	in listed stocks, and the remaining 20 percent of the
25	trades are in NASDAQ stocks. Approximately 2.6

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 65 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 64

million shares of the daily volume is for executions of shares not held, and 0.8 million shares of the daily volume is executed with the company's Time Slicing automated system for delivering average price execution. The market-making operation employs 17 professionals, including traders and support staff."

Is that a fair description --

- A. Yes.
- Q. -- of the business as of December 2008?
- 10 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Now, what does it mean when it says, "The company's Time Slicing automated system for delivering average price executions"?
- A. That was a system that was developed by my sons, Mark and Andy, that was a system that allowed brokerage firms or institutional clients to deliver a large order to us, let's say, to buy 100,000 shares of IBM.

And they wanted to -- rather than -- they wanted to execute that order over a certain period of time. So typically those -- the way that was executed by all institutional firms is they would give it to a broker on the floor of an exchange and tell them they want to -- they want to execute this over the course of the day.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 66 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 65

It was called a VWAP order. It was a volume weighted average price order. But it was a manually -- it was a manual execution, where you were relying upon the specialist on the floor of an exchange or the market-maker upstairs to use his best judgment as to when to execute that order over the course of the day.

My sons came up with the concept of rather than doing it that way to -- to allow a firm to take a 500,000 share order and give it to us and say, we want, you know, this 500 shares -- 500,000 share order executed every so many minutes. We want it sliced into smaller orders and executed into the marketplace.

So they determined the size of the order to hit the marketplace. And in order to do that you had to have the automation, which we were one of the only firms that had that ability. So that was considered -- you know, it was unheard of at the time, to do an executed order like that.

Well, my sons developed that order -- that system, Time Slicing, with -- with my brother's, Peter's, help. We then brought it to the SEC and asked -- met with the SEC in Washington approval to -- you know, how we would operate this order, and with -- did they have any objection to us executing the order

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 67 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 66

this way?

At first they looked at us like we were crazy, because no one had ever done that before. And what was necessary was the firm was -- say like Fidelity would be saying, okay, they're -- they're -- they're instructing us to execute 500,000 shares of IBM.

So they had to trust us that we were not going to run in front of the order, which was a common problem that institutions had with giving up an order to someone, letting them know that you wanted to buy, you wanted to sell their order.

So we assured the SEC that that order would be -- was loaded into our system. The only one that would see that order was not any of our individual market-makers or traders, just one supervisor in the firm, which would be either my brother (SIC), Mark or my son, Andy, depending upon which department it was going to go to.

And the order would be -- you can look at it like in the old days, when you had computers, and you would load a card -- a bunch of like cards into a sorting thing, and every so many minutes the cards would -- you know, would drop down into the thing and then go into the order.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 68 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 67

The SEC was so enthralled with this concept of doing it, that they said, yes. They said, if -- you know, we'd like you to, you know, build this, if, in fact, you can. We did. We invited them to -- and the head of their market-regulation department to come into our office and watch the system operate.

And they -- they said it was terrific. And we -- we, you know, went about selling that option of doing it with any one of our clients. And we had people like Fidelity and T. Rowe Price and any number of our other clients operate through the Time Slicing system.

And that was also the forerunner of us developing the Primex system, which is a whole other system that we -- we developed in the '90s, '97 to '98, in a joint effort with Merrill Lynch, Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and Citicorp, where we --

There were five partners that we developed my -- developed a -- a trading system called Primex.

That was the forerunner of these so-called dark pools that now became very popular, where we were -- we sold a ten percent interest to these five firms.

And between the five of us we would account for 50 percent of the volume in the United States between those five firms. It was a big deal in 1998,

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 69 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 68

when it was announced. It was in the front page of all of the financial press.

And that was how we planned to operate the split-strike trades through that. Unfortunately, the system once we -- we developed it and took in the partners, it was such a threat to the New York Stock Exchange that the New York Stock Exchange approached us to buy the Primex system for us -- from us, because it was going to be a major competitor to the exchange.

We couldn't -- we couldn't agree on terms.

So we never sold it to the New York Stock Exchange or took them as a partner, but the New York Stock Exchange, you know, had a history of trying to hold back competition from us.

Eventually they negotiated with the -- the partners. You know, made special deals with the -- the four partners -- with three of the four partners, Goldman Sachs, Merrill Lynch and Morgan Stanley, to not go forward with it or not -- not send most of their business through that exchange. And they really literally blocked us from -- from really ever fulfilling our desire with that.

The -- Citicorp decided that they would buy the -- they would continue with us. So we went on -- we were going to develop it with Citicorp in

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 70 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 69
operation, but then my disaster of the investment
advisory business sort of, you know you know,
ruined that that whole operation.
Q. What did the Primex system do?
A. The Primex system was a an electronic
auction process that would compete with all of the
exchanges, like these dark pools.
I had been a major competitor for the New
York Stock Exchange from the time I started my firm.
And which is why really how I got involved
working my relationships with the SEC all of those
years.
The SEC when I went into business, the
New York Stock Exchange did 99 percent of all of the
business in in in New York Stock Exchange
issues.
The SEC had always been unhappy with the
fact there was no competition in the U.S. marketplace.
So they wanted all the business was done between
the there were five regional exchanges, New York,
Chicago, Philadelphia, Pacific, New York.
So there were five and Cincinnati. Those
five exchanges traded all the securities in the
United States. Plus there was the over-the-counter

market, which became the NASDAQ market.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 71 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 70

What happened was the SEC and congress was very unhappy with there being no competition in -- in listed securities. So they decided to -- to initiate a -- you know, a competitive marketplace. And they formed a committee, which they asked me to chair, which became the Intermarket Trading System Committee, which was the system of linking all of the exchanges.

In other words, it used to be that if you wanted to buy -- IBM traded on both the New York Stock Exchange, as well as five regional exchanges around the country.

So you could buy IBM at one price in

New York, another place in Chicago, another place in
the Pacific. So a customer putting an order in to buy
IBM at 11:00 in the morning, or whenever it was they
put it in, was in a situation where he may buy the
price at IBM at one price in New York, when he could
have bought it at a cheaper price, a better price, in
Philadelphia or the Pacific and so on.

So there was a great arbitrage business that went on, which was, you know, somewhat initiated by my firm, where we would buy IBM in Chicago and then sell it in New York a second later at a -- at a profit and so on and so forth. It was a business that was done by anybody that had an arbitrage operation, not only

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 72 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 71

myself.

The SEC obviously realized this was ridiculous. You know, it was unfair to the clients to -- to be able to do that. So they said, look, this is -- you just -- you guys can't operate this way. A customer should be able to get the best price that exists in the marketplace, you know, when he executes the order.

So I said, well, how are we going to do that? So they said, well -- they asked me, well, what -- what would you suggest? And I said, well, you could pass a rule that said that if you're going to execute an order for IBM from a client, you have to make sure that the client is going to get it at the best price that existed between the exchanges.

And the order has to be routed to, you know, wherever the best price is, whether it's in Chicago, New York, Philadelphia. It doesn't matter. So they said, well, that's -- that's a good idea.

I said, well, the problem with that is how is the order going to get -- if the customer is in Chicago, and the best price is in New York, how is that order going to get from Chicago to New York?

So they said, well -- so they said, well, yeah. That's true. But how is that going to happen?

Page 72

I said, well, you have to link the marketplaces, so that when the customer starts to -- puts the order in Chicago, and the better price is in New York, the guy in Chicago has to route the order to -- to New York, I said.

And you can't do it over the telephone, because that's crazy today. So, you know, we have to build a system that would electronically route it, which became the Intermarket Trading System Committee.

So I designed the system with some other firms, with Goldman Sachs at the time, to route these orders, you know, through an electronic routing system, and that was called ITS.

So I represented at that time the NASD on that committee. My brother represented the Cincinnati Stock Exchange, which we were the major owners of, the Cincinnati Stock Exchange, which was the first electronic exchange.

And the -- I forgot where I -- how I got involved in this --

- Q. I was asking you about the Primex system.
- A. Oh, okay. So, I mean, the firm -- we were always competing with the New York Stock Exchange.

 And at that time I said that the -- that the idea of executing orders on a floor of an exchange is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 73

ridiculous.

And that nobody is going to, you know, want to, you know, send orders down to an exchange where you have hundreds of people screaming and yelling at each other, you know, when you want to order -- when -- when you want to execute an order.

The more sensible way to do it is the way NASDAQ operates. And, of course, the SE -- the New York Stock Exchange said, well, Bernie Madoff is always complaining about the New York Stock Exchange, because he built NASDAQ. So he wants all orders to be executed over NASDAQ, rather than the exchange.

And I said, well, that's true. I did. And we were a big competitor of the New York Stock

Exchange, but it was the most efficient way of executing the orders.

And the joke was on Wall Street was that I was always -- they said, Madoff is saying that the New York Stock Exchange is going to be a big roller skating rink before. No one is going to execute orders on the floor of the exchange.

And it was true that I did make those kinds of comments. Well, eventually that's what happened. The New York Stock Exchange went from operating 98 percent of the business, to now they operate -- they

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 75 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 74

execute 12 percent of the business.

And the stocks, when you buy IBM, very few shares are bought on the floor of an exchange.

They're bought basically electronically off the floor of the exchange through people like NASDAQ or through these -- these automated dark pools that are operating, what they call the high frequency traders and so on.

So the SEC likes to always point out that no good deed goes unpunished, because they blamed me -- everybody seems to blame me for developing the electronic marketplaces.

So they said that now, you know, you listened to Madoff, and you -- you said you didn't want to operate business on the floor of the exchange. So you allowed the industry to now build an electronic marketplace, where everything is done electronically.

And where we used to take 20 minutes to execute an order, it now goes in a millisecond, and there's no basically exchanges being done. And that was very true. I mean, I don't know that you can blame me for that, but that was basically -- you know, it's -- it's true.

- Q. So the Primex was an exchange?
- A. Primex was going to be a -- an exchange,

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 76 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 75 where the five of us control 50 percent of the business. Whereas, now -- look, the idea is -- the concept of any -- every brokerage firm wants to keep all of their orders themselves. In other words, Merrill Lynch does not want to share his -- his client's orders with Goldman Sachs or Morgan Stanley or with Madoff. They want to keep their orders what's called in-house or internalization. In other words, when a dealer -- the -- the goal of every brokerage firm in the country has always been to take their customer orders and handle them from beginning to end. They didn't want to -- they didn't like this idea of sharing their orders and their information with everybody in the street. They didn't want competition. Everybody wanted to keep their orders, you know, themselves, but the problem with doing that is the customer gets -- is at a disadvantage of doing that. So -- because the best thing for the customer is to have his orders exposed to the -- to the world in general or the marketplace in general,

but it's more efficient for a firm to keep all of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 76

their orders themselves.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They want to -- they want to buy the stock and sell the stock through their own customers and keep the stock in-house. They don't want to go through clearing corporations or organizations. They want to do everything in-house, because that's the most efficient way to do business.

All right. But that comes at a cost, to do that. In other words, it's -- it's very difficult, you know, to do that. You're not exposing the markets -- the orders in general.

So there has to be a balance that is developed. So our concept was to allow orders to be executed electronically efficiently and have that -- but have that order exposed to the marketplace in general before you execute it.

So it's all right to say, okay. We want to keep our customer orders in-house, but we -- we want to make sure that the customer is -- is getting the best price. And how do you do that?

The way to do that is to expose the order to the street in general. Say, we're looking to buy IBM. Who is willing to supply the best price? And you -- you compete electronically.

You have like an auction process. You do

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 78 of 274
*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 77 The -- the technology is there to build it, 1 which we did. So we developed this system called 3 Primex. And we had these five firms, you know, to 5 all agree that before they execute the order 6 themselves in-house the order will go through this 7 electronic auction process. 8 So Madoff, Goldman, Morgan Stanley, Merrill Lynch, Citicorp, Smith Barney would all compete with 9 that order. So before any one of them could -- could 10 11 execute the order themselves they had to give -- they 12 had to have a bidding process to do that. 13 And that was the system. And it was -- it 14 was, you know, a great system, but, unfortunately, it 15 would be the deathnell to the New York Stock Exchange. 16 And the New York Stock Exchange, you know, 17 tried to buy it from us, but that would have been the 18 end -- they were -- their idea was they'll -- they'll buy it and never operate it, put it on the shelf. 19 20 But as much as we were able to compete with the New York Stock Exchange and drive them literally 21 sort of out of business, they also killed the Primex 22 23 system. 24 So we wound up selling part of it to NASDAQ. 25 And NASDAQ operates their opening of the marketplace

2

Page 78

through Primex, but Primex's big appeal to us would be that we would be able to bring in all of our foreign order flow. You know, the -- all the various exchanges into Primex, and that was how I hoped to eventually handle the split-strike trades.

Had Primex gone forward I never would have had this problem. I would have been operating today all the split-strike -- strike trades through Primex.

But my brother's -- we have the patent on Primex. And basically everybody that's operating these dark pools around the country today is in violation of patent infringement on Primex.

My brother's plan -- maybe this is

fantasy -- is when he finishes his ten year sentence,
he is going to sue all of these -- basically all of
Wall Street for patent infringement on Primex, because
all of these dark pools that are operating around the
country today, doing all the business, are basically,
according to my brother and the patent attorneys,
are -- are guilty of a patent infringement on Primex,
but we'll see what happens.

Q. That's fascinating.

Mr. Sheehan had wanted me to ask you a question. I think it's obvious from the way you're answering your questions, but are you on any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit

Exhibit 1 Pg 79 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I

Pg 80 of 274

*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 79
1	medication which would prevent you from testifying
2	honestly?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Okay. If you if you'd go back to
5	Exhibit 6. It lists on page 64 you're on 58. So
6	it goes to 64.
7	A. Okay.
8	Q. It lists the top 20 clients.
9	A. Uh-huh.
10	Q. And they include Citigroup, Brown Brothers,
11	Raymond, Merrill Lynch, Oppenheimer, Wells Fargo,
12	Wachovia, Vanguard, USAA, UBS. Is this an accurate
13	A. Yes.
14	Q list of the 20 top clients?
15	A. It's the blue bloods of Wall Street.
16	Q. Okay. And then on the next page, which is
17	page Bates numbered 65, it in terms of volumes, it
18	said you execute 300,000 tickets a day. Is that about
19	accurate?
20	A. That's on the low side, but, yes.
21	Q. Okay. And you execute 85 million shares a
22	day; is that about
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. That's accurate?
25	And 80 percent is listed. And if you look

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 81 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

		CONTIDENTIAL
		Page 80
1	at	
2	A.	Right.
3	Q.	I'm reading from page 65.
4	A.	Uh-huh. Yeah, I'm there.
5	Q.	Yeah. Okay. I just want you to be on the
6	page with	me.
7	A.	I have this memorized, all of it.
8	Q.	Oh, you do?
9		So it says, "80 percent listed, 20 percent
10	NASDAQ"?	
11	Α.	Correct.
12	Q.	Is that accurate?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	Okay. It says, "2.6 million not held shares
15	a day"?	
16	A.	Uh-huh.
17	Q.	Is that accurate?
18	A.	Yeah.
19	Q.	What does that mean, not held shares?
20	Α.	It means it's at our discretion on how we
21	buy and se	ell. In other words, they it's like an
22	order goir	ng through Time Slicing, where where
23	Merrill Ly	ynch will say, buy 100,000 shares of stock
24	and you de	etermine the price and the time you want to
25	execute th	ne order.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 82 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 81
1	Q. Okay.
2	A. They're relying upon our ability to execute
3	it at the best price for the client.
4	Q. Okay. So would you say that the Lazard
5	report accurately reflects
6	A. Yes.
7	Q the business
8	A. Uh-huh.
9	Q as of December 2008?
10	A. Yeah.
11	Q. Okay. Now, in the course of your career in
12	the securities business did you did you ever come
13	across Bruce Dubinsky?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Did you ever hear of him?
16	A. No.
17	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. We're going to
18	mark as our next exhibit
19	MR. GOLDMAN: Why don't you wait until
20	you come back for the microphone?
21	MS. CHAITMAN: Yeah, you're right.
22	What did I do with the Dubinsky report? Hold on.
23	So we're up to Exhibit 7.
24	(DISCUSSION HELD OFF THE RECORD.)
25	(MADOFF EXHIBIT 7 WAS MARKED FOR

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 82 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 83 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 82
1	IDENTIFICATION.)
2	MS. CHAITMAN: Oh, you know what? I'll
3	give this to the witness, and I'll give this to
4	you.
5	THE WITNESS: I already have a copy.
6	MS. CHAITMAN: I'm sorry?
7	THE WITNESS: I have a copy of this
8	one.
9	MS. CHAITMAN: You can just give it to
10	the reporter.
11	MR. GOLDMAN: David, here is one you
12	can look at.
13	MR. SHEEHAN: I'm fine. I brought my
14	own.
15	MR. GOLDMAN: Oh.
16	THE WITNESS: Are you still awake?
17	MR. SHEEHAN: Always.
18	THE WITNESS: The last time we were
19	together, I had a meeting with you, you fell
20	asleep during my testimony.
21	MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah, you warned me about
22	that. I remember that exchange.
23	THE WITNESS: That's okay. I
24	MR. SHEEHAN: You told me the SEC fell
25	asleep in that meeting too. I remember that.

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 83 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 84 of 274
*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 83
1	THE WITNESS: I I can I can put
2	people to sleep. The chairman of the London
3	Stock Exchange fell asleep during one of my
4	meetings with him, but he said it was jet lag.
5	You don't have jet lag. So
6	MR. SHEEHAN: I have no jet lag.
7	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
8	Q. Mr. Madoff, I had sent you in advance of the
9	deposition a copy of Mr. Dubinsky's report. Have you
10	had a chance to review it?
11	A. Yes, I have.
12	Q. Okay. And did you agree with his
13	conclusions?
14	A. Some of them.
15	Q. Okay. Did you agree with all of them?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Okay. Did you find that overall there were
18	some mistakes that Mr. Dubinsky made?
19	MR. SHEEHAN: Objection as to the form.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
22	Q. Okay. Before we go into detail with respect
23	to the report can you tell me some of the mistakes
24	that you found with Mr. Dubinsky's approach?
25	A. Well, first of all, the majority of the

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 85 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 84

report had to deal with the split-strike conversion trades, which I was sort of at a loss for -- and this report, from what I understand from -- cost a lot of money to -- to produce.

And I had from day one acknowledged that there was no split-strike trades being done and that there was a fraud. So I couldn't understand why so much money was --

Look, let me just say that from the time that I plead guilty for this -- for this fraud, I've had to live with the guilt of -- of knowing what I did.

All right. And my decision basically to -to plead guilty and to not go to trial was to be able
to recover as much money as possible to my -- for my
clients.

And the -- you know, rather than go to -- to trial, which I knew that I was guilty of, and put my family through a -- the horror of, you know, what would -- what would occur with the trial, I -- I decided that the best thing that I could do would be to plead guilty, take my sentence and do everything that I could to recover the money for the clients, who I defrauded.

Q. Let me just interrupt you there.

Page 85

When you saw in -- in 2008 that the economy was collapsing, and you had -- you had out-of-ordinary redemptions in 2008; isn't that true?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0. Would you say that the redemption Okay. demand was extraordinary or --

MR. SHEEHAN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Well, yes. I mean, look, unfortunately or fortunately, however you want to look at it, my commitment to the people that I took the money from for the split-strike was that they could have their money back at anytime.

In other words, most hedge funds do not -- do not allow people to withdraw their They have what they call lockup periods. money. They -- they say that you have to give them 90 days notice, sometimes six months notice before you can get your money back.

Because of the way the split-strike strategy was designed there was no reason to have a lockup period if, in fact, I was doing it the way I intended to do it originally.

So that people that gave me money, whether it be an individual or whether it be a hedge fund, to invest, had the ability to call me 08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 87 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 86

up and say, you know, that they wanted their money back within the settlement period of three days or four days.

So when this -- when this bank run occurred with the crisis in 2008, when everybody thought the world was coming to an end, the market was -- was crashing, because of the Lehman -- you know, first Bear Stearns and then the Lehman collapse, everybody needed money.

So I was one of the few places that they could -- that a hedge fund could go to -- to demand their money back and not have to wait with a lockup period. So everybody was calling me up, saying, we want -- we need the money, you know, to meet margin calls elsewhere on Wall Street.

They needed money back to meet their margin calls on Wall Street. So send me my money back and liquidate the position, and I'll send it back to you to reinvest as soon as things calm down.

So I was the -- the one place that they could go to get the money. So I started getting everybody calling me up, you know, send me 500 million, send me a billion dollars back. And I did. I started sending the money back.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 88 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 87
1	But, obviously, you know, I couldn't
2	keep doing that, because, you know, of the the
3	nature of of the Ponzi scheme. So I knew
4	that that the game was over.
5	As it turns out, I was
6	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
7	Q. If I could just interrupt you. Do you
8	recall approximately how much money you did send back
9	to customers in 2008?
10	A. Close to six billion dollars.
11	Q. Six billion. Okay.
12	A. But I knew that that it was over for me,
13	and
14	Q. At that
15	A. As it as it turns out, I was mentally
16	I was in a mental state that I was I could no
17	longer continue with anyhow. So it was almost like
18	a relief to say, this is it.
19	I just can't continue this charade any
20	longer. And that's what that's what caused me to
21	acknowledge to my family that I had been committing
22	this fraud.
23	Q. Okay.
24	A. So, to continue, I decided to plead guilty
25	to this. And when before the sentencing my

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 89 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 88 attorneys pleaded with me to cooperate with the 1 2 government. 3 The government wanted -- the prosecutor 4 wanted me to -- to plea bargain with them to, you 5 know, make some sort of a deal by providing 6 information as to who else was involved in this fraud. 7 I mean, the belief was that I couldn't be 8 doing this all myself, that there had to be other 9 people involved in this fraud. So -- because, look, nobody could understand -- you know, it seems that for 10 11 the past eight years nobody can figure out why did 12 Bernie Madoff do this? There have been books written about this. 13 Every reporter that's called me, every academic, you 14 15 know, that's called me from every business school literally in the world, everybody says, why would 16 Bernie Madoff commit the largest fraud in history? 17 18 Why -- he had a very successful business that was the envy of everybody on Wall Street. He was 19 20 on all of these committees. He was supposedly boy 21 wonder, of course, you know, of Wall Street at that 22 time. 23 Why would he do this? The SEC was 24 dumbfounded. You can speak to any of the past 25 chairmen over the past eight years and ask them, would 08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 90 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 89 1 Bernie Madoff do this? You know, they would all look 2 at you as though there was something wrong with you. 3 And that's what everybody wanted to know. 4 It didn't make any sense. There had to be something 5 else that created this problem. Was he blackmailed? 6 Was he, you know, involved with -- you know, with the 7 Russian mob? Was he involved with drug dealers? 8 Something happened, you know. You don't --9 you don't -- you know, and when I said -- you know, 10 when I gave explanations for it, nobody really understood it, you know, but I knew, you know, what 11 12 happened. 13 I knew, yes, there were people involved that put me in this situation. That being said, it was my 14 15 I can't blame anybody for -- for what 16 occurred. I mean, I did this knowingly. 17 I was not blackmailed by any -- was not 18 involved with any mob thing. I made a tragic error of 19 judgment that was precipitated by a number of clients 20 that, you know, put me in this situation. 21 That being said, there was not a gun to my 22 head. You know, I could have refused to accommodate, 23 you know, some people that I tried to accommodate. 24 And -- you know, so, therefore, I have the 25 responsibility.

Page 90

So my decision at that time was not to make a plea bargain. I was convinced that I could get the money back that was involved that people lost. I couldn't get the profits back, for sure, but I certainly felt I could recover the principal, you know, back.

So -- and I knew that my clients had withdrawn -- many of them over the earlier years before the fraud started that they had -- they had made and -- and withdrew legitimate profits over the years.

So I felt that while people were not going to make an average annual return of 12 percent, as they thought that they made, they certainly would --would do all right, and I certainly would be able to recover everyone's principal.

So when I told my attorneys that, Ike

Sorkin, I said, look, I'm going to recover everybody's

money, you know, you know, but I -- I have to do this

my way. I can't -- I can't establish a plea. I don't

want to make any deal.

I said, I'm the only one that really has the knowledge of what happened and what people did to put me in this situation and the wrongdoing that certain people were involved in, I said.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit 1 Pg 91 of 273 08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 92 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 91
1	And if I go to these people and which
2	I've already done to a certain extent anyhow and
3	threaten them with you know, with their complicity,
4	I said, I'll get the money back. Now, nobody believed
5	that I could possibly do this.
6	MS. CHAITMAN: We're just running out
7	of the tape. So we have to
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.
9	MS. CHAITMAN: take a break.
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. This ends
11	media number one in the deposition of Bernie
12	L Bernard L. Madoff. Going off the record.
13	The time is 11:12.
14	(RECESS FROM 11:12 A.M. TO 11:27 P.M.)
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record.
16	This begins media number two in the deposition of
17	Bernard L. Madoff. The time is 11:27.
18	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
19	Q. Mr. Madoff, we've been
20	A. Okay. I
21	Q discussing a few other things, but I want
22	to come back, if I may, to the Dubinsky report.
23	A. Right.
24	Q. And my question is: Are there some
25	fundamental errors that you feel Mr. Dubinsky made?

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 93 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 92

1 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Let's -- let's go through them one at a time.
- A. Okay. All right. Basically what -- well,

 I'd like to say is that I would -- I'm not going to

 get involved in his -- the majority of the report that

 deals with the split-strike conversion trades or

 whether or not the trades were executed or not,

 because the -- I have no objection to -- I can't find

 fault with what he -- what his determination was,

 because I was not executing the trades.

So there's no point in me, you know, evaluating what he says was right or wrong. There may be errors in there, but it doesn't matter. The fact was the errors that I found were -- had to deal with the beginning of the report dealing with the convertible -- the trading that took place before 1992, which basically involved the convertible bond arbitrage transactions.

So, first of all, let me start by saying that it was quite -- I understand that his -- from his CV that he has a very good CV. All right. In other words, he seems to be -- have his references of fraud reporting or -- I don't find any fault with that. He seems to have a lot of experience.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 94 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 93

That being said, it became very obvious to me that his knowledge of the market-making and the dealer markets and the over-the-counter trading, whether it be convertibles or so on, there -- he had very little experience in that.

And that's not a great surprise to me, because it's sort of a specialized marketplace. And unless, you know, you -- you have firsthand experience of how market-makers operate, how the dealer market works and how the trading of convertible bonds takes place, you know, that's a specialized kind of marketplace.

And he had things that were really wrong.

For example, he makes the statement that Madoff sent a confirmation to a client, where he -- you know, the client -- he says that the client bought stock or sold stock, which was opposite of what happened.

In other words, typically when a retail client gets a confirmation from Merrill Lynch, for example, it states the client bought IBM at a price or sold IBM at a price.

When a dealer sends a confirmation to a client, it says, we bought, we sold. Meaning the dealer bought or sold to the client. So, for example, there's a confirmation, and I have a -- a copy of one

	Page 94
1	of our confirmations.
2	Well, I don't need that. In other words,
3	our confirmation says we bought. That means Madoff
4	bought for the customer or from the customer or sold
5	to the customer.
6	Q. Okay. You know what? I don't know if this
7	is going to help you, but
8	A. Here is
9	Q. Okay.
LO	A. Here is a confirmation. There's a
11	confirmation
12	MR. SHEEHAN: Let her mark that.
13	MS. CHAITMAN: I will.
14	THE WITNESS: It says in the box, "We
15	sold." All right. Or it would say, we bought.
16	"We," referring to the firm. Madoff.
17	Now, if we had said you bought or you
18	sold, that would be the customer bought. You
L 9	know you know what I'm saying?
20	MR. SHEEHAN: Uh-huh.
21	THE WITNESS: All right. This is a
22	standard dealer confirmation. It also has on
23	here codes, the transaction code and a capacity
24	code. Meaning that it has a number.
25	Meaning what is what what

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 96 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 95

capacity are we operating? Where -- on the back of the confirmation it says the capacity -- the transaction is transacted over the counter in New York and so on. It also has a capacity code, which is trading as principal or agent and so on.

Dubinsky -- okay -- is used to seeing a retail confirmation, which would have the opposite of that. So he makes a big point in his report of saying that Madoff reflected this wrong for the customer.

All right. He says that, you know -now, that's -- there's -- nobody that was
familiar with the dealer markets would make that
kind of a statement. It's -- it's -- quite
frankly, it's an embarrassment, you know, to -to put that in the report.

this was in violation of his agreement with the customer to act as agent. If you look at the agreements that we have with our clients, and there's a whole series of when a customer opens an account agreement, where there's a trading authorization and so on, it says that Madoff, meaning Bernard L. Madoff, the client is giving Madoff -- is appointing Madoff his agent to

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 97 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 96 transact business for the customer. 1 2 So it says Madoff is the agent for the customer. It doesn't say that -- you know, so 3 4 that means that Bernard Madoff, myself, when --5 he's authorizing me as the only person that is authorized to transact the business with the 6 7 firm. 8 So the firm is transacting business as 9 principal for their own account, which it clearly 10 states that on the confirmation. He -- this totally confused him for some reason. 11 BY MS. CHAITMAN: 12 13 Q. Mr. Madoff, can I just stop you for a 14 second. 15 I'm going to show you a statement, but if --16 if a -- if a customer's statement says bought 100 shares of IBM --17 18 That means the customer bought it on the Α. 19 statement. The statement is showing it as the customer bought. Okay. The statement is assuming the 20 21 customer -- that he's bought it from Madoff. 22 He knows it, because Madoff is sending the 23 confirmation -- is sending the statement to the customer, saying, you -- he's reflecting the 24 25 customer -- what the customer bought and sold.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 98 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 97
1	not reflecting who he
2	Q. Okay.
3	A bought and sold it from
4	Q. Okay. So you're
5	A because we already know that.
6	Q. You're distinguishing between the
7	confirmation and the statements?
8	A. The statement yes. The statement is
9	was the statement only shows a transaction on the
10	settlement date. All statements are operated that way
11	with every brokerage firm. The confirmation shows
12	both the trade date and the settlement date.
13	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. Let me mark as
14	Exhibit 8 the document to which you've been
15	referring.
16	(MADOFF EXHIBIT 8 WAS MARKED FOR
17	IDENTIFICATION.)
18	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
19	Q. And if I can just summarize this, this is
20	dated the trade date is October 14th, 2005. The
21	settlement date is October 19th, 2005. And it says
22	you've crossed out the account number, but it says,
23	"Sold" "we sold 42 shares"
24	A. To the customer.
25	Q "of Wells Fargo"?

Page 98
A. To the customer. Right.
Q. Okay. So, "we," is Bernard L. Madoff?
A. Right.
Q. So so let me just understand something.
So if the customer was buying 42 shares of Wells
Fargo, you wouldn't go into the marketplace and and
buy it for the customer?
A. Well, we we could, but we buy it we'd
go into the marketplace and buy it for our own account
and then resell it to the customer.
Q. Okay.
A. That's how principal trades work.
Q. Okay. As a general statement with respect
to the investment advisory customers
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Now, obviously from whenever in 1992 you
stopped executing split-strike trades, there weren't
any purchases and sales?
A. That's correct.
Q. So
MR. SHEEHAN: Object to the form.
Sorry. What I'm going to do in the future is
just say form or object.
MS. CHAITMAN: That's fine. It doesn't
matter.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 100 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 99
1	MR. SHEEHAN: I don't want to interrupt
2	the flow.
3	MS. CHAITMAN: Yeah, that's all right.
4	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
5	Q. I want to exclude the period after you
6	stopped executing trades that were reflected on the
7	statement. So whenever that was. Okay. Whether
8	you know, whatever month of
9	A. Okay.
10	Q 1992 it was. Let me take the time before
11	that.
12	A. Yeah. Well, he's the Dubinsky report
13	that was making the statement of a trade that was a
14	convertible bond trade that was actually made. So the
15	example he's using he's not talking about a
16	split-strike.
17	He's talking he's saying that on the
18	convertible bond trade didn't really exist, because
19	Madoff's confirmation is incorrect.
20	Q. Okay.
21	A. So
22	Q. So let me so let me just try to
23	understand something. I want to take the period I
24	want to limit my questions and your answers to the
25	period when you before the split-strike fraud

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 101 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 100
1	began.
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Okay. So we're going to go earlier than
4	whatever date that was in 1992, when the split-strike
5	trades were not executed.
6	A. And the Dubinsky report that's making this
7	statement is referring to a convertible bond trade
8	that was in the period that you're talking about.
9	Q. Okay. So as to the convertible bond trades,
10	is it generally true that the customers were buying
11	from Madoff and selling to Madoff?
12	A. Correct. From Madoff's inventory that he
13	already had or that he just bought or sold.
14	Q. Is is that true for everyone in the
15	if if I take the convertible
16	A. Operating as a dealer. Yes.
17	Q. So with your investment advisory customers,
18	who were doing convertible arbitrage
19	A. Uh-huh.
20	Q their transactions always were with
21	Madoff?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. So
24	A. All of our customers we always traded
25	for

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 102 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 101
1	Q. From your own inventory?
2	A. Other than theoretically in options, we
3	traded from our own inventory.
4	Now, again, a dealer operates you a
5	customer may tell the we may decide to sell stock
6	to a customer or buy stock from a customer.
7	Now, we may already have that stock in our
8	inventory, and we're selling it to the customer from
9	inventory or the customer may we may not have the
10	stock in inventory.
11	So we have to go out and buy it into from
12	Wall Street, from the marketplace, into our inventory
13	and then resell it to the customer. So that might
14	happen over a period of the same day or it might
15	happen over a period of a week and so on.
16	Which brings me to the next point. Okay?
17	That
18	Q. Can you can you remember that? Because I
19	have a question about this.
20	A. Okay. Go ahead. Then give me that then.
21	Q. Give me a word, so I can remind you about
22	your next point.
23	A. I'll remember.
24	Q. You promise?
25	A. Yes.

Page 102
Q. Okay. So so let's say that in the
convertible arbitrage strategy you had a need for
5,000 shares of IBM, because you were going to sell
them to the convertible arbitrage customers.
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Mr. Dubinsky was looking for the
purchase of 5,000 shares of IBM on a specific date in
the market; right?
MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
BY MS. CHAITMAN:
Q. Is that what he should have been doing?
A. I'm not sure I understand your question.
Q. Okay. Well, let me start it over again.
Dubinsky made the point in several instances
that with the convertible arbitrage strategy the
statements all together showed more purchases of a
particular security than he could find records for
A. Okay.
Q on the New York Stock Exchange.
A. Okay. All right. So that's a that's a
different subject, but all right. He if
we're he what Dubinsky was was trying to
establish or was that the the number of bonds

that we -- let's say convertible bonds, because we're

Page 103

talking about convertibles, because we're referring to bonds rather than stock.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Okay? So Dubinsky is claiming that if we show on a confirmation or in a customer account that we bought stock -- we sold stock to a customer or bonds to -- to a customer, that he looks to try and establish that there weren't enough bonds that actually traded in the marketplace at the time that we claim that we bought the bonds for the customer.

All right. So the way he researches that is he looks on the New York Stock Exchange, you know, number of bonds that traded on the New York Stock Exchange, and shows that that was, let's say, 100 bonds, and Madoff bought 200 bonds for a customer.

So, therefore, he couldn't have possibly bought 200 bonds for a customer, because only 100 bonds traded on the -- on the New York Stock Exchange.

All right. Now, the -- the fallacy of that is that, number one, convertible bonds, which is what he's researching here, do not trade on the New York Stock Exchange. They trade over the counter.

And to demonstrate that I happen to -- I brought this. This is a very expensive book, I was told. I happened to find it in the library here, for

	CONTIDENTIAL
	Page 104
1	some strange reason. It's the it's the Bible of
2	of the bond markets. All right.
3	MR. GOLDMAN: Tell us the title, so we
4	have it.
5	THE WITNESS: It's the, "Bond and Money
6	Markets: Strategy, Trading, Analysis."
7	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
8	Q. And who is the author?
9	A. Moorad Choudhry or something of that sort.
10	MR. SHEEHAN: Could we have the
11	spelling of that since we won't be able to take
12	the book with us?
13	THE WITNESS: Moorad
14	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. So the the
15	book is written by, M-o-o-r-a-d, and then his
16	last name is, C-h-o-u-d-h-r-y. And it's
17	published by Butterworth-Heinemann Finance, and
18	it's called the, "Bond and Money Markets."
19	MR. SHEEHAN: What edition is it?
20	Sorry. So I don't want to have the wrong book.
21	MS. CHAITMAN: You know what? I'll let
22	you look at it. It it's it's first
23	edition.
24	MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. Fine.
25	MS. CHAITMAN: First edition.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 106 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 105 1 THE WITNESS: All right. You know, I 2 happened to have made a copy of this. 3 discussing the secondary market, which is 4 what's -- you know, when you -- when you buy and 5 sell stock for clients, you're buying in the 6 secondary market, as opposed to the primary 7 market. 8 The primary market is when a company 9 goes public, they sell stock in the primary 10 market. All right. Then after the company sells 11 that stock to the public, it then trades -- the 12 stock trades for the rest of the -- its life in 13 the secondary market. All right. 14 MR. GOLDMAN: Bernie, you keep saying, 15 "stock." You mean bond too; right? 16 THE WITNESS: Same thing. 17 MR. GOLDMAN: Okay. 18 THE WITNESS: You know. So, you know, 19 it basically is talking about -- you know, this 20 section was -- was talking about convertible 21 bonds. 22 It says here -- it says, "Corporate 23 bonds virtually everywhere are traded on an 24 over-the-counter, OTC, basis. That is directly 25 between counterparties over the telephone."

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 107 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 106

Meaning people like Madoff.

"Bonds are usually listed on the exchange." I'll read what it says. "On the New York Stock Exchange a low volume of trading in bonds takes place on the exchange itself, but this is dwarfed by the volume of trading in the bonds in the over-the-counter market."

In other words, what they're stating is that, although, this is a bond that is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, you know, most of the trading takes place in that bond in the over-the-counter market.

It's a listed bond. So you can buy it on the New York Stock Exchange, but no one does that. It's traded over the counter. So Dubinsky, you know, looks -- when he looks -- for example, it would be the same thing if he looked on the equity side of the market.

Let's say in -- in a split-strike

trade. If he looked in -- because IBM trades on

the New York Stock Exchange, if you just looked

at the volume on the New York Stock Exchange to

try and account for that -- for the customer

trading, if the customer traded in the

over-the-counter market, which is where we trade,

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 108 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 107

and where everybody else trades today, where it used to be 99 percent of the market, as I said earlier, traded on the floor of the exchange, now ten percent trades on the floor of the exchange, and the rest of it trades everywhere else.

And the convertible bonds have always been that way. In other words, so that Dubinsky looks at the -- in order to say, well, Madoff says he bought 200 bonds for a client.

Now, I'm looking on -- you know, in his report. He -- he looks on the New York Stock Exchange, and clearly he sees that that doesn't match.

He's ignoring the over-the-counter market. Even though in one of his examples it says the bond trading on the New York, and then it also says OTC market.

But bonds -- that was the price range
he was trying to establish in that. There is -if you spoke to anybody that knew anything -- you
know, and I'm not trying to be -- I'm not trying
to, you know, be sarcastic with him, but if you
spoke to any other person, you know, that -- that
understood how the markets work, and you said,
I -- I just looked at the New York Stock

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 109 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 108

Exchange, and he said, well, wait a minute.

Nobody trades bonds on the New York Stock

Exchange. They trade them in the

over-the-counter market.

So, you know, that was to me sort of surprising, that anybody would do that. It -- it demonstrated, you know, a total lack of understanding.

Again, this is not -- I don't want to be unfair to the man. I've had 50 years of experience dealing in things like this, where people who, you know, understand -- I wouldn't understand how lawyers operate.

Okay. So if you asked me how I'm supposed to give a deposition or anything else, I would not know. Of course, now I'm becoming an expert on that also, but it would not be -- I wouldn't -- you know, it wouldn't mean that --

I'm not saying Dubinsky is not a smart guy. He may be a very smart guy. He -- his CV is very good, but I've -- I've dealt with tons of people that are smart people and are experienced people, but, you know, it's like a brain surgeon going to a dentist for -- to have a brain surgery done.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 110 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 109

All right. So, to get back to your statement, his -- his lack of understanding how a confirmation in a dealer market works, which is clearly a mistake, or where the volume were traded is another mistake.

He -- he goes on to make another mistake when he talks about the price range.

Now, that's a little bit more complicated. Now, all of our transactions are -- are what's called average price transactions.

If you look on the back of my confirmation -- I'm going to take this for a second again -- it states, "Customer equity transactions" -- because this is an equity, being it says -- so it doesn't matter. It would be the same thing with bonds.

It says, "As per your authorization and instructions, we have executed this transaction for your account."

All right. It says, "Unless stated otherwise on the front of this confirmation, the trade price of your transaction is an average price and includes a commission equivalent of four cents per share markup. Full details of this transaction on request."

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 111 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 110

In other words, an average price transaction is when we buy stock for a customer or, you know, we buy stock. We -- we may start buying the stock on a Monday, and we may buy that Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday. So we may buy a -- 1,000 shares over a period of three days, four days or five days.

We start on a Monday. We may finish on a Friday. That's typically because we're buying a large amount of bonds or stock for a client.

And we may start buying the -- let's say, the bond at 98, and then we may wind up paying 100 by the time Friday is, because as the market moves.

So we're accumulating stock, a number of shares or bonds, over an average number of days at an average price.

So if we start buying a bond, let's say, at 98, and we wind up finishing buying it at 100, as the market moves up, we bought stock -- the bonds at 98, 99, 99 and a quarter and so on and so forth.

When we report the trade for the customer on Friday, which is when we're finished, we may have paid 90 -- 99 and three-quarters on the bond. All right. What Dubinsky -- you know,

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 112 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 111

that was the average that we accumulated for the customer.

Now, the 99 and three-quarters was bought over a period of three days. If -- if you look at -- if he looks at the price, the range of the stock, the price on the day that appears on the confirmation, it may show 100, which was where this -- the closing price was on that day.

All right. But, you know, we may -- we may sell it to the customer at 99 and three-quarters, which was the average price of that stock. He -- he thinks that that's a mistake.

How could we have bought the stock for the customer at 99 and three-quarters, when it shows that -- it shows that the cheapest price the stock traded was 100.

So he's saying that Madoff is -- is buying stock cheaper than he could have bought it in the marketplace. He's not accounting for the fact that we started buying it, you know, three days.

In other words, it wasn't -- he thinks it was all bought at one price on that day.

Okay. If he -- if he bothered to read the back

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 112 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 113 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 112
1	of the confirmation, which he probably never did,
2	you know, it clearly states that these are
3	average price transactions. They're not one
4	price.
5	Okay? We're
6	MR. SHEEHAN: I just have a little
7	housekeeping.
8	MS. CHAITMAN: Yeah.
9	MR. SHEEHAN: I'd like he read from
10	that. I'd like to get that marked. That.
11	MS. CHAITMAN: This this marked.
12	MR. GOLDMAN: The photocopy.
13	MR. SHEEHAN: And his notes too. He's
14	reading from notes.
15	THE WITNESS: This is just
16	MS. CHAITMAN: That page. Okay. Good.
17	Okay. So I'm going to mark as Exhibit 9, this is
18	a page from the volume that we've just described.
19	It's page 323.
20	MR. SHEEHAN: Great. And I think he
21	had his notes that he was reading from too.
22	So
23	MS. CHAITMAN: Did you have notes that
24	you were reading from?
25	MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah.

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 113 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 114 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 113
1	MR. GOLDMAN: Yeah, there was.
2	THE WITNESS: Where did I put them?
3	MS. CHAITMAN: Were there I did mark
4	notes before. Are there new notes? I didn't
5	notice.
6	MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah, there were notes
7	that were part of the
8	THE WITNESS: Here they are.
9	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay.
10	MR. GOLDMAN: There they are.
11	MS. CHAITMAN: Can I mark that?
12	THE WITNESS: You can have it, but it's
13	my only copy. Okay. I am not finished with it
14	yet. So don't don't take it.
15	MS. CHAITMAN: No. I'm not taking it.
16	(MADOFF EXHIBIT 9 WAS MARKED FOR
17	IDENTIFICATION.)
18	MS. CHAITMAN: I'm going to mark as
19	Exhibit 10 some handwritten notes that Mr. Madoff
20	has been referring to, and I'm going to give it
21	back to Mr. Madoff.
22	(MADOFF EXHIBIT 10 WAS MARKED FOR
23	IDENTIFICATION.)
24	MR. SHEEHAN: For the record, there's
25	notes on the back of that page too.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 115 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 114
1	MS. CHAITMAN: Yeah, yeah.
2	MR. SHEEHAN: That'll be fine.
3	MS. CHAITMAN: But it's all one
4	exhibit.
5	MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. It is one exhibit.
6	MS. CHAITMAN: Yeah.
7	THE WITNESS: Okay. So
8	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
9	Q. So let just to catch up. One mistake he
10	made was not understanding that on the trade
11	confirmations it Madoff the firm is buying if
12	it says, "bought," it means Madoff, the firm, bought?
13	A. It means that it means yes. The,
14	"we," refers to the firm, Madoff, bought from the
15	customer or sold to the customer.
16	Q. To the customer. Okay. And and sold
17	from the firm's own inventory?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. Okay. And then you've made the point that
20	Dubinsky looked for confirmation of the volume on the
21	New York Stock Exchange or the London Stock Exchange,
22	and, in fact, the convertible bonds were not sold on
23	the you didn't buy them on the
24	A. We traded in the over-the-counter market.
25	Q. Right.

Page 115

- A. And there was not even volume reported -- in the days -- the period of time he's looking for there was no volume -- actually, the majority of the volume was never reported in the over-the-counter market, because there was no volume requirements to report volume in bonds in the over-the-counter market until a later date.
 - Q. Okay. Do you --
 - A. It was very -- it was a very -- I don't -- it was very hazy, the -- the volume reporting requirements on -- on bond -- in the bond market was never really -- it was that way -- to this day it's sort of a gray area.
 - Q. Okay. All right. Now -- now you want to go -- do you have another area that you want to cover?
 - A. We've covered the confirmations and the statements, and we've covered the volume, and we've covered the price. So basically what I'm saying is his -- his criticism of the price of the volume and of the confirmations and statements are inaccurate.
 - Q. Now, Mr. Dubinsky says that there's no proof that the bonds were converted.
 - A. Okay. A -- the -- the typical strategy on convertible bonds is not to convert. In other words, nobody in their right mind should ever -- if a

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 117 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 116

convertible bond exists, you would never buy the stock, as opposed to buying the bond, if you could buy the bond at a discount.

In other words, a convertible bond trade -a convertible bond -- let's say a bond is convertible
into 100 shares of stock at \$10 a share. All right.
The -- the convertible bond always should trade at a
premium above what it's convertible into the price of
the stock at, because it has a coupon attached. It
pays interest.

So convertible bonds typically trade at a premium. In other words, the bond theoretically would be worth 100 based upon the price of the stock at ten. The bond should always trade above 100.

It should trade at 101, 100 and a half and so on, because of the fact that the convertible bond is always -- is always going to be worth more than the stock, you know, because of the coupon that it has to it.

So convertible bonds sometimes trade at a discount, but rarely. Usually they trade at a premium. All right. When you combine a bond at -- a convertible bond at a -- at a discount, you could theoretically buy the bond, let's say, at 98, when it really should be selling at 100.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 118 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 117

All right. Because you could buy the bond at 98. Sell the stock at ten. Would be -- you'd make a two point profit by converting it. So ideally you would say, okay. If you -- because then you'd have no risk.

You'd immediately buy the bond at a discount, sell the common stock simultaneously at a profit, and then convert one into the other. You exchange the bond by selling -- sending it to the conversion agent and say, here is your bond back. You know, I want stock back. And then you'd deliver the stock out, and you'd make a profit.

But most bonds trade at premiums, which is what they shouldn't be doing. All right. So the typical strategy of a convertible bond trader would be to -- to buy the bond either at a discount, which allows you to convert it immediately and make a profit, or hold the bond open and wait for the bond to go to a premium, where it historically should trade at, and then you sell out the bond, cover the stock and un -- what's called unwinding the transaction.

Which Dubinsky in his report does state that that is one of the -- he has that right. That that is the -- you do that kind of trading, you know, either buying it and converting it or unwinding the

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 119 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 118

transaction. He acknowledges -- he has that -- that part right.

He doesn't go into the fact that most bonds should not be converted, but anybody that is familiar with convertible bond trading would know that.

All right. The -- so what Dubinsky then does is he says that Madoff, you know, who -- who did buy bonds at a discount and sell the stock, you know, accordingly, it had a locked-in profit, he never physically converted -- he never sent the bonds in to be converted, you know, and take the profit that way, which he should have -- he should have done, you know.

But he said, there's no evidence that he ever put the bonds into conversion, because typically he would have found in our records, he assumes, some sort of instructions to the conversion agent to convert the bonds into stock.

All right. And if, in fact, we did that, he would -- he would find those instructions, but our strategy, as he acknowledges, as most people's strategy would be, to -- to not convert. He doesn't, you know, really go into the details of that.

So our strategy is basically buy the bond, sell the stock. And even though we have a profit and could convert it and lock in the profit, is we don't

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 120 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 119

convert it. We hold it open, and then we undo the whole transaction when the premium goes back to where it should be.

As a matter of fact, when David Kugel was first hired by me his job was to track all of the convertible bonds that traded in the marketplace. And we had a -- a whole spreadsheet that -- that we developed for him that tracked what every bond that was trading in the marketplace historically traded at.

So it would look and see that -- let's say
IBM bonds traded over this past two years always went
to a two point premium. It always traded at a two
point premium.

You know, every now and then it -- it would go to a -- a discount or a one point premium, and then, you know, it would go back to that premium. So we would track them historically.

And we would always look to see when the bond was -- you know, was changing its relationship. And then we would go into the marketplace and buy those bonds. And that's what all convertible bonds traded, but that was our specialty, was trading this way.

All right. But anybody that was a good convertible bond trader did the same thing. It was

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 121 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 120

not unique to us. We happened to do more of it than most people.

All right. So our goal was to not convert.

It was -- it was basically to unwind the transaction.

All right. So because he -- he could never see that

we were actually converting, and -- and he did find

some that we converted, but not -- you know, but there

were instances -- he made the assumption that we would

always convert, and that -- that's totally untrue.

All right. Because what we would do would be when -- when the premium went to where we expected it to go to, we would, what's known as, unwind it or swap the transaction, which another dealer that had the transaction.

The problem -- the customer would make -- he could make more money theoretically, you know, within what we -- than what he made had we actually converted it. He could make a greater profit or he could make the same profit. It didn't matter.

But as a dealer our goal was to keep bonds out of conversion, because the more you kept the bonds out of conversion, you kept them open in the marketplace to trade at a -- at a future time.

So -- because once you convert a bond it's out of existence any longer. So the goal of all

Page 121

traders is to keep these bonds in circulation. So you could --

It would be like if a customer -- if
everybody took the stock that they bought, and they -and they -- the company bought back more of their
stock, when a company, like IBM, buys back their stock
in the marketplace, they're taking it out of existence
anymore. Nobody can trade that stock. So everybody
wants to keep these bonds in circulation.

All right. Now, another mistake that he makes is if, in fact, we did convert -- you know, he makes the assumption that we would physically put the bonds ourselves into conversion, which, you know, I can understand him thinking that we would do that.

All right. But he makes the assumption that because he didn't see any instructions from Madoff to the conversion agent, that we didn't convert.

What he seems to not understand, which I can understand him not understanding, is we had -- he thinks our only bank was J.P. Morgan and Bank of New York, which -- in the 2000's those were our main banks.

J.P. Morgan was our primary bank when we were dealing with customers in split-strike, and our operating bank when we were dealing with our

Page 122
market-making and dealer in in general, doing
hundreds of thousands of trades, were handled through
Bank of New York, which was our operating bank.
All right. In the period that he's looking
at the convertible bonds in the '70s and the '80s, we
had our banks were Chase Manhattan Bank,
Continental Bank, Commercial Bank of North America,
Meadowbrook National Bank, Marine Midland Bank.
You know, we also had clearing arrangements
with with Barclays, with Carlo LaBorde (PHONETIC) &
Company, Edwards & Hanley (PHONETIC) and others. In
other words
Q. Bear Stearns?
A. Huh?
Q. Bear Stearns?
A. Bear Stearns. So he like he doesn't see
any of that, because he wouldn't. He's looking at
you know, in the in the more recent period those

banks have already been merged out or don't exist any

You know, when we -- when we did convert

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

longer.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 124 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 123

like Commercial Bank of North America, because if the bank's conversion agent was in Chicago, and we wanted to convert it, we would have -- we would have had to either send somebody out to Chicago or a messenger to convert the bond or mail it and hope that the mail -- the bonds actually got there.

You would never do that. You would -- you would give it to a bank, you know, and the bank would convert it for you. So we would give it to the Commercial Bank of North America.

They had -- they were a large clearing bank, and they would physically convert it with arrangements through whoever the conversion agent was doing that.

All right. He doesn't -- he has no way of knowing that, because those banks weren't -- he doesn't even know those banks existed when they were there. So the banks themselves, which we would convert, would -- would draft out.

It was the same thing like when we would deliver -- before we formed the clearing corporation on equities, if you sold stock to a brokerage firm in Chicago, in order to get paid for that you would have to mail that stock to Chicago and hope that they sent you a check while the bank -- you know, when they finally got it.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 125 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 124

All right. As opposed to when we developed a clearing corporation, meaning the National Securities Clearing -- Clearing Corporation, NTTC, you know, we would -- they would clear the whole transaction.

So it would -- you know, of course, the industry -- another thing I got blamed for was founding the clearing corporation, because Wall Street cleared all of their transactions through basically a couple of major banks, like Citicorp, Chase and so on.

Nobody -- they handled -- they -- brokerage firms themselves didn't all have the facilities -- back office facility. So a bank used to clear those trades for them. The banks -- that was a great business for banks.

So the industry, because of the paperwork crisis, decided they had to have a -- they had to have a clearing corporation developed to clear the trades for the industry.

The major banks in the United States went crazy with that concept, because they wanted to keep all of the business themselves. They wanted brokerage firms to be required to -- to use the banks to clear the transactions rather than have a clearing corporation.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 126 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 125

But finally we -- you know, the SEC put enough pressure on people to develop a clearing corporation. So we formed the National Securities Clearing Corporation, which I became the chairman of.

And then we merged also Depository Trust into that. So that brokerage firms would send their deliveries of stocks rather than through the mail, where you have to use the bank to do that, to use a clearing corporation, which settled all of the trades for the whole industry.

So what -- what happens today -- I'm telling you more than you probably are interested or wanting to know, but if I -- I may buy stock -- you know, sell 10,000 shares to Merrill Lynch and 10,000 shares to someone else and so on and so forth.

And buy and sell all day long with -- you know, like we did hundreds of thousands of transactions as -- as the report points out. The clearing corporation nets all of these transactions, you know, among the Wall Street brokerage firms.

And at the end of the day they net out -- I may have bought and sold a million shares during the day, but the net comes out to 500 shares between all my buys and sells.

They send me a bill at the end of the day

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 127 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 126
1	that I either have to pay on the 500 shares or get a
2	check for the 500 shares of stock. And all of the
3	banks went out of the clearing business, and now it's
4	all handled by the National Securities Clearing
5	Corporation Depository Trust.
6	Q. Okay. I want to go back, because that's one
7	of the things that Dubinsky talks about, that he can't
8	find trade confirmations. Now, we're dealing with
9	A. So
10	Q the convertible arbitrage strategy
11	strategy in the 1980s.
12	THE COURT REPORTER: Can I ask for a
13	break?
14	MS. CHAITMAN: Of course. Of course.
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
16	record. The time is 12:09.
17	(RECESS FROM 12:09 P.M. TO 12:16 P.M.)
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record.
19	The time is 12:16.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay. So I think we were
21	at the the clearing of oh, so that his
22	inability to find instructions to convert bonds,
23	you know, all the time was that he was not aware
24	of the fact that if we did convert bonds we had
25	the ability and did convert bonds through other

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 128 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

ſ	Page 127
1	clearing other clearing banks.
2	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
3	Q. So that you wouldn't have the records for
4	that?
5	A. Wouldn't they would not exist, because
6	there would be no way that we would put the bonds
7	physically for the most part we did some some of
8	them, depending upon what the year it was and what he
9	was looking for, but, as I say, the idea was not to
10	to actually convert, but
11	Q. Right.
12	A to arrange a swap arrangement
13	Q. Right.
14	A or a clearing arrangement.
15	Q. Okay. Now, he also makes the point that he
16	couldn't find trade confirmations.
17	A. Okay. That when one of the times
18	that when you first came down, if you still
19	remember
20	Q. And when you say, "you," are you
21	A. Meaning David Sheehan
22	Q. Sheehan.
23	A and his staff of attorneys came down
24	here. I think it was at that time that they mentioned
25	to me that they could not find confirmations

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 129 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 128

counterparty confirmations on transactions.

So -- and we were buying and selling stock through other brokerage firms for clients. They expected us to -- they saw that we had confirmations selling and buying stocks from the customer, but they did not find any confirmations to the broker.

All right. Now, number one, if we were dealing as principal, which clearly our confirmations stated, and we always did it as principal, we would -- there wouldn't be a counterparty on the other side of the trade, because we were the counterparty on the other side of the side of the trade.

But when we did go out into the street to buy the stock, you know, there would be a counterparty, you know, on the other side of the trade. He couldn't find the confirmation. So he saw thousands of confirmations with clients, but he never saw any broker confirmations, period.

So he said to the -- you weren't buying and selling stock from anywhere. You know, there were no confirmations. And I looked at him, and I said, well, no. You know, I was sort of -- didn't understand the question, because I didn't understand why he expected there to find confirmations.

Q. When you say, "him," to whom are you

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 130 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 129
1	referring?
2	A. The trustee.
3	Q. Okay.
4	A. And so it was that you know, I then
5	realized that, you know, he expected to find you
6	know, just as he found a broker confirmation, he
7	assumed that every time we went and sold or bought
8	stock from a customer we bought it from another
9	broker, which sometimes we did.
10	Sometimes we bought it out of inventory. If
11	it was bought out of inventory, there wouldn't be
12	there would be a customer confirmation at an earlier
13	date that that we put it into our inventory, but
14	All right. But, I said, there there are
15	no confirmations. And that sort of went on deaf ears.
16	Now, number one, I said, first of all, you're looking
17	at we don't we don't keep any records of
18	customer confirmations from the past six years.
19	I said, the record retention period for the
20	industry is six years. So after six years everybody
21	gets rid of all of their records. You can imagine, we
22	do hundreds of thousands of trades everyday.
23	If we if we kept paperwork for all of
24	those transactions, you know, it would be impossible.

I said, so we keep customer confirmations for, you

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 131 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 130

know, a longer period of time, because customers need that for tax purposes, audits and so on and so forth.

But a general policy, we don't keep records for more than six years, because that's what the record retention period does. Even though we did have records, because -- and I used to always yell at my people.

I used to say, after six years, get rid of everything, because I'm paying for storage on this stuff. But I said, wait a minute. I said, you won't -- you won't find confirmations for any of my trades.

I said, I do hundreds of thousands of shares -- of trades everyday. I said, you don't find -- you can't find any of those confirmations. I said, so are you now assuming because you can't find a confirmation when my market-makers or proprietary traders bought hundreds of thousands of trades everyday that those trades didn't take place either?

And there was no answer. I said, first of all, are you aware of the fact that brokers stopped issuing confirmations years ago?

- Q. How --
- A. Because of the clearing corporation.
 - Q. How many years ago?

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 132 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 131

A. Well, there's what's called a continuous net settlement, which I started to say. In other words, when we buy and sell stock all day long, anybody buys and sell stocks when they're long, they don't issue a counterparty confirmation to Merrill Lynch, because those trades are reported automatically through the clearinghouse, and you get -- you don't get confirmations.

Customers get -- confirmations get mailed out back and forth, but the industry does not issue confirmations to each other, you know, as a general rule. You can, if you want, but nobody would do that.

So I said, so making your supposition that you can't find a confirmation from a brokerage firm on the other side of a customer trade, and you can't find -- you won't find a confirmation on the other side of a - of a non-customer trade either, because they don't -- I don't have any customer confirmations in my records.

I said, how can you not understand that?

Now, maybe -- like my lawyers -- you can't expect him to understand. They're lawyers. They're not brokerage firms.

I said, all right, but you're asking me questions -- you know, they're asking me questions

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 133 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	part of the state
	Page 132
1	that they have to get somebody that explains that.
2	Now, certainly Dubinsky would know that, but
3	he doesn't even mention in fairness to Dubinsky, he
4	doesn't he doesn't mention anything about this
5	confirmation issue, because he clearly knows that
6	much.
7	This was the trustee, Irving Picard, and his
8	attorneys, or, I guess, and David's partners or
9	whatever. And maybe they had no reason to know that
10	either, because the average person would not know
11	that.
12	Q. When did the continuous net settlement
13	system come into place? Was it in place in the '80s?
14	A. Yes. Probably in the '80s.
15	Q. Okay.
16	A. So
17	Q. So how how does that work? At the end of
18	the day you just get a computerized printout
19	A. Right.
20	Q with with the net amount that you have
21	to sell
22	A. Right.
23	Q or receive?
24	A. Right.
25	Q. Okay. Okay. And if you were doing

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 134 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 133
1	over-the-counter purchases and sales of subordinated
2	bonds, convertible bonds
3	A. Yeah.
4	Q was that done on a continuous net
5	settlement basis also?
6	A. No.
7	Q. How was that done?
8	A. It was just it was you wouldn't issue
9	a confirmation. It was well, it depends you
LO	want to know about a convertible bond for a for a
11	claim?
12	Q. When you were doing the investment I'm
13	focusing on the 1980s.
14	A. Right.
1.5	Q. The convertible arbitrage transactions.
16	A. Uh-huh. We wouldn't have there wouldn't
17	be it we would issue, you know, a we would
18	issue a confirmation there, but we wouldn't have those
19	in our records in the '80s, because we don't hold the
20	confirmations after six years.
21	Q. Right. And the if you were you were
22	selling to the customer, you had a confirmation, but
23	when you were buying it
24	A. There wouldn't be a confirmation.
25	Q for inventory, there wouldn't be a

	CONTIDENTIAL
	Page 134
1	confirmation?
2	A. No. Not not after six years.
3	Q. Okay. Okay. So we're we're going we
4	started out listing the mistakes that you felt
5	A. Right.
6	Q Dubinsky made. Did he make a mistake
7	with respect to using the trade date versus the
8	settlement date; is that what you covered already?
9	A. The average price. Yes.
10	Q. Okay.
11	A. On the ranges?
12	Q. Yeah.
13	A. Well, it's if you look at the range on
14	you wouldn't have there wouldn't be a there
15	wouldn't be a record of the ranges.
16	Well, first of all, you can't even use the
17	ranges, because you'd have to well, he in order
18	to in order in other words, if the SEC was doing
19	an audit, which they did all of the time as to, you
20	know, best what's called best execution, they would
21	actually look and see, you know, what dates you bought
22	this stock.
23	Okay. You know, if it was an average price
24	transaction, they would have to go back and look at
25	all of the days, you know, that you accumulated the

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 136 of 274
*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 135

stock, not just use the last day that you reported the trade to the customer, because they understand what an average price is.

Now, the only ones -- typically if you call up a broker, and you tell him, buy me, you know, 50 shares of IBM or 200 shares of IBM, they would actually -- they wouldn't do that over the course of a day.

All right. Because that -- you know, they would just buy -- it's a small amount of a lot, but if you're dealing with -- with discretionary accounts or you're accumulating a larger -- with a -- a portfolio of accounts, the way we always traded, you would always do an average price transaction.

So they -- what they would have to do is go back, which they would do, and see what was your real average price. They would verify what the average price was, not just look at the last day, because they would realize that you would never be able to find a -- you would rarely be able to find a match, because you'd --

- Q. Right.
- 23 -- have done it, you know, at different 24 periods of time.
 - Q. Right, right. Are there other general

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 137 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 136

mistakes that you can recall from --

A. Well, we -- he mentions that David -- well, he talks about David Kugel as -- you know, he mentions in the report that David Kugel -- in other words, he acknowledges that they don't have records going back to the time that David -- he's talking about David Kugel, because we don't -- there are no records.

So he's -- he points out as a footnote that he's using David Kugel's information to plea bargain that he created fictitious trades. Now, as I stated before, that makes no sense to me at all.

And I think that David Kugel -- I'm not even -- I'm not saying that he's lying. I'm saying that he misinterpreted -- what he said when he created a trade, he's misinterpreting what he's saying.

In other words, if -- if I -- if I give instructions to -- you know, if I wanted -- if I decide I want to sell stock to a customer out of my inventory, I -- I could say to someone like David Kugel, you know, I want to sell stock to -- I want to sell, you know, IBM to the client.

So we have 100 bonds in -- 100 convertible bonds in the account. I want to sell to Carl Shapiro, you know, 20 bonds. You know, I want to do a convertible trade for him.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 138 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 137

Give instructions, you know, to Annette to buy, you know, convertible bond, you know, for -- for Carl Shapiro, and, you know, just tell her what -- tell them what the formula -- what the formula is, so she knows how many bonds -- how to set the trade up.

He -- you know, he would write these instruction sheet -- this -- this convertible bond, you've got to -- if you're going to do 50 bonds, you know, this is -- this is how many bonds, and this is how much stock you sell.

And it gives her like -- she then looks at my inventory record and sees, okay. He -- he has X number of bonds he can convert. Takes it out of -- out of the investment account or the firm's trading account into this customer account.

So David Kugel has no idea, nor has any other trader, what the -- what the firm's net inventory. We could have -- we could have -- we have five different traders trading IBM convert. They're all competing with each other.

They don't want to -- they never want David to know what his position is, because he -- you know, they're competing with him. That's part of the strategy of the firm, all market-making firms.

So he -- if -- if -- if someone says, well,

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 139 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 138
1	give them instructions, he'll give he'll give
2	Annette or Jodi instructions of how many bonds to
3	to buy and sell for this customer.
4	He has no idea after that where that
5	where it's coming from. He doesn't know whether it's
6	coming from the firm's inventory, from his inventory
7	or someone else's inventory. He would not know that.
8	So if if he says to somebody, which is a
9	very I'm going back to like when I told in my
10	proffer agreement that I short stock to a customer.
11	And they said, you sold stock to a customer? How can
12	you short stock a customer? You're selling them stock
13	that you don't own.
14	And I say, yeah, market-makers do that all
15	day long. That's part of our business. We're
16	shorting stock to a customer. And he and an alarm
17	bell goes off and says, well, how can you sell stock
18	to a customer you don't own?
19	Q. Okay. Well, that
20	A. You know
21	Q. Let me ask you that.
22	A. Okay.
23	Q. Was that illegal, for you to be selling
24	stock to a customer that you didn't own?
25	A. No. I'll I'll explain that too,
L	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 140 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 139

because that's -- that's another issue, but let me just finish this thing.

So that if, in fact, David Kugel or anybody is -- is giving instructions to the operations side of the business, meaning Annette or Jodi, of -- of how to do a trade, that doesn't mean that's a fictitious trade.

He -- you know, he has no idea. He's just telling her how to do the allocation of the trade.

Not -- he doesn't know if -- you know, whether or not the firm has it in inventory or doesn't have it in inventory.

But even if I wanted to short it to the customer, let's say I didn't have it in inventory, there's nothing wrong with that. I am allowed to short stock to a customer.

Theoretically I could have shorted all of these split-strike trades to the customer forever. My violation was not going short. It was not recording the short on my financial records as a liability, which I guarantee you, nobody understands that.

To this day if I called up the prosecutor,

Litt, and I said to him, you know, I don't have -
there's nothing wrong with me shorting stock. He

would look at me and say, that's not true. You can't

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 141 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 140

1 short to a customer.

And I can prove that to you, because I don't know how many times, you know, I had to -- I had to argue this case in front of the SEC and with the issuing companies, like Apple computer and everything at -- when we were at board meetings with the IBM.

Average company does not want you to ever short stock. In other words, every company that trades on an exchange does not want a brokerage firm to sell stock that he doesn't own.

They think that short selling is illegal, is immoral and should never be done. All right. That's what they want. They don't want ever -- they don't want anybody to be able to short stock.

Just like nobody wanted, you know, George Soros to short Sterling and make a billion dollars shorting -- you know, breaking the market on -- on shorting.

But what they don't understand is that shorting is a very -- you know, a very, you know, legitimate market, you know, thing to do in the marketplace, and it's required, because it keeps stocks from going to artificially high prices.

All right. And the -- it certainly happens that as I was -- when I was looking for -- reading

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 142 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 141

another book, one of the things I do here is I tutor people on finance and the marketplace.

Of course, the Bureau of Prisons only big concern is that I'm teaching them a fraud. All right. So originally I was told, no, you can't tutor or teach anybody here, you know, but I said, listen, I said, they -- you have outside people coming in here, professors, to -- to lecture, you know, as part of, you know, the justice department, and they're all asking, can Bernie Madoff -- you have Bernie Madoff sitting here. Let him lecture people.

And the Bureau of Prisons says, the newspapers are going to say that Bernie Madoff is -- is perpetuating a fraud. Just like when they put me in charge -- when I first got here, my first job was in the engraving department.

I was engraving signs, you know, that they hung on walls here. So the -- the Wall Street Journal said, Bernie's first job is engraving, you know. So they said, take him away from the engraving department.

And they -- I had seven jobs in seven days, because no matter what I was doing, including I was in charge of cleaning the computers, you know, can't do that, you know, because you're reprogramming the

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 142 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 143 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 142
1	computer.
2	I couldn't reprogram my telephone number.
3	You know, that's not my not my strengths here. So
4	I'm now my job is now cleaning the laundry room.
5	That's my job here.
6	The I'll there's a book that
7	was written by someone like Dubinsky. He has a very
8	big and he talks about
9	MR. GOLDMAN: Tell us the name of the
10	book and the author, Bernie.
11	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what
12	it
13	MS. CHAITMAN: May I mark that whole
14	thing as
15	THE WITNESS: "Secret Weapon."
16	MS. CHAITMAN: the next exhibit?
17	MR. SHEEHAN: Could you just mark it as
18	an exhibit?
19	MS. CHAITMAN: Yeah. Let me just mark
20	it.
21	Can I mark this whole thing?
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
23	MS. CHAITMAN: Is it all connected?
24	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
25	MS. CHAITMAN: Is it all one

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 143 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 144 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 143
1	THE WITNESS: Yeah, yeah.
2	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay.
3	THE WITNESS: Anyhow
4	MS. CHAITMAN: So I'm marking as
5	Exhibit 11
6	(MADOFF EXHIBIT 11 WAS MARKED FOR
7	IDENTIFICATION.)
8	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
9	Q a it says the author is Kevin Freeman,
10	and the title is, "Secret Weapon." And it's pages
11	I don't know what the first page is, but the
12	MR. SHEEHAN: It's the inside cover.
13	Q. The inside cover is 78. 78 to 83, and then
14	123 and 124.
15	A. He's talking about how the markets work. He
16	talks about bear runs, and he talks about naked short
17	selling, and he talks about the Madoff exemption.
18	Q. Is that a term that's in the industry?
19	A. Naked yeah, naked
20	Q. No, but the Madoff exemption?
21	A. Yes. In other words, he goes on to state,
22	"Long before he was convicted of defrauding the
23	American public of some 50 billion through a Ponzi
24	scheme, Bernie Madoff was chairman of the National
25	Association of Securities Dealers, NASD. In that

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 145 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 144

capacity he appeared regularly at the SEC and served on agency panels."

And then he quotes them as saying, "When it came to Bernie, people paid more attention, said Georgetown University law school professor, Donald Langevoort, who worked on an SEC panel with Madoff."

He quoted -- then goes on to quote, saying,
"This was a guy who really knew how markets worked.

He was the grownup in the room. If there was a

confession" -- "if there was confusion or a question

or two people on opposite sides going at each other,

Bernie would speak up and explain what the deal was.

I'm sure in some ways that may have thrown even the

commission off their guard."

"One of Madoff's key accomplishments at the SEC was to get a rule approved, the so-called Madoff exemption, that allowed market-makers to naked short sell. Market-makers are broker-dealer firms that gain fees by holding shares of securities in order to help grease the wheels of trading."

"If someone buys stock in a company, it is the market-maker who sells the stock and then finds an offsetting order. This keeps the markets flowing smoothly."

"In the case of short selling if the

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 146 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 145

market-maker has no inventory of the shares sold, the firm is allowed to create an IOU for the shares. This is a form of naked short selling legalized by the Madoff market exemption."

Basically what he's stating, this is the -he's quoting this law professor at Georgetown, who
served on a panel with me, that says that I could sell
stock short.

So selling stock short, not only is it something that is to the benefit of the marketplace, market-makers are required to sell stock short. So theoretically I don't ever have to, you know, buy stock for a customer.

I'm responsible for producing that stock if the customer ever wants it. And any profit that the customer makes in the trade I'm responsible for.

So in theory, which my attorney said to me, Bernie, you know, you can -- you're not doing anything wrong with being short these split-strike trades to the client, you know. You know, I can short all day long, and I do short stock at times. Every brokerage firm short stocks to a customer.

My violation was not showing the IOU, the liability, you know, on my balance sheet. That's what the violation was. I would have also been out of

	Page 146
1	ratio, you know, by not if I did show that. So
2	Q. So you mean that there was do you if
3	you sell short, do you have an obligation is it
4	your understanding that you have an obligation to the
5	customer?
6	A. To produce that stock if the customer wants
7	it.
8	Q. Right, but do you have an obligation and
9	we're talking about the investment advisory customer.
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Did you have an obligation to tell the
12	customer, your statement shows 30 shares of IBM, but
13	I'm actually short that
14	A. No.
15	Q position?
16	A. No.
17	Q. So it was
18	A. No. You don't you're not
19	Q. So if if a customer is if a securities
20	customer is dealing with a market-maker
21	A. Or anybody.
22	Q. Well, only the market-makers have the
23	exemption.
24	A. No, no. That's that's wrong. The
25	market the the anybody can sell stock short

	Page 147
1	to a customer, you know. The it's they always
2	can sell stock through shorting, but he
3	You know, what he's talking about is you
4	know, is that, you know, I was the one that argued for
5	the short stock selling, because what he he
6	confuses the situation, because there are certain
7	requirements that a market if a market-maker is
8	shorting stock to a customer or to anybody, he has
9	to his records have to show that he shorted the
10	stock.
11	MR. SHEEHAN: Who is, "he"?
12	THE WITNESS: Meaning the brokerage
13	firm.
14	MR. SHEEHAN: Okay.
15	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
16	Q. Okay. So the brokerage so let's just
17	take the split-strike. Okay?
18	MR. GOLDMAN: Wait. Before before
19	you ask the question, I think he said and the
20	transcript will speak for itself, obviously, but
21	I think he said that the the market-maker was
22	required to sell short. Did you mean permitted
23	to sell short?
24	THE WITNESS: Well, you you're
25	required to make a two-sided market. So if, in

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 149 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 148 fact, you -- you're not required to sell stock to 1 2 the customer short. You're allowed to sell stock 3 to a customer short. 4 MR. GOLDMAN: That's --5 THE WITNESS: If -- in other words, 6 that's the difference. You have to be -- you 7 have to sell it at a price that's related to the 8 marketplace, you know. 9 And you have to -- you -- you -- you 10 would put it on your records that you're selling 11 short on the original order ticket. You have to 12 mark it, because it's what they call an uptake 13 rule and so on, which means you have to -- it's 14 not important. It's confusing. 15 BY MS. CHAITMAN: 16 0. So -- so basically if you take John Okay. 17 He's a split-strike customer. His statement 18 shows that he owns a portfolio of securities, and, in 19 fact, you don't at that time own them. 20 A. No. 21 Q. Are you saying that there's nothing 22 fraudulent about issuing a statement to an IA 23 customer --Uh-huh. 24 A. 25 -- an investment advisory customer, showing Q.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 150 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

ľ	Page 149
1	securities as having been purchased for the customer,
2	when, in fact, they haven't been purchased?
3	A. That's correct.
4	MR. SHEEHAN: Object.
5	THE WITNESS: You don't have to
6	you're not required. It's not the customer's
7	business whether or not you're selling the stock
8	from long or whether you're selling it short.
9	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
10	Q. Okay. So what you're saying is that the
11	fact that the split-strike conversion strategy was
12	carried out from sometime in 1992 until December of
13	2008 without your actually owning the securities that
14	showed up on the statements, that was not a fraud, but
15	the fraud was that you didn't disclose to the SEC on
16	your focus reports
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q that you had that debt?
19	A. Right.
20	MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Objection,
21	but go ahead.
22	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
23	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
24	Q. Okay. Can you put this in your own words,
25	so we don't have any confusion about it? I just want

Page 150

it to be very clear on the record.

A. That there is nothing wrong with selling stock to a customer out of a short position. In other words, you do not have -- that is not a violation.

The violation -- and it is typical for a brokerage firm to at times sell stock to a customer short. That is not a violation itself.

And the customer doesn't care whether the stock that you are selling him is stock that you actually are long or short. You know, the customer assumes, as -- and, rightfully so, that if he wants -- if wants delivery of those securities, or he wants the profit made from the transaction, that you're still obligated to do that.

So had I reported this transaction on my financial records as a liability, that would be -- that would be all right, but because I didn't, that's where the violation was.

Now, obviously, I couldn't do that, because my -- my liabilities would have been too great. Which brings me to another error, you know, that I find in the Dubinsky report. See if I can remember where it was. Related to that. The -- well --

Q. Do you -- do you want -- do you want her to read back your last comment? Maybe it'll prompt you

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 152 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 151
1	to
2	A. No.
3	Q to recall.
4	A. No. I'll think of it. First of all, here
5	is this. This is yours, and this is yours, I think.
6	I have too much paperwork here.
7	Q. I just want to go back back to this.
8	Forgive me, but it intrigues me.
9	So the entire portfolio that was purportedly
10	owned by the investment advisory customers from 19
11	from whenever it was in 1992 that you stopped buying
12	the securities that showed up on the statements until
13	2008, you had always honored withdrawals?
14	A. Right.
15	Q. You never defaulted in any of your
16	obligations to the customers?
17	A. Not until, you know, I went out of business.
18	Q. Right. Okay. So the only violation of law
19	that you understand you committed was not disclosing
20	on your focus reports that you had sold short; is that
21	right?
22	A. Not that I had sold short. That I didn't
23	I did not reflect my liabilities. That was because of
24	the short position.
25	Q. Right.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 153 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 152
Α.	The short position would have reflected a
liability	to the customer.
Q.	To purchase the shares?
Α.	I did not show that.
Q.	Okay. Okay. And I just want to ask you one
other this	ng, which is not related, except it came into
my mind.	
Α.	Okay. Before you do that
Q.	Oh, okay.
Α.	I remembered what I was going to say.
Q.	Go ahead.
A.	Dubinsky states that well, he he he
acknowled	ges that he he doesn't have records to
prove this	s, but he infers in some language that
because I	did not on my focus reports
	One of the one of the things that he
points he	makes to demonstrate that I was he was
trying to	establish his theory, obviously, initiated
by the tru	istee, that my fraud went back almost to the
beginning	of time.
	All right. That I didn't do any business,
because I	did not reflect any customer business on my
financials	s on my focus reports. Customers that
were yo	ou know, activity, long and shorts.

So -- because he says that, you know, I

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 154 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 153

mean, he -- he's saying he could have been doing business in -- for customers in the '80s or '70s or '60s, the '70s even, because my focus reports, which he doesn't have, by the way, because he doesn't -- he can't get that, but he's assuming that there was no customer, you know, business -- no customer positions shown on my focus reports.

All right. But this is a common error made in -- by customers in general. I used to get calls from people that would say, listen, Merrill Lynch doesn't show, you know, my assets on a position.

He's doing all of this business with -- with me or with all of these customers, and it doesn't show on Merrill Lynch's financial statements, you know, any of this business.

I said, well, are you talking about his balance sheet? He says, yeah. I said, brokerage firms do not show customer assets fully paid for securities on their balance sheets. Otherwise, Merrill Lynch would have trillions and trillions of dollars.

I said, you know, when a brokerage firm files a focus report or any balance sheet, when they send you, you know, they do not -- they do not show or record customers' fully paid for securities.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 155 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 154

So if a customer had a margin account or a -- you know, a liability, he would have to show a payable or a receivable from a customer.

But if a customer buys IBM, pays for the IBM, and the brokerage firm has that IBM in his -- you know, in his box or at the clearing corporation, that doesn't appear on his records anywhere. So, you know, as long -- if I was -- if I had a -- a liability, and not from a short sale, because, you know, the short sale -- the short sale, if it's a liability, would appear on it, but if he was long and short, the same -- it's another thing.

When you're trading in convertible securities, you're -- you're allowed to net the -- the receivable and payable for the same customer against each other. So that stuff does not appear on a focus report.

Now, that's a basic accounting. Anybody that's a -- anybody that's familiar with any brokerage firm accounting would know that question. So why he would think there would be a -- that would be on my -- on my balance sheet, it's not certain.

What would be on my balance sheet would be if the customer owed me money, which is another thing. There's a -- there's a major flaw. And this I have to

Page 155

address, even though you didn't ask me about it.

The trustee somehow or other when I read the GAO report -- and I actually spoke to the treasury secretary -- the inspector general of the treasury department about this.

In the -- in the -- in the GAO report, issued by the government, which is a report that he issues from the general accounting -- accountability office, based upon the trustee's report, there's a --

I have a -- this is something that -- David, you might ask Irving Picard how he had managed to get this thing slipped through.

Jeffry Picower --

MR. GOLDMAN: Tell us what you're looking at, Bernie.

THE WITNESS: Oh, this is the GA -- a copy -- part of the G -- the SIPC report, the GAO report, which is -- took seven years to -- to finally get, which my attorneys assured me was going to be done immediately. It took seven years to finally get them to do the results of -- of the trustee's report.

There's a 6.3 billion dollar liability to the debit balance in Jeffrey Picower's account, which was a -- a major issue was one of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 157 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 156 1 the things that created my whole problem. 2 relates to them -- them not honoring their 3 commitments with me when -- and --Okay. This is on -- and I can't give 4 5 you -- this is my only copy, but you can find it. 6 It's on page 37 of the -- of the GAO report. 7 And I'm quoting now the General 8 Account -- Accounting Office. It says, "As part 9 of our review of the records provided by the 10 trustee, we noted some customer accounts having a 11 negative balance." "For example, in the Picower case the 12 records showed a negative balance of 6.3 billion 13 14 dollars. In theory this reflected some kind of 15 margin account or debit balance." "The trustee told us even though such 16 17 an account would not be in keeping with the 18 standard industry practice, such negative 19 balances raised the question of whether the 20 reported amounts represent a debt owed by the customers to the Madoff firm." 21 Now, that clearly is a debt. 22 23 right. Jeffry Picower owed me 6.3 billion 24 dollars. All right. The trustee -- I mean, the 25 GAO -- thank God. He questioned the trustee,

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 158 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 157 1 what about the 6.3 billion dollars? 2 And for some reason the trustee 3 claimed, well, we can ignore that, you know. 4 That's not typical. 5 All right. Now, if you look at my 6 records or any brokerage firm's records or 7 account agreements with customers, it clearly 8 states that, you know, they're responsible for 9 any debit balances or margin accounts that they 10 have with the firm. 11 It says here on my trading 12 authorization, which clients sign, it says, "The 13 undersigned hereby agrees to indemnify and hold 14 you harmless from and to pay you promptly on 15 demand any and all losses arising thereof or debit balance due hereon." 16 17 In other words, every customer that 18 opens a margin account or has any debt with a 19 brokerage firm owes them that money. All right. 20 MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. Can I just 21 mark -- okay. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 12 --22 can I take that? Is that one document, the one 23 you just read from? 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 25 MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. So I'm marking as 08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 159 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 158
1	Exhibit 12 this is a trading authorization.
2	THE WITNESS: Here, what use this
3	one, because this one is this copy is better.
4	It's the same type of thing.
5	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. So I'm you
6	know what? Can I mark them both? Because you
7	read this one. So
8	THE WITNESS: It's the same it says
9	the same thing.
10	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. All right. So
11	I'm marking as Exhibit 12 the one you read.
12	(MADOFF EXHIBIT 12 WAS MARKED FOR
13	IDENTIFICATION.)
14	MS. CHAITMAN: And I'm going to mark as
15	Exhibit 13 something called, "Trading
16	authorization limited to purchases and sales."
17	(MADOFF EXHIBIT 13 WAS MARKED FOR
18	IDENTIFICATION.)
19	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
20	Q. And can you just read in whatever the
21	language is that you want?
22	A. Uh-huh. All right. So for some reason, you
23	know, the trustee convinced the GAO I don't
24	maybe they didn't care that much about it that this
25	six that this debit balance was not typical at a

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 160 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 159
1	brokerage firm or that they weren't. Now
2	Q. Wait. I just want to go back to this. I
3	don't want to lose track of it.
4	MR. SHEEHAN: Sure.
5	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
6	Q. You you said that this has better
7	language on the points.
8	A. It doesn't it just shows the customer
9	every customer agreement always has that customers are
10	responsible for any debit balance
11	Q. Okay.
12	A they owe the brokerage firm.
13	Q. Okay. Okay.
14	DEDACTED
15 16	KEDACIED
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 160

REDACTED

A. All right.

Okay.

Q.

Q. So I want to go back to one other question.

When you stopped buying securities on the split-strike

customer accounts, and you said you did that for a

short period of time, and then you stopped.

A. It wasn't a short period of time. It was

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 162 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 161
from 1992 to 2008.
Q. Right, but I understood your testimony to be
that when you initiated that program you actually were
buying.
A. Oh.
Q. Am I correct about that?
A. Yes, but that was prior to that. That was
before the hedge funds. That was prior to '92.
Q. Oh, okay. Okay. I'm glad you
clarified that.
So what what were you doing with the
money? Let's say that Customer A sent you a million
dollars for the split-strike program.
A. It went into
Q. What
A treasury bills.
Q. It went into U.S. treasury bills?
A. Well, some of it went into treasury bills.
Some of it went back to customers when they withdrew
profits
Q. Okay.
A that didn't exist.
Q. The the portion that went into treasury
bills, were those interest-paying treasury bills?
page of the second seco

	Page 162
1	Q. And do you remember in 1992 what the
2	interest rate was was on the treasury bills?
3	A. Probably like two percent, something like
4	that, on you know, one and a half, two percent.
5	Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that for the
6	whole period up until 2008 that the money that wasn't
7	used to redeem customer accounts was in treasury
8	bills?
9	A. Probably, yeah.
10	Q. And that would have been interest-earning
11	treasury bills?
12	A. Yeah.
13	Q. Whatever the current interest rate was; is
14	that right?
15	A. Uh-huh, uh-huh.
16	Q. Were these longer-term treasury bills
17	A. No.
18	Q or shorter term?
19	A. No. They were short term. They were
20	T-bills, you know. You know, the short term. One to
21	two years.
22	Q. Okay. So the customers' money was earning
23	some percentage?
24	A. Yeah.
25	Q. Whatever the treasury bill rate was?

	Page 163
1	A. Yeah, but there wasn't enough treasury bills
2	to cover all of the liabilities.
3	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. Does everybody
4	do you want to take a break, and then we'll go
5	through until
6	MR. SHEEHAN: Sure. As a
7	MS. CHAITMAN: Because I'm about to
8	to go through the Dubinsky report, which is going
9	to be tedious. Why don't we take
10	MR. SHEEHAN: Whatever.
11	MS. CHAITMAN: five minutes.
12	MR. SHEEHAN: Five minutes?
13	MS. CHAITMAN: Yeah.
14	MR. SHEEHAN: That's good.
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
16	record. The time is 13:01.
17	(RECESS FROM 1:01 P.M. TO 1:18 P.M.)
18	(MADOFF EXHIBIT 14 WAS MARKED FOR
19	IDENTIFICATION.)
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record.
21	This begins media number three in the deposition
22	of Bernard L. Madoff. The time is 13:18.
23	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
24	Q. Mr. Madoff, I've just given you what had
25	previously been marked in your in a your

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 164 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 165 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 164
1	deposition in June, but I've renumbered it as Madoff
2	Exhibit 14.
3	This is a statement for one of my clients,
4	Arthur Blecker. It's dated June 30th, 1986. And what
5	is the trading strategy on this statement?
6	A. It looks like a convertible bond, buying
7	convertible bonds and selling the the related
8	stock.
9	Q. Okay. And where it says long
10	A. Uh-huh.
11	Q. On this statement, which was sent to the
12	customer, long means that the customer owns it; right?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Whereas, on the confirmation, the actual
15	trade confirmation, it would be the opposite; right?
16	It would show
17	A. That that Madoff sold it to the customer.
18	"We sold," it would say.
19	Q. It would say the firm
20	A. The firm sold it to the customer.
21	Q sold to the customer.
22	Okay. And, conversely, where it says,
23	"Short, Interco, Inc."
24	A. It would show we bought from the customer.
25	Q. Okay. And am I correct that your testimony

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 165 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 166 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 165
1	is that all of the long positions reflected on the
2	arbitrage strategy statements were actual positions
3	that you held?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. Okay. So, if you can, I want you to take a
6	look in the Dubinsky report, which we've marked as
7	Exhibit
8	MS. CHAITMAN: What did we mark it as?
9	MS. FEIN: 7.
10	MS. CHAITMAN: Dubinsky
11	MS. FEIN: 7.
12	MR. SHEEHAN: 7.
13	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
14	Q. 7. As Exhibit 7. If you could, look at
15	page 16.
16	A. Okay.
17	Q. In paragraph 35, this is the beginning of
18	Dubinsky's factual background, and it says, "BLMIS."
19	"In 1960 Madoff founded BLMIS as a sole
20	proprietorship. BLMIS"
21	MS. CHAITMAN: Page 16.
22	MR. GOLDMAN: Okay.
23	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
24	Q "a market-making business in
25	over-the-counter stocks was registered as a

	Page 166	
1	broker-dealer with the Securities and Exchange	
2	Commission as of January 19th, 1960 and operated three	
3	business units."	
4	"One, a market-making business, two, a	
5	proprietary trading business, and then," parentheses,	
6	"together with the market-making business, known	
7	inside BLMIS as House Five, "end paren, "and, three,	
8	an investment advisory business," paren, "known inside	
9	BLMIS as House 17."	
10	A. Right.	
11	Q. Now, did people at your firm use the phrase	
12	House 17?	
13	A. In the firm?	
14	Q. Yes.	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. And during what period of time? From 1960	
17	on?	
18	A. No. Because the 17th floor wasn't in	
19	existence in 1960. It only came into existence, you	
20	know, in the '80s. It was House 17 just refers	
21	to that was the business that operated out of the	
22	17th floor.	
23	Q. Okay. But and that was the business that	
24	was	
25	A. The IA business. It was basically just	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 168 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 167 split-strike business, because that was -- that did --1 I think the 17th floor came into existence after 19 --2 in -- after '92. 3 4 0. Right. So isn't -- isn't it a fact that 5 when you -- when you started doing the -- we'll call 6 it, the fraudulent investment advisory business, that 7 you moved the people who did that down to a separate 8 floor? 9 That's correct. Α. 10 And was your idea to separate them from 11 everyone else, so that there wouldn't be --12 Α. Well, what happened was when we closed the 13 Avellino & Bienes' accounts in '92, the -- when we --14 when -- I decided to take the -- those clients back 15 directly into the account. 16 So the -- in other words, after I -- I returned the money to Avellino & Bienes' accounts, 17 18 there was an uproar from those accounts, as well as my 19 father-in-law, because they were all basically -- most 20 of them were -- were his accounting clients, and 21 they -- you know, they all wanted to continue to do business. 22 23 The SEC had told me at the time, they said, 24 look, you -- you want to -- you want to still do 25 business with these people. That's fine, because

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 169 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 168

there's nothing wrong with you doing business with these people.

As a matter of fact, they said, if you want to do business with the Avellino & Bienes' people, that's -- that's fine too. They just have to register as an -- as a registered investment company, you know.

I said, no. I said, I'm -- I was furious with -- with them at the time, because they had never told me that they had changed their mode of operation, where they were now paying them, you know, interest on -- on a loan.

That was not something that was ever agreed upon with me. So I said, I'm -- you know, I decided I'm sending the money back, closing those accounts, but, of course, I started getting, you know, a lot of pressure from these people, who were relying upon that money, you know, to live on, you know.

They said, no. Why -- why can't we open a direct account with you, you know? I said, well, because I'm not really equipped to handle all of these individual accounts, you know. I -- they had like 500 customers, you know, clients, you know.

So I said, look, you know, I don't want to do that. But then it was Frank DiPascali basically who said -- because he was, you know, handling that

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 170 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 169
1	stuff at the time. He said to me, look, let's you
2	know, let's take these these new clients.
3	And I said, well, you know, it's like, you
4	know it's who the hell is going to handle all of
5	that stuff? And he was the one that said, well, you
6	know, we'll just computerize the trading, and, you
7	know, I I can handle all of that stuff.
8	So I operated I said, all right. You
9	want to start doing that, we'll we'll start doing
10	that. And that's when I I needed more space and
11	operated and put them on the 17th floor.
12	Q. Okay. So House 17 was only a term used
13	after 1992?
14	A. Pretty much. It's to my recollection,
15	yes.
16	Q. Okay.
17	A. Because it didn't exist, the 17th floor
18	Q. Okay.
19	A before then.
20	Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that it was
21	only after House 17 was formed, by that is you moved
22	people downstairs, that it was only after that that
23	you first began the investment advisory fraud?
24	A. Correct.
25	MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Go ahead.

	Page 170	
1	BY MS. CHAITMAN:	
2	Q. Let me ask that in a way that Mr. Sheehan	
3	won't object.	
4	Was there any investment advisory fraud done	
5	by your firm prior to the formation of House 17?	
6	A. No. I mean, I'm not exactly sure how you're	
7	using House 17, like in what reference, you know.	
8	Q. Well, the when you moved people down to	
9	the 17th floor	
10	A. Uh-huh.	
11	Q it was Frank DiPascali, Jodi Crupi,	
12	Annette Bongiorno?	
13	A. Yes. And then the the whole the	
14	mailroom was down there and the you know, part of	
15	the computer operation was down there. Anything	
16	that anybody that was going to be related to all of	
۱7	these accounts basically.	
18	Q. Okay. And but that was that was done	
L 9	sometime in 1992	
20	A. Right.	
21	Q or '93?	
22	Well, do you remember when?	
23	A. No. I don't remember. It was like I	
24	you know, I'd have to look at the leases, but	
25	basically it was it all started basically after	

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 171 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 172 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 171
1	the I know it was '92, because that was when the
2	Avellino & Bienes thing blew up.
3	Q. Okay. Okay.
4	A. It was the summer of '92, was when the
5	Avellino & Bienes started. So it would have to be
6	sometime after that.
7	Q. Okay. Now, during the period prior to 1992
8	was the investment advisory operation handled
9	separately from the market-making and proprietary
10	trading?
11	A. Yes. Yeah, it always was.
12	Q. It was always handled separately?
13	A. (WITNESS NODS HEAD UP AND DOWN.)
14	Q. But
15	MR. SHEEHAN: The witness nodded yes.
16	THE WITNESS: Huh?
17	MR. SHEEHAN: You nodded yes.
18	MR. GOLDMAN: You have to verbalize
19	your answer.
20	THE WITNESS: Oh.
21	MR. GOLDMAN: You seemed to indicate
22	while you're nodding your head.
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24	MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.
25	BY MS. CHAITMAN:

CONTIDENTIAL
Page 172
Q. During the 1980s who was responsible for the
investment advisory accounts, like we just looked at
the the Blecker statement, June 30th?
A. Me.
Q. You handled that?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Did you have traders who were in the
market-making or proprietary trading groups that
actually did the trading for the investment advisory
customers in the '80s?
A. No. The only one that handled the that
spoke to the clients and that made the decision of
what to buy and sell for the clients was myself.
Q. Okay.
A. Not none of the market-makers. I mean,
market-makers might have bought stock into the
firm's into the firm's inventory, but I was the one
that actually made the decision as to put the put
the trade through to a client account.
Q. Okay. And since you were buying from
inventory, you could just look at the inventory and
decide what

Or if I went out and -- you know, or if it

Α.

Q.

A.

Yeah.

-- to sell to the --

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 173 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 174 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 173	
1	went or if the market-maker bought it from the	
2	street into into his account, and then I would make	
3	the decision	
4	Q. Okay.	
5	A to put it into a client account.	
6	Q. Okay. If you'd be good enough to look at	
7	paragraph 119 of Dubinsky's report.	
8	MR. GOLDMAN: One one	
9	MS. CHAITMAN: It's paragraph 119.	
10	MR. SHEEHAN: Paragraph 119. Okay.	
11	THE WITNESS: Where the hell is	
12	paragraph 119?	
13	MS. CHAITMAN: The	
14	MR. GOLDMAN: Page 51.	
15	THE WITNESS: What page?	
16	MR. GOLDMAN: 51.	
17	THE WITNESS: Okay. Uh-huh.	
18	BY MS. CHAITMAN:	
19	Q. If you could, just read paragraphs 185	
20	through 190. I just want to ask you some questions	
21	about	
22	A. Paragraph one	
23	Q. 185.	
24	MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. So we're	
25	MS. CHAITMAN: You know what? Oh,	

	Page 174
1	we're looking at different
2	THE WITNESS: I'm not with you.
3	MS. CHAITMAN: You know what? I've got
4	different versions of the Dubinsky report.
5	Let let me do it differently. Hold on one
6	second.
7	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
8	Q. Okay. If you'd be good enough to turn to
9	page 35. So Mr. Dubinsky's the heading on
LO	subparagraph two is that, "Purported convertible
L1	security trades exceeded the entire reported market
L2	volume for certain days."
L3	A. Uh-huh.
L 4	Q. Do you see that heading?
1.5	A. Right.
16	Q. Okay. And then he explains that he looked
L 7	at reported records on the New York Stock Exchange,
18	the daily stock price record, the
L 9	A. Uh-huh.
20	Q American Stock Exchange and the daily
21	price record from the New York Stock Exchange; do you
22	see that?
23	A. Right.
24	Q. And he reached the conclusion that these had
25	to be fraudulent trades, because there weren't that

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 176 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 175
1	many trades done on those days?
2	A. Right.
3	Q. And I believe you've already explained, but
4	can you just explain again why this conclusion is not
5	correct?
6	A. Well, he's he's making he's
7	referencing in his footnote that the data was
8	collected from the daily stock price record from the
9	New York Stock Exchange, from the daily stock record
10	price and the American Stock Exchange, which provided
11	the month and short position of the data.
12	These trades were not executed. There's no
13	relevance to looking at the New York Stock Exchange or
14	the American Stock Exchange, because they wouldn't
15	be stock would never have been transacted on
16	those on those exchanges anyhow.
17	Q. Okay. And is that a fact that's generally
18	known or I mean
19	A. Well
20	Q. If you
21	MR. SHEEHAN: Object to the form.
22	THE WITNESS: Anybody that knew
23	Madoff's business I mean, anybody, including
24	the clients, it would be hard I mean, we were
25	relatively famous for, you know, trading off the

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 177 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 176
1	floor of the exchanges.
2	So, I mean, with anybody that was a
3	client of ours or that was a regulator or that
4	was in the industry would know that our business
5	was not transacted on the floor of the New York
6	Stock Exchange.
7	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
8	Q. Okay. Now, looking at page 36.
9	A. Uh-huh.
10	Q. Dubinsky has a chart showing that most of
11	the trades that you know, 41 percent, there were no
12	trades occurred in the market that that exceeded
13	one to two times the number of trades on the account
14	statements.
15	Is that again because he's not looking at
16	the right place, he doesn't have the records to show
17	the trades?
18	MR. SHEEHAN: Object.
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	BY MS. CHAITMAN:
21	Q. Okay. Now, on page 37 Mr. Dubinsky is
22	saying that the transactions reported on the
23	Avellino & Bienes' statements were were not
24	possible because of the volume in the market.
25	Did you make up the trades for the

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 178 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 177
1	Avellino & Bienes' clients, or were those actual
2	trades?
3	A. You talk what period of time are you
4	talking about?
5	Q. Well, the Avellino & Bienes' clients during
6	the 1980s on the convertible
7	A. Right.
8	Q arbitrage, were those actual trades or
9	were those made-up trades?
LO	A. Those were actual trades.
11	Q. Okay. If you look on page 38.
12	A. Uh-huh. All right.
13	Q. Mr. Dubinsky opines that the the purchase
14	prices of the convertible securities did not represent
15	market prices?
16	A. Right.
17	Q. I think you've spoken to this, but can you
18	just briefly summarize your position on this.
19	A. He's he he's he's referencing the
20	New York Stock Exchange bonds, and none of these
21	trades would have you know, were executed on the
22	New York Stock Exchange.
23	Q. Okay. Would it be possible for someone to
24	actually check the prices? Are there records that
25	exist or that even existed as of 2008 which would have

*****	Page	178
i	have	looked
k	oond?	

over-the-counter market in the 1980s?

shown what the trade prices were on the

Would there be --

- Q. Is there anyplace that one could have looked for that?
 - A. On a -- if it was a convertible bond?
- Q. Yes.

A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Probably not, because -- well, certainly you wouldn't reference the New York Stock Exchange. The trade didn't take place on that. As to -- there were --

It depends upon -- I'm not sure when -- when there was any records kept as to over-the-counter bond transactions. That was always sort of a sketchy area.

There was confusion in the industry whether bonds that trades -- the bonds that were traded over the counter were reported or not reported. The -- I'm not sure about what date that was.

And, then again, it depended upon how they were reported, because if the bonds were done after hours, they wouldn't be reported to any facility.

Sometimes you would have to call up the -for awhile the NASD put a system in where you would
call them up and report them over the phone, you know,
after the close of the day, but there was always sort

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 180 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 179
1	of confusion about that.
2	Q. Did the trustee or any attorney working for
3	the trustee ever ask you whether you purchased the
4	convertible bonds
5	A. Not to my recollection.
6	Q through the New York Stock Exchange?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Did they ever ask you anything about how you
9	acquired the bonds?
10	A. I don't think so.
11	Q. Did they ever talk to you about how the
12	bonds were priced?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Now, on page 40 Mr. Dubinsky states at the
15	top of the page that, "Convertible securities were
16	purportedly traded by the IA business even after they
17	were called for conversion."
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. Do you have any comment on that conclusion?
20	A. No, because, you know, I don't I don't
21	remember this particular trade. You know, I wouldn't
22	have it was so long ago that I wouldn't have
23	record.
24	And, again, I'm not sure that you I'm not
25	sure of whether or not you are able to trade a bond

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 181 of 274
*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

*** CONFIDENTIAL ***
Page 180
after the fact that it was converted, called for
conversion. I mean, you weren't forced to convert, to
my knowledge.
Q. Okay. Well, he only gives one example, and
it's McMillan. And he writes in paragraph 100, "The
IA business purportedly closed out its position on
March 14th, 1985. However, this" "the subordinated
debentures were converted into 1.6 million shares of
common stock in January 1985."
A. He said it was it was it was what?
It was converted it was
Q. Look at paragraph 100.
A. Uh-huh. Is he saying they were called or
converted?
Q. He's saying that the subordinated debentures
were converted.
A. Oh, he's saying called, I think. He said
they were they were called. Right. He he
what he's pointing out to is these bonds were were
called, but it was closed out on the customer account
in March.
Now, that, to me, looks like that this bond
was was was unwound or swapped, and it may have

not been closed out. And the customer -- looking at

the customer account, it just says, "Received and

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 182 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

:	Page 181
1	delivered."
2	It doesn't say converted, which which is
3	the way it would always appear, because when we
4	were it means that we were unwinding the customer
5	position from into the firm's trading account. It
6	was an internalized trade.
7	So, as far as you know, they may have
8	called the bonds, you know, then, but he was but he
9	was basically pointing out that we would have had to
10	physically convert it, you know, transfers, if, in
11	fact you know, obviously, you couldn't physically
12	convert it after the bond was called, because
13	Q. Right.
14	A they wouldn't do that.
15	Q. Right.
16	A. So that that points out to me that that
17	was a trade that was unwound internally. That we were
18	just closing out the trade and the customer account
19	transaction.
20	Q. Okay.
21	A. It wasn't a bond that was issued to me. You
22	know, it wasn't wasn't turned into the company
23	itself.
24	Q. Okay.
25	A. Like a conversion.

Page 182

- Q. Okay. In the heading above paragraph 101, he wrote, "The IA business did not account for dividend payments or accrued interest on the convertible securities."
 - A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. "Thereby evidencing the fictitious" -"fictitious nature of the underlying transactions."
- A. It depends upon whether the bond -- you know, there are instances where a bond is -- is bought, you know, what they call traded flat. It means traded without -- without interest.

It's like that with dividends also. You can trade a stock flat X dividend. You buy it at a lower price, because you're buying it without the dividend.

It's -- it's a transaction that you would -you know, typically it would be done between dealers
and professionals, not for the average customer,
because these -- these instruments are bought to
trade.

They're not interested -- they're not bought as an investment purpose to be held, which is the way -- you know, which is -- if you were doing that as typically an investment type of account, then, obviously, you would want to, you know, get the dividend for income and so on and so forth.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 184 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 183

But professionals will trade what they call flat -- or just like you could buy a zero coupon bond. Most customers don't buy zero coupon bonds, but -- but dealers, traders, like ourselves, typically will trade, you know -- you know, zero coupon bonds are flat, because what you're really doing is you're not --

The idea of the trade is not to cash the dividend or the interest, but basically to use the instrument as a trading vehicle, but you -- when you do that, obviously, you account for the dividend in the price of the stock.

So you're -- you adjust the price of the stock to the client based upon the fact that you're not getting a dividend.

Q. So --

A. And, also, one of the things Dubinsky doesn't seem to account for is that brokerage firms trade what they call due bills.

In other words, most -- when you buy stock frequently, you're not getting the -- the dividend from the company, because you're buying the stock -- it's coming -- it's being transacted in what's known as street name, which is -- it's not in -- registered in -- in the client's name and so on.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 185 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 184

So you -- a lot of times -- very frequently you'll buy stock from a customer that pays a dividend or declared a dividend, and you won't get the dividend. The company is going to pay the dividend to whoever the stock's name is registered in.

And most stocks are not registered in clients' names anymore. They're -- they're registered in a street name. So the brokerage firms will issue due bills to each other to get the monies, and then you just journal it into a client account.

He doesn't go into any of that, which is -I can understand, you know. I'm not faulting him for
that. It's not that the customer didn't -- didn't get
the price.

So our customer -- if they weren't getting the dividend, they were -- they were getting a lower price on the stock, you know, to account for that, because the firm is going to get the dividend.

So you have to make the customer whole some way. When you're internalizing trades as principal, that's a common way of doing business.

- Q. Did Mr. Dubinsky ever talk to you?
- A. No. I never knew he existed until I saw the report.
 - Q. Okay. Did anyone from the trustee's side,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 186 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 185

any expert, ever question you about any of the --

- A. To my recollection --
- Q. Let me just finish the question.
 - -- any of the convertible arbitrage trade?
- A. No. I never had any conversation with the trustees regarding any of this trading, other than when they came down here to speak to me, but I don't think they ever really got into -- into the trading.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Dubinsky reaches the conclusion on page 41, just above paragraph 105, that there's no evidence that the IA business converted the convertible securities into common shares; do you see that?
 - A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And then he says, "Companies that have publicly-traded securities typically use third-party institutions, known as transfer agents, to keep track of the individuals and entities that own their stocks and bonds."

"Most transfer agents are banks or trust companies. Although, companies sometimes act as its own transfer agent. The transfer agent maintains records of the shareholder information."

And then in paragraph 109, he says, "In order to have converted preferred convertible stock

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 187 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 186
1	and convertible debt into common stock, the IA
2	business would have needed documentation regarding the
3	conversion of the securities."
4	A. Uh-huh.
5	Q. Now, did you have that documentation, or did
6	you
7	A. No. As I stated earlier, if if we
8	converted when we converted stock, we typically
9	would have one of our clearing facilities convert the
10	stock.
11	Meaning, Commercial Bank of North America,
12	Bankers Trust, Irving, any one of those people.
13	Marine Midland that that provided that facility for
14	us.
15	So we would not, except under rare
16	occasions, physically convert the stock ourselves.
17	Doesn't mean the stock wasn't converted.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. And, as far as him relating to the transfer
20	agents, the stock trades in street name. So
21	meaning it's it's traded as a negotiable
22	instrument. It's not it's very rarely put in a
23	customer's name, unless the customer is going to
24	request delivery of the securities.
25	So if a customer wants to hold the

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 188 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 187

1 securities themselves, which now doesn't exist -- as a matter of fact, they're eventually going to -- that's never going to happen, because nobody wants to go through transfer agents.

So I don't even know why that's in the report, because very few brokerage firms put stock in a customer's name. Customers don't want to hold it.

If the brokerage firm is holding their security, they always -- they never hold it in a customer's name. They hold it in -- in the street And the dividends don't -- do not go to the customer directly from the -- from the company --

- Q. Right.
- -- transfers. They get paid to the broker, Α. and then the broker would make the customer whole.
 - And that would have been true for the 1980s? Q.
- 17 Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Okay. Now, in paragraph 115 Dubinsky wrote, Q. "Trade confirmations fabricated by the IA business to support the convertible arbitrage trades were actually prepared backwards, as though BLMIS was trading as a principal, rather than an agent."
- Α. Oh, that's true. I mean, we always trade as principal, as I said before. Doesn't mean they were created backwards.

Page 188

1 Q. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I mean, it says right on the customer confirmation that we're trading as principal.
 - Q. Right.
 - A. And that's not -- that has nothing to do with whether you're trading it backwards or not.
 - Q. Right.
 - A. He's almost insinuating that -- from that statement that trading as a principal, there was something wrong with it. That would be great news to the industry.
 - Q. I want to just interject some questions on another subject. Can you describe for us what kinds of trading you did with Bear Stearns?
 - A. Mostly market-making, proprietary trading or for Bear Stearns' customers. There's -- our trades with Bear Stearns were typical of what we traded with everybody.

It was -- we were trading basically for Bear Stearns' own account or for their customers' accounts. If Bear Stearns got -- wanted to buy and sell stock for -- for one of their clients, they had to go to a market-maker, like myself, unless Bear Stearns traded the stock himself. Then he would internalize the stock himself, just as I'm doing.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 190 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 189
1	Q. Okay. So Bear but Bear Stearns was a
2	market-maker; right?
3	A. Some in some of the securities. Yeah.
4	Q. Okay. And they were doing convertible bond
5	trades in the 1980s?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. So why would they need you?
8	A. Well, because they may have not been making
9	a market in the convertible bond that we were making
10	the market in. In other words, they you know,
11	there are times when we were a market-maker in a
12	convertible bond, and we bought it from somebody else
13	as well.
14	If if we didn't if we didn't have the
1.5	stock in inventory, and we didn't want to short it to
16	a client, we might go to Bear Stearns, and they might
17	do the same thing to us.
18	But Bear Stearns was a competitor at times,
19	and they were not a competitor, you know, at times.
20	But Bear Stearns was was one of our big clients.
21	Q. Did they buy securities for you and hold
22	and hold those securities?
23	A. Did Bear Stearns? We had accounts at Bear
24	Stearns. Yes.
25	Q. So there would have been times when you

	Page 190
1	purchased securities through them, and they would hold
2	those securities?
3	A. Bear Stearns?
4	Q. Yeah.
5	A. Probably not. You know, usually they would,
6	you know it depends upon what what kind of stock
7	it was and whether it was a stock that went through
8	the clearinghouse or not.
9	Q. Or convertible bonds, would they sometimes
10	be holding some of your inventory?
11	A. No. They wouldn't typically be holding
12	convertible bonds for us.
13	Q. Would you be holding convertible bonds for
14	them?
15	A. Probably not.
16	Q. Okay. I'd I'd like to look at pages 48
17	and 49 of the report.
18	A. Uh-huh.
19	Q. This is in paragraph 117, if you can just
20	read it to yourself, and then I'll ask you some
21	questions.
22	A. This this was an example that I pointed
23	to earlier where he fails to understand he's reading
24	the confirmations wrong. He's reversing he's
25	confusing the we we to to you.

	Page 191
1	Q. Okay. Okay. And he's he's concluding
2	that this is this is evidence of your fraud; right?
3	A. Yeah. He's right. I mean, it's
4	clearly he's he's not understanding that when we
5	say, "We bought," it's we bought from the customer or
6	we sold to the customer.
7	Not as he's so he's saying that if we
8	if we wrote the confirmation wrong, the trade never
9	could have taken place, because he's he's not
10	reading it properly.
11	Q. Right. Can you describe for us the kinds of
12	transactions you did for Carl Shapiro's various
13	accounts that you felt uncomfortable about doing?
14	MR. SHEEHAN: Object.
15	THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, I it
16	would be easier for me to explain the strategies
17	that I initiated for the big four accounts, which
18	Carl Shapiro would be included in that.
19	The we have to go back to this is
20	going to take a little bit of time. How much
21	time do we have?
22	MR. SHEEHAN: The rest of the
23	afternoon.
24	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. That's fine
25	with me. Unfortunately, I have nowhere to go.

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 192 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9. Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 193 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 192
1	MS. CHAITMAN: Yeah. Do you are you
2	getting tired?
3	THE WITNESS: No. I'm I'm fine.
4	MS. CHAITMAN: Are you getting tired?
5	MR. SHEEHAN: No.
6	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. Everybody is
7	okay?
8	MR. SHEEHAN: I haven't fallen asleep
9	once.
10	MS. CHAITMAN: You're okay?
11	THE WITNESS: I'm okay.
12	MS. CHAITMAN: You're okay? Everybody
13	is okay?
14	MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah. We
15	MS. CHAITMAN: You're getting tired?
16	MR. SHEEHAN: We can go for a little
17	while longer. I'm okay.
18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I have nowhere to
19	go. So
20	MR. SHEEHAN: Sure. That's true, but
21	it's your call. It's your dep.
22	THE WITNESS: No. I'm all right.
23	MS. CHAITMAN: Is everybody else okay?
24	MR. SHEEHAN: Sure.
25	THE WITNESS: Okay.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 194 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 193
1	MS. CHAITMAN: Why don't we why
2	don't we say we'll go to 2:30 and evaluate how
3	everybody is?
4	MR. SHEEHAN: That's fine.
5	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. Good. Okay.
6	THE WITNESS: All right. This goes
7	back to the the Madoff misery. All right. In
8	1980 I was approached by some foreign clients,
9	basically French clients, institutional clients,
10	to for those of you that are old enough to
11	remember this that excludes you.
12	MS. CHAITMAN: Amanda, we're excluding
13	you.
14	THE WITNESS: That excludes you.
15	In 1980 Mitterrand came in to be to
16	be president of the premier of France, and
17	basically was a socialist, but, you know, also
18	being accused of being communist, and decided to
19	nationalize the banks and the industries in
20	France.
21	So there was a hysteria in France,
22	because everybody thought that France was going
23	to go down the tubes. At and what he put
24	into place currency controls, so that French
25	citizens were not allowed to own any currencies,

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 195 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 194

other than the franc.

So if you were a French citizen, you couldn't own dollars or yen or anything else.

You had to own just French francs. You couldn't trade in any currency.

And the French franc was going to be devalued dramatically. So there was hysteria in France to get their assets out of the franc and into dollars.

But the only way that you could do that is you could own U.S. assets, like securities.

And in order to do that you would sell the franc and buy dollars to purchase the U.S. security, because U.S. securities had to be settled and paid for in dollars.

So the -- there was an interest in people taking -- selling the French franc, converting the francs into dollars, and then being able to buy U.S. securities, which traded in dollars, and they had to be held in -- in dollars. And that way your -- your -- you now had your currency -- your assets held in dollar-denominated securities.

The -- that was the one loophole that the government allowed French citizens to do, was

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 196 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 195

to buy U.S. stocks, and to do that you sold the franc on the dollar.

So the -- anybody that was -- that wanted to do that had to find a broker that would buy U.S. securities for them. So I was approached by some large, you know, French citizens that were very wealthy that wanted to do this, that wanted to establish a portfolio of U.S. securities, so that they could get their money out of the French francs and into the dollars.

It was a perfectly legal strategy. It was not anything unique or new, but to do this you, obviously, you know, accomplished what you wanted to do, but you now had your money in dollars, and you ran the risk of the U.S. market, because you owned -- you -- you had your money in U.S. securities.

So because at that time our reputation was as an arbitrage firm, that was -- had some sort of expertise in hedging, I was approached by these French citizens and some Swiss banks to execute this transaction for them.

I did -- did this type of trade, and so did Goldman Sachs and some other arbitrageurs in

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 197 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 196

Wall Street. Basically it was -- they were all the --

During that time the arbitrage business and convertible bonds or any type of what's known as bona fide arbitrage was controlled by the -- the Jewish arbitrageurs. That was like Goldman Sachs, Bear Stearns, you know, the Gruss & Company. There was a whole -- there was a list of -- of firms.

And I had already been established as a trader in convertible bonds. I was sort of minted by Gus Levy, who is a senior partner of Goldman Sachs, and Cy Lewis from Bear Stearns and so on and so forth.

So what happened was I was approached by these Frenchmen to -- to do this trade for them. However, what they wanted to do was also hedge this portfolio of U.S. stocks that they had.

BY MS. CHAITMAN:

- Q. Can you give us the names of the people you're talking about in France?
- A. A family known as the Igoins, Albert Igoin, I-g-o-i-n. Jacque, you know, Amseleum was another one. And then there were some other Swiss bankers --

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 198 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 197

Swiss bankers and French, you know, entities.

Eventually I owned -- I did these trades for people like Christian Dior, the Bettencourts, who owned L'Oreal, and so on and so forth.

So they came to me, and they said, okay.

Can you put this portfolio of securities together?

And I said, yes, I can put the portfolio of securities to buy for you, but you're going to be involved with the market risk.

So they said, well, how can you hedge that? So I said, well, you can -- you can go short against the box. It's a strategy where you would short the stock. Or you can short similar securities. There's any number of forms -- ways you can hedge it. Using options and so on and so forth.

So they said, okay. That -- that's fine.

Go ahead and do that for us. So I started doing that business for them.

It so happens at that same time I was trading -- doing convertible bond arbitrage for, you know, these four families, the Shapiros, Picowers, Levys and the Chaises, where I was doing basically general convertible bond arbitrage for them, which were involved doing -- going long in convertible bonds and short the common stock.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 199 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 198

However, the -- the tax rate at that time in the '80s was a very high rate, anyways, at that time. Like an 80 percent tax rate on short-term capital gains.

So I had one group of clients that were doing -- that was doing convertible bond arbitrage, but they were all short-term gains, taxed at the short-term rate.

And then I had these French people that were doing, you know, this currency arbitrage. The U.S. clients, these four big clients, were -- in order to save money on taxes was using silver straddles to convert short-term gains into long-term gains, which is a common strategy that most sophisticated investors were using at that time.

They were using silver straddles. They were doing that through Bear Stearns, through E.F. Hutton, through Merrill Lynch. Those were the firms -- I did not trade in commodities.

So they were doing this -- this trading through these other firms, which was, you know, using these straddles to convert the short-term gains that they had in the -- in the stock market trades with me into long-term gains with them.

But the IRS, the Internal Revenue Service,

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 200 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 199

was questioning the use of silver straddles for everybody, you know, in the United States. They were saying that there was no risk involved in these trades, and they thought that these trades were -- boarded on being sham transactions.

So they were disallowing the use of silver straddles to -- to offset, you know, short-term gains and convert them into long-term gains. So my -- I had these -- these four families that were using these silver straddles, as I said, through Bear Stearns, E.F. Hutton and Merrill Lynch.

And they wanted to not use these -- those -- that method. And Picower was using leasing shelters. He had a leasing company to offset these gains. So they came to me. They were -- they had been clients of mine starting in the '60s and so on.

And I was generating convertible bond arbitrage trading profits for them for years, where they were making anywhere from 20 percent, 18 percent to 15 percent.

So everybody was very happy and making a lot of money with me, and I was happy doing it, but they now were in a situation where the tax rates were very high. And they came to me and said, look, we can't use the silver straddles to convert your short-term

Page 200

gains into long-term gains.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, what can you do to -- to help us on this thing? I said, well, there's basically nothing I can do, because the tax shelters that you're using I don't recommend. I'm not in the tax shelter business. I'm certainly not -- you can't -- I'm not in the commodities business.

I said, you can't use the commodity trades anymore. The only thing that I know that you can do is to generate long-term gains, rather than short-term gains, but the arbitrage profits that we've been doing for years are all automatically long -- short-term gains.

So you've got to stop -- you've got to stop doing that sort of trading. If -- if you don't want to pay the taxes at the short-term rate, I said, you've got to use another strategy.

So what other -- what other strategy can we use? I said, well, you can -- you can use a -- a portfolio of stocks. You know, hold them for one year. And if the market goes up for one year, you'll have long-term gains and pay the long-term gain rate.

They said, well, that's terrific. I said, yeah, except that you're now subject to market risk, you know. So I said, the market has to go up. I

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 202 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 201 1 can't quarantee you the market is going to go up over 2 the next year. 3 I said, it might. I said -- I happened to 4 be bullish at the time. This was also 1980. I said, 5 I can try and hedge it for you, but, again, there's no quarantee, you know. 6 7 So they said, what kind of hedges can you 8 I said, you can go short against the box, which 9 really isn't a good strategy to use, because the IRS 10 will challenge that the same way they'll challenge the 11 silver straddles, but you can go short similar 12 securities. 13 Meaning you can buy IBM and then short Hewlett-Packard or Dell computer, you know, things 14 15 like that. That's perfectly legal. Perfectly --16 it'll stand up tax wise and so on. 17 But, again, this strategy will only work if 18 the market goes up, and you have to be willing to hold 19 the stock for one year. So they said, okay. 20 try it. 21 So I said, all right. I said, as it turns 22 out, I have a great situation for you. I said, I have 23 a group of French clients that are hedging their 24 currency trades with -- I'm putting together a 25 portfolio of stocks for them with their long and

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 203 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 202

short.

I said, you want to do a similar type of strategy, long and short. So now we can have a good liquidity pool, you know. I can put both of your trades together.

I said, that's the good news. The problem is the French people, they want to -- they don't want to unwind or undo their transactions, you know, right away, I said.

So you're going to have to sort of understand they're -- they want to hold it -- you want to hold it for -- your period -- your stock for one year. They don't -- they also want to hold it, but we're going to have to sort of, you know, coordinate this thing, where, you know, we're not going to unwind -- meaning we're not going to sell your portfolios before they want to sell their portfolios and so on.

So there has to be some sort of coordination with this. Now, this is a, you know, perfectly legal situation to do, but, you know, you're making some sort of a commitment that when you want to sell, they're going to want to unwind their -- their transaction together.

All right. Goldman Sachs was doing a

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 204 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 203 similar type of arrangement, but they were doing it primarily for the firm's, you know, best clients and their own partners. This was a, you know, obviously a very sort of specialized type of transaction. Let me just interrupt you here. Were you Q. actually purchasing the securities for the French clients? Α. Everybody was buying. Q. Okay. A. These were perfectly legitimate. Q. Okay. Everybody was buying and selling. The big Α. advantage, as I said, was that there was a two big liquidity pools on both sides of the transaction. In other words, there was -- here you had a group of clients looking to accomplish pretty much the same thing. Although, one -- they were both doing it for tax purposes. Perfectly legal, but -- but different, because of the way the currency -- the way the tax laws were in the different countries. So everybody said, fine. Go ahead. You know, so we -- I -- we started doing this transaction, and it worked out. It was like a dream. The market from 1980 continued to march upward.

So the four big families had these

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 205 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 204

portfolios where the stocks went up. They had long-term gains on -- you know, it looked like they were getting long-term gains on their long portfolio, and on the short portfolio that was hedging it, they were having short-term losses.

All right. Which was fine, especially if the long positions went up more than the short positions went up. So you had a -- you know, one could go up 30 percent, and one could go up 20 percent, but you were making the difference of ten percent.

So it worked like a dream. Everybody was happy, including myself. That goes fine from 1980 literally through 1987. And comes 1987, the market crashes, and the -- the four families, particularly really two families, Picower and Shapiro, more than Levy and Chais.

Primarily it was the two of them, but let's say three. Throw Chais in that pool. Decided, listen, the market is crashing. The game is over. Now all of these stocks that went up over the past, you know, years --

And some of them, they literally held these positions open for almost eight years. They -- let's sell out, because the market is going to -- the market

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 206 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 205 is crashing, and I'm going to go -- continue to go --1 2 continue to go lower. I'm going to lose all of our 3 long-term gain advantage. So I want to get out. 4 The problem was that this was not a good 5 time for the French people to close out their 6 transactions. So I said, look, let's not everybody 7 The market is -- you know, is going down, 8 clearly, but it's going to recover. Let's not sell 9 out, I said. 10 And we have commitments. I can't, you know, 11 start liquidating you and -- and then it's going to 12 screw up my relationship with -- and your relationship with the -- with the foreigners. So let's just hold 13 14 on. 15 That went fine, but -- you know, for about a 16 day, but then, you know, Shapiro and Picower, 17 everybody -- they got hysterical and said, no, no, no, 18 no. We want to sell out. 19 So I had no choice, because these 20 commitments that we had made to -- you know, 21 originally were all verbal commitments, and I couldn't 22 literally, you know, tell them, you can't sell, you 23 If they wanted to sell, they wanted to sell. know. 24 So we started liquidating their portfolios 25 on the long side. And they said, well, wait, you

Page 206

know. I said, you've got to liquidate the short side
also, but -- you know, you can't liquidate one side
without the other.

So, to make a long story short, they -- they convinced me to -- to -- you know, to leave the shorts -- to take over their short positions for them. And that way -- because they wanted me -- let's get out of everything.

And I said, no, no, no, because you're going to screw up my relationship with the foreigners. I said, we can't do that. And that was my mistake. I mean, I -- I had no recourse.

I mean, I could have -- could have tried to, you know, sue them, but I had no legal grounds to sue them. So I -- I wound up selling their long positions for them, sending the money they needed to pay their taxes and taking over the short.

They were convinced that the short positions were -- were going to go down also. So that they -- they said, listen, you're -- you're worried about the short positions going up. The market is going to crash.

We're going to -- we'll make money on the long side, selling them out, and the short -- we'll wind up making money on the short side also. I said,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 208 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 207

you know, that's not going to happen.

So for awhile they seemed -- they seemed correct. The market, you know, continued to sell off, and we -- you know, you know, they weren't that worried about it.

But then, of course, the market turned around, and they started losing money -- I started losing money on the short positions, because I was --

Oh, by the way, at that time I made -- what happened was in order for them to convince me to do this for them, they said, okay. You take over the short positions, and we'll hold you harmless from any losses you take on it.

You know, they were so convinced that -that they were right, that the market was never going
to recover, they were going to make money on the long
side and on the short side, you know, they said,
you're going to make money on the short side. Don't
worry about it.

Well, the market turned around. The -- they did make their money. They made huge profits on the long side. On the short side I wound up losing money. It was a terribly stupid thing, you know, for me to do. I mean, people that knew me said, how on earth could you do such a thing, you know?

	Page 208
1	Q. Did you have a written agreement with the
2	A. I had
3	Q four families
4	A. I had
5	Q or
6	A. Yes. As a matter of fact, Carl Shapiro's
7	attorney was a man was one of the senior partners
8	at Coopers & Lybrand, which then became Price
9	Waterhouse, who was also doing this type of trading
10	with me at the time.
11	And we put together hold harmless agreements
12	that said they would be responsible for any of my
13	losses that I that I took.
14	And, first, they wanted to do everything
15	verbal, said, don't worry, you know, we have these
16	relationships with you. You don't have to worry about
17	it.
18	And I said, look, you guys are Carl
19	Shapiro was they were older at this time. You
20	know, they were old guys. He was ready to die, he
21	claimed, at 60. Still going strong at 103, but
22	I said, look, put it I said, you know
23	I said he said, don't worry about it. My children
24	will make you whole. They'll respond. So every
25	everybody their children, by the way, were my age.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 210 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 209 1 So, you know -- and they were friends of mine. 2 it -- this whole thing was like a whole family type of 3 situation. But I said, look, you know what? 4 I trust 5 everybody. Let's put it in the trust agreements. So 6 they -- I became the executor of their estates. They 7 sold -- they changed their trust agreements. 8 As a matter of fact, Norman Levy -- J.P. 9 Morgan was the executor of his estate. And I said, I don't -- you know, I don't trust J.P. Morgan. You 10 11 make me the executor -- executor of the estate. So I became the executor of the estate, and 12 13 they signed agreements with -- they also had -- some 14 of the trust agreements, they guaranteed J.P. 15 Morgan -- J.P. Morgan could only trade through me for 16 their account on some of the trust agreements. 17 But J.P. Morgan was smart enough to say, 18 okay, well, we want you to hold us harmless from any 19 liability. If Madoff makes dumb investment decisions, 20 we don't want to be responsible as the trustee. 21 So they -- all of this was done. It was 22 Of course, by -- you know, after a period of fine. 23 time, they probably changed all of their trust 24 agreements, you know, because -- but, in any event, 25 I --

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 211 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 210
1	Q. Let me just interrupt.
2	So you had you had agreements with Norman
3	Levy, Carl Shapiro?
4	A. Right.
5	Q. With Jeffry Picower?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And with Stanley Chais?
8	A. Right.
9	Q. Okay.
10	A. The of course you know, it really sort
11	of doesn't matter, because, you know, I never was
12	I I really couldn't sue any of these people,
13	because for me to start
14	One of my choices was, when I started taking
15	these losses, was to start suing everybody, but that
16	would have been a total disaster. I I couldn't
17	start suing people, because it would have you know,
18	I never would have gotten paid, you know, on these
19	things.
20	So, outside of the fact they were starting
21	to trade away from me at these firms, also going short
22	and losing money, and I I was concerned that I was
23	ever going to get paid, you know, because Jeffry
24	Picower was saying, well, you know, I don't have the
25	money anymore and so on and so forth.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 212 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 211

The -- this -- I then made the decision I had to -- I had to, you know, take on new business, because I was depleting my capital from the losses that I was incurring from -- on these transactions.

And I decided to, you know, start handling hedge funds. I had -- also had put together this -- come up with at the same time this split-strike strategy trade, which I thought was a -- you know, a sensible trading strategy. It was.

And I had been, you know, asked by -- by the immediate world to get into this strategy. The split-strike strategy was a strategy that I sort of developed, because the --

The -- the collar of the split-strike strategy is not a new strategy, but what I decided to do was build a collar strategy out of it. I don't want to bore you with all of the details, but it involved hedging.

And so, not only -- you would buy a portfolio of stocks. You would sell calls against it to take in a profit, but then you would hedge yourself by buying puts on the side.

So it was a strategy that -- that, you know, was very appealing to a lot of people. To do the strategy you had to deal with a dealer, like myself.

Page 212

Because I was trading in the over-the-counter market,
I had -- was now doing all of this business away from
the floor of the exchange. So everybody wanted to
come in to do business.

My concern was -- I said, okay. This is fine. Now, everybody wants to come in. All of a sudden I can develop this investment advisory business.

The problem that I would have is the type of people that this appealed to were the foreign hedge funds, and that was known as hot money. In other words, foreign hedge funds would do business with you, but as soon as you had one bad quarter or one bad month, they wanted their money back and they wanted to disappear.

So I said, to do this investment strategy, the split-strike strategy, you had to sort of stay the course. You had to sort of agree to keep your money in it, because as soon as -- if you have one bad quarter, you know, it's not going to work.

So these hedge funds said, Bernie, we'll have no money -- giving you money and keeping it with you. You just have to make sure that you're not going to return our money, you know, when you all of a sudden decide you -- you -- you don't want to do this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 214 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 213
1	anymore.
2	So I made a commitment. I said, fine.
3	You you become a client. You send me in the money.
4	I'll agree to not return it to you, you know. In
5	other words, their biggest concern was that I was
6	going to be approached by Goldman and Merrill Lynch
7	and everything else, because at that time those firms
8	wanted me to do it for them, this strategy.
9	They said, because you know, even though
10	they could do it themselves theoretically, they had
11	problems doing this type of business, because it was a
12	conflict of interest for them with their other
13	institutional business.
14	I know I'm boring you with all of these
15	these details
16	Q. Can I just interrupt you for just a second?
17	A but it's a complicated situation.
18	Q. I just want to I just want to understand
19	something.
20	The '87 crash occurs. You then have to work
21	through with the big four an indemnification, because
22	you're going to assume their short positions?
23	A. Right.
24	Q. Are you saying that the losses that you
25	suffered as a result of taking over the short

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 215 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 214 1 positions for the big four is what ultimately forced 2 you --3 A. Yes. -- into the split-strike --4 Q. 5 Α. That's correct. 6 Q. -- fraud? 7 Yeah. A. 8 Q. Okay. Now, again, this is my own doing. In other 9 A. 10 words, this was not -- you know, no one had a gun to 11 my head. My -- my choice was to not do this. 12 after these clients, you know, for the indemnity, but 13 the clients were claiming they didn't have the money 14 to pay me, because they were -- they were losing money 15 being short themselves away from me, you know. 16 So that was -- you know, believe me, I live with this everyday, you know, for the past eight 17 years, you know, trying to figure out why I was so 18 19 stupid to do this. 20 And -- but you had to understand, unless you 21 knew me and you knew my relationship with these 22 people, these were like -- these guys were like 23 fathers, you know, to me or brothers to me that I had 24 made literally billionaires. 25 All right. And made myself a lot of money

Page 215

also. And I was like, you know, the golden boy of Wall Street, supposedly, this genius. And for me to acknowledge that I was stupid enough to do this situation with them would have been, you know, the end of my business, the end of my relationship, you know.

I had a -- a firm of, you know, at that time, you know, 200 people that I was responsible for working. It would have been the end of Madoff. The end of the firm, you know.

So I decided, you know, to risk this -- you know, my whole life doing this split-strike transaction. And the -- I took in the money and started to try to do the transaction.

The market, you know, in '90 -- '92, as I started to say, wasn't receptive to it. And I started getting under pressure from the hedge funds to invest the money.

They said, look, you know, you made this commitment to take this money from us. You told us you didn't want us to take it back. You know, we don't want to -- we don't want to make treasury bill interest.

I had put the money in treasuries, making two percent. They were expecting to make ten or 12 percent in the strategy. And they kept on saying to

Page 216

me, do something, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I said -- so, I said, you know -- you know, I couldn't do the strategy. I said, well, you know, I'll short the strategy to them. I'll -- I'll put the trades on short.

Again, understand that as a market-maker I frequently traded on the short side of the market. That was my business. That's the risk. If you're a market-maker, you're a risk-taker, and you long trade sometimes. You short stock to customers. You short stock in the street. That's the way you do your business.

And, again, keep in mind that going short is not a bad thing. It's not an illegal thing. It's something that you -- that you do. And there's nothing wrong with doing it, except when you do it the way I did it, you know.

So to me to -- you know, to short the strategy, I'm thinking, okay. I'll short the stock for these -- these clients, and then that'll be a short-term thing. It'll take me, you know, a week, a month or whatever it is.

Eventually I'll work my way out of the -you know, out of the trades, but, you know, it just
kept on building and building. And, you know, what I

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 218 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 217

thought would take a week or a month, you know, a day, all of a sudden was now, you know, getting to be a year.

The -- the biggest problem was I had taken all of these monies in, and, although, I could have done the strategy, it was -- I didn't have enough volume to do these kinds of trades, because you're talking now hundreds of millions and billions of dollars of trades.

And the strategy itself, the split-strike strategy, was a great strategy. It made a lot of sense. And you have to understand, this strategy was so good, you know, the concept -- and it was so -- it was nothing ingenious, but --

It was a -- a sensible strategy, but in order to do it, you had to be a dealer, a wholesaler, like me. You had to be a market-maker, like I was. I was sort of in a unique position to do that. And I had a reputation of building this -- a tremendous concept in -- in this business.

The strategy was so good, if you ever looked at my client base of who I had as clients. It wasn't just some stupid people. Henry Kaufman, who was the chief economist of -- of Salomon Brothers, who moved markets, was a client.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 219 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 218

Almost every senior partner from Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley, Bear Stearns, Merrill Lynch were all clients of mine in the -- in the strategy.

So -- as a matter of fact, when the trustee came down to meet with me that day, when you were there, and they asked me who knew that this strategy, you know, wasn't -- that you weren't doing the strategy? Who knew this didn't make sense?

I said, look, do yourself a favor. Do not go under the assumption that this was a -- such a stupid strategy that, you know, everybody should have known that you were committing a fraud, because that was -- that was your concept.

What -- not you, David. Irving Picard's concept was this -- this whole strategy didn't make any sense. And why didn't it make any sense? Because one of the world's great idiots, Harry Markopolos, the whistleblower, he --

He was convinced that this strategy was so stupid and so dumb and that Madoff never could have done it. So he kept on going down to the SEC and telling them, Madoff is committing a fraud and this strategy makes no sense. I've been studying this strategy. He can't possibly make these -- these trades or make this credit.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 220 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 219

Okay. Now, he was correct, in that, you know, this -- you know, I couldn't have been doing these trades, but the reason I couldn't do them is because I couldn't possibly put that much money to work at that time, because -- you know, he had no idea how much money -- nobody had how much money I had working through them.

All right. So when he went down to the SEC, and he said, Madoff is -- is a fraud -- is a fraud, the SEC kept on looking at all of the -- the strategy, and they said, this strategy -- there's nothing wrong with this strategy.

And they kept on asking everybody on Wall Street, can Madoff do these trades? And they said, of course, Madoff can do these. He's the one -- one of the only ones that can do these trades.

He has all the -- all of his business, so on and so -- so the SEC, you know, told him, you're -- you're nuts. It turns out -- he turned out to be right, but for the wrong reasons. And everything that -- that he told the SEC didn't make any sense.

All right. In any event, I said to the trustee at the time, you're never going to -- you're never going to win a case that says that these people should have known this strategy was a fraud to begin

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 221 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 220
1	with, because too many smart people had the ability to
2	get the confirmations, look at the trades, analyze the
3	trades, would tell you that these trades could take
4	place. There was nothing wrong with the strategy.
5	And that was the case.
6	All right. To make a long story short,
7	the
8	Q. It's too late for that.
9	A. Exactly. Exactly.
10	That was the disaster.
11	All right. So what was your the
12	finalizing of your question with regard to this? I
13	knew this was going to happen. It happens all of the
14	time.
15	MR. SHEEHAN: If you want to ask a
16	question, go right ahead.
17	MS. CHAITMAN: You know what? I
18	think I think that we've done enough for
19	today.
20	MR. SHEEHAN: Sure.
21	MS. CHAITMAN: I think we're all tired.
22	MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah, I think so. And I
23	think it I just want to put on the record
24	that, obviously, we're not finished with
25	Mr. Madoff, and I haven't had a chance to ask any

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 221 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 222 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 221
1	questions.
2	And you've given me a few thoughts here
3	today about some questions I'd like to ask you.
4	So I just want to preserve my right
5	MS. CHAITMAN: No. Absolutely.
6	MR. SHEEHAN: to take time with
7	Mr. Madoff. And it may be the next day or the
8	day after that, whatever may be the case.
9	MS. CHAITMAN: Yeah. I mean, I I
10	MR. SHEEHAN: We'll we'll be back.
11	MS. CHAITMAN: Yeah, and I haven't
12	finished. We really appreciate your patience and
13	giving us all of this information.
14	You and I will talk about how to deal
15	with
16	MR. SHEEHAN: Yes.
17	MS. CHAITMAN: this?
18	MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah, yeah.
19	MS. CHAITMAN: Okay. And we can go off
20	the record.
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This ends media
22	number three in the deposition of Bernard L.
23	Madoff. Going off the record. The time is
24	14:36.
25	(SIGNATURE RESERVED.)

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 222 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 223 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	CONTIDE				
				Page	222
1	(DEPOSITION	CONCLUDED	AT	2:36	P.M.)
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
		101			MANAGEMENT AND

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 223 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 224 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 223
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, BERNARD L. MADOFF, do hereby certify that I
4	have read and understand the foregoing transcript and
5	believe it to be a true, accurate and complete
6	transcript of my testimony, subject to the attached
7	list of changes, if any.
8	
9	
10	
11	BERNARD L. MADOFF
12	
13	
14	
15	This deposition was signed in my presence by
16	, on the day
17	of, 2017.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Notary Public
23	
24	My commission expires:
25	

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 224 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 225 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

	Page 224
1	ERRATA SHEET
2	RE: SIPC VS. MADOFF
3	DEPOSITION OF: BERNARD L. MADOFF
4	Please read this original deposition with care,
	and if you find any corrections or changes you wish
5	made, list them by page and line number below. DO NOT
	WRITE IN THE DEPOSITION ITSELF. Return the deposition
6	to this office after it is signed. We would
	appreciate your prompt attention to this matter.
7	
	To assist you in making any such corrections,
8	please use the form below. If supplemental or
	additional pages are necessary, please furnish same
9	and attach them to this errata sheet.
10	
11	Page Line should
12	read:
13	Reason for change:
14	Page Line should
15	read:
16	Reason for change:
17	Page Line should
18	read:
19	Reason for change:
20	Page Line should
21	read:
22	Reason for change:
23	Page Line should
24	read:
25	Reason for change:

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 225 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 226 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

				Page 225
1	Page	Line	should	
2	read:			
3				
4	Page	Line	should	
5	read:			
6				
7		Line		
8	read:			
9				
10		Line		
11	read:			
12				
13		Line		
14	read:			
15				
16	Page	Line	should	
17	read:			
18	Reason for			
19	Page	Line	should	
20	read:			
21	Reason for			
22	Page	Line	should	
23	read:			
24	Reason for		The Mark Control of the Control of t	
25				

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 227 of 274 *** CONFIDENTIAL ***

Page 226 1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA 2 COUNTY OF PERSON 3 4 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT 5 I, Lisa A. DeGroat, a Court Reporter and 6 7 Notary Public in and for the aforesaid county and state, do hereby certify that the foregoing deposition 8 of BERNARD L. MADOFF, was taken by me and reduced to 9 10 typewriting under my direction; and the transcript is 11 a true record of the testimony given by the witness. 12 I further certify that I am neither attorney 13 or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any 14 attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or 15 financially interested in the action. 16 This the 3rd day of January, 2017. 17 18 19 20 21 22 LISA A. DeGROAT Registered Professional Reporter 23 Notary Public #19952760001 Expiration Date: December 8, 2020 24 1-888-656-DEPO 25

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 227 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 228 of 274

[& - 2:30]

&	11 3:18 143:5,6	181058 62:19,25	2
& 2:9 9:16 10:8,10	11/21/11 3:10	185 173:19,23	2 3:9 12:4,5
10:23 11:3,18,21	113 3:16,17	19 9:20 151:10	2,100 63:13
33:20 122:10,11	115 187:18	167:2	2.6 63:25 80:14
167:13,17 168:4	117 190:19	190 173:20	20 1:22 9:10 63:24
171:2,5 176:23	119 173:7,9,10,12	1960 46:3 47:15	74:18 79:8,14
177:1,5 196:7	11:00 70:15	54:21 55:1 165:19	80:9 136:24
208:8	11:12 91:13,14	166:2,16,19	199:19 204:9
	11:27 91:14,17	1960s 30:5	
0	11th 7:1 12:15,25	1962 55:24	200 55:19 60:1,13
0.8 64:2	21:8 54:22	1970s 28:7	103:15,17 107:9
08-01789 1:7 5:3	12 2:15 3:9,19	1980 193:8,15	135:6 215:7
1	13:9 74:1 90:13	201:4 203:24	2000 55:24
1 3:8 4:1 6:9,15	157:21 158:1,11	204:13	2000's 121:21
1,000 44:14,17	158:12 215:24	1980s 35:3 126:11	2000s 60:15
63:19 110:6	123 143:14	133:13 172:1	2005 97:20,21
1,100 58:12	124 143:14	177:6 178:2	2008 7:1 12:15
<i>'</i>	12:09 126:16,17	187:16 189:5	16:24 21:21 24:2
1-888-656 226:24	12:16 126:17,19	1983 60:5	24:10 25:4 27:20
1.4 63:22	12th 12:19,25 13:6	1985 180:7,9	30:1 54:22 55:20
1.6 180:8	13 3:20 158:15,17	1986 164:4	56:9 60:3 64:9
10 3:17 113:19,22	13:01 163:16	1987 16:13 204:14	81:9 85:1,3 86:5
116:6	13:18 163:22	204:14	87:9 149:13
10,000 125:14,14	14 3:21 163:18	1990s 13:11 21:21	151:13 161:1
100 14:2 42:13	164:2	24:2,9	162:6 177:25
43:15 63:17 96:16	14.4 3:16	1992 9:21,22 10:11	2009 11:25 12:19
103:14,17 110:12	143 3:18	18:17 26:22 92:18	13:7 21:8
110:19 111:7,17	14:36 221:24	98:16 99:10 100:4	2011 27:17
116:6,13,14,15,25	14.50 221.24 14th 97:20 180:7	149:12 151:11	2016 1:22 2:24
136:22,22 180:5	15 42:14 199:20		4:15
180:12		161:1 162:1	2017 223:17
100,000 56:10	158 3:19,20	169:13 170:19	226:16
64:17 80:23	16 7:8 165:15,21	171:7	2020 226:23
10022 2:5	163 3:21	19952760001	20th 2:23 4:15
101 116:15 182:1	17 64:5 166:9,12	226:23	21 3:10
10111 2:10	166:20 169:12,21	1998 67:25	212 2:11
103 208:21	170:5,7	19th 97:21 166:2	21st 27:17
105 185:10	17th 166:18,22	1:01 163:17	23 3:11
10573 2:15	167:2 169:11,17	1:18 163:17	25 12:12 13:10
109 185:24	170:9		27509 4:19
10:16 52:19,23	18 23:24 199:19		2:30 193:2

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 229 of 274

[2:36 - account]

[m.ou meedune]			
2:36 222:1	500 55:6,11,14	8	93 170:21
3	63:14 65:11 86:23	8 3:15 97:14,16	937-6857 2:16
3 3:10 21:1,3 23:9	125:23 126:1,2	226:23	97 3:15 67:15
27:14	168:21	8/11/09 3:11	98 67:16 73:24
3/12/09 3:9	500,000 65:10,11	80 56:24 63:23	110:12,18,20
30 146:12 204:9	66:6	79:25 80:9 198:3	116:24 117:2
30,000 55:25	51 173:14,16	800,000 63:22	99 69:14 107:2
300,000 55:25 300,000 56:11	52 3:12	80s 24:21 25:17,22	110:20,20,24
63:20 79:18	58 62:21,23,24	26:19 28:7 29:1,3	111:3,10,15
3000 4:18	79:5	31:7 37:17,19	9:56 52:18,19
303-4568 2:5	589-4616 2:11	51:2 60:20 122:5	a
30th 164:4 172:3	6	132:13,14 133:19	a.m. 2:24 8:23,23
32 27:15	6 3:3,13 61:13,19	153:2 166:20	52:19,19 91:14
323 112:19	61:24 62:1 79:5	172:10 198:2	ability 37:5 39:16
35 165:17 174:9	6.3 155:23 156:13	81 3:14	65:17 81:2 85:25
350 63:14	156:23 157:1	83 143:13	126:25 220:1
36 176:8	160:5	85 79:21	able 19:1,16 39:5
37 156:6 176:21	60 208:21	87 26:2 56:24	41:1 71:4,6 77:20
38 177:11	600,000 56:10	60:21,23 213:20	78:2 84:14 90:15
3rd 226:16	60s 30:1,10 50:21	88 60:21,23 62:22	104:11 135:19,20
4	153:3 199:16	8:52 2:24 4:16	140:14 179:25
302	61 3:13	8:58 8:22,23	194:19
4 3:8,11 23:8,14	64 79:5,6	8:59 8:23,25	absolutely 18:14
40 179:14	65 79:17 80:3	9	35:10 221:5
400,000 63:20	7	9 3:16 112:17	academic 88:14
41 176:11 185:10		113:16	accommodate
42 97:23 98:5	7 3:14 81:23,25		89:22,23
44 21:13,24,25	165:9,11,12,14,14	9/11 54:5 90 85:16 110:24	accomplish 31:10
23:11,17,25	7.2 159:22,24		203:16
45 2:10	160:5	215:14 908 2:5	accomplished
46 24:8	7.3 159:24	908 2:3 90s 16:23 24:22	195:14
465 2:4	70 56:5	25:3,16,17 26:19	accomplishments
47 24:23	70s 27:19 28:17,25	26:20,22 56:23,25	50:8 144:15
48 190:16	30:8,10,12 37:17 37:18 39:9 46:5	57:2 59:20 67:15	account 3:21 10:8
49 190:17		914 2:16	11:16 25:2,9
	511.77 56.5 177.5	714 4.10	07.05.22.1.26.20
5	50:22 56:5 122:5		27:25 33:1 36:20
5 5 3:12 52:20	153:2,3	92 18:21 19:12,13	38:3,5,21 42:5,22
	153:2,3 75 2:23 4:19	92 18:21 19:12,13 19:15,25 20:4	
5 3:12 52:20	153:2,3	92 18:21 19:12,13 19:15,25 20:4 161:8 167:3,13	38:3,5,21 42:5,22
5 3:12 52:20 5,000 102:3,7	153:2,3 75 2:23 4:19	92 18:21 19:12,13 19:15,25 20:4	38:3,5,21 42:5,22 42:22,24,25 59:2,6

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 230 of 274

[account - answers]

			1 450 5
109:19 136:23	accurately 81:5	169:23 170:4	allocation 43:18
137:14,15,15	accused 49:1	171:8 172:2,9	44:12 139:9
154:1 155:25	193:18	212:7	allow 54:6 65:9
156:8,15,17 157:7	achieved 14:1,5	aforesaid 226:7	76:13 85:14
157:18 167:15	47:16 50:9	afternoon 7:17	allowed 49:21
168:19 172:19	achievements	191:23	61:4 64:15 74:16
173:2,5 176:13	47:21	age 208:25	139:15 144:17
180:20,25 181:5	acknowledge	agency 144:2	145:2 148:2
181:18 182:2,23	87:21 215:3	agent 95:5,19,25	154:14 193:25
183:11,18 184:10	acknowledged	96:2 117:10	194:25
184:17 188:20	7:14 8:4 11:8 84:5	118:16 121:17	allows 117:17
209:16	acknowledges	123:2,13 185:22	amanda 2:9 5:17
accountability	118:1,20 136:5	185:22 187:22	193:12
155:8	152:13	agents 122:25	america 21:9
accountant 33:10	acquired 179:9	185:17,20 186:20	122:7 123:1,10
33:20	act 95:19 185:21	187:4	186:11
accountants 32:3	action 226:15	ago 41:14,15	american 143:23
accounted 160:14	actions 5:11	130:22,25 179:22	174:20 175:10,14
accounting 55:3	activity 152:24	agree 4:12 68:10	amount 55:7
111:20 154:18,20	actual 25:12 35:4	77:5 83:12,15	110:10 132:20
155:8 156:8	164:14 165:2	212:18 213:4	135:10
167:20	177:1,8,10	agreed 168:12	amounts 156:20
accounts 24:16	adam 33:11	agreement 45:1	amseleum 196:24
29:15,17 34:20,22	additional 224:8	95:18,22 138:10	analysis 104:6
135:11,13 156:10	address 155:1	159:9 208:1	analyze 220:2
157:9 160:23	adjust 183:13	agreements 95:20	andy 60:20,24
162:7 167:13,17	admit 7:18	157:7 208:11	64:15 66:18
167:18 168:14,21	admitted 49:1,5	209:5,7,13,14,16	annette 27:23
170:17 172:2	admitting 26:16	209:24 210:2	32:21 33:2,2,3,8
188:20 189:23	advance 83:8	agrees 157:13	34:14,24 36:22,22
191:13,17	advantage 203:13	ahead 101:20	43:9 48:25 137:1
accrued 182:3	205:3	149:21 152:11	138:2 139:5
accumulated	advice 7:19	169:25 197:17	170:12
42:12 111:1	advise 52:8	203:21 220:16	announced 68:1
134:25	advising 53:3	alarm 138:16	annual 90:13
accumulating	advisory 25:20	albert 196:23	answer 9:23
110:14 135:12	28:1 35:3,11	alive 33:11	130:20 171:19
accurate 15:4	56:20 69:2 98:14	allocate 42:18	answered 17:9
62:16 79:12,19,24	100:17 146:9	47:6	answering 78:25
80:12,17 223:5	148:25 151:10	allocated 42:11	answers 99:24
	166:8 167:6		
The second secon	Varitant I a	LIE IN LINE MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE P	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 231 of 274

[anybody - awake]

anybody 34:14	approved 144:16	211:10 218:6	155:19	
70:25 89:15	approximate	asking 17:13,14	auction 69:6 76:25	
107:20 108:6	26:24	21:15 72:21	77:7	
118:4 119:24	approximately	131:24,25 141:10	audio 4:11	
131:3 139:3	4:16 60:2,13	219:13	audit 134:19	
140:14 141:6	63:13,20,23,25	asleep 82:20,25	auditors 25:12	
146:21,25 147:8	87:8	83:3 192:8	audits 130:2	
154:18,19 170:16	april 33:15	assets 55:11	august 21:8	
175:22,23 176:2	arbitrage 25:24	153:11,18 194:8	author 104:8	
195:3	26:13 34:6,7,20	194:11,22	142:10 143:9	
anymore 121:8	35:2,10 59:19	assist 224:7	authorization 3:19	
184:7 200:9	70:20,25 92:19	assistant 33:15	3:20 95:23 109:17	
210:25 213:1	100:18 102:2,4,16	association 51:1	157:12 158:1,16	
anyplace 178:4	126:10 133:15	51:11,21,22,23	authorized 96:6	
anytime 41:4	165:2 177:8 185:4	143:25	authorizing 3:8	
85:12	187:20 195:20	assume 213:22	96:5	
anyways 198:2	196:3,5 197:20,23	assumed 129:7	automated 50:14	
apartment 13:1	198:6,10 199:18	assumes 118:15	50:16 57:24,25	
apparently 48:22	200:11	150:11	64:4,12 74:6	
appeal 78:1	arbitrageur 34:11	assuming 96:20	automatic 58:23	
appealed 212:10	arbitrageurs	130:16 153:5	automatically	
appealing 211:24	195:25 196:6	assumption 120:8	131:6 200:12	
appear 154:7,11	arbitration 52:5	121:12,15 218:10	automation 40:24	
154:16 181:3	area 115:13,15	assured 66:13	53:18 65:16	
appearance 13:25	178:14	155:19	avellino 9:16 10:8	
14:5 28:2	argue 140:4	attach 224:9	10:10,23 11:3,18	
appeared 11:24	argued 147:4	attached 116:9	11:21 167:13,17	
144:1	arising 157:15	223:6	168:4 171:2,5	
appears 111:6	arm 51:12	attending 5:6	176:23 177:1,5	
apple 59:13 140:5	arrange 127:12	attention 144:4	avenue 2:4	
applicant 1:6 4:24	arrangement	224:6	average 45:11,16	
applied 159:25	127:12,14 203:1	attorney 2:14 7:19	64:4,13 65:2	
appointing 95:25	arrangements	7:23 8:7 9:14 10:1	90:13 109:10,22	
appreciate 20:15	122:9 123:12	41:22 43:21 45:6	110:1,15,16 111:1	
221:12 224:6	arthur 164:4	62:4 145:17	111:11 112:3	
approach 83:24	article 3:13,18	159:23 179:2	132:10 134:9,23	
approached 68:7	artificially 140:23	208:7 226:12,14	135:3,14,17,17	
193:8 195:6,21	asked 7:25 8:1	attorneys 5:5,15	140:7 182:17	
196:15 213:6	43:22 45:25 53:7	7:22 43:20 45:7	averaging 56:11	
approval 65:23	54:6 61:7 65:23	78:19 88:1 90:17	awake 82:16	
approvat 03.23	31.001.705.25			

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 232 of 274

[aware - better]

aware 17:4 25:25	bad 212:13,13,19	116:13 155:9	beginning 13:9	
25:25 26:2,10,12	216:14	183:14	27:15,18 46:3	
126:23 130:21	baker 2:9 5:15,17	basic 154:18	62:21 75:13 92:16	
awhile 178:23	bakerlaw.com	basically 16:12	152:20 165:17	
207:2	2:11	17:6 20:14,20	begins 91:16	
b	balance 55:11	29:6 36:9 42:17	163:21	
b 3:6	56:6 76:12 145:24	53:4,6,13,15 55:2	behalf 5:10	
back 8:24 17:11	153:17,19,23	55:10,17 56:18,22	belief 88:7	
18:4 23:6 28:18	154:22,23 155:24	57:25 58:11 59:15	believe 10:7,21	
30:5 43:1,9,13	156:11,13,15	74:4,20,22 78:10	175:3 214:16	
47:1,3 52:22	157:16 158:25	78:15,18 84:13	223:5	
54:13 56:2 68:14	159:10 160:1,6	92:4,18 105:19	believed 14:1 91:4	
79:4 81:20 85:12	balances 156:19	115:18 118:23	bell 138:17	
85:18 86:2,12,16	157:9	120:4 124:9 145:5	benefit 145:10	
86:18,19,24,25	ballpark 20:4	148:16 166:25	bernard 1:8,12,19	
87:8 90:3,4,6 91:4	bank 25:2 86:4	167:19 168:24	2:20 3:2 4:24 5:4	
91:15,22 95:1	121:20,20,23,25	170:17,25,25	5:13 6:3 91:12,17	
109:1,11 111:25	122:3,3,6,7,7,8,8	181:9 183:9	95:24 96:4 98:2	
113:21,25 117:10	123:1,8,8,10,11,24	188:19 193:9,17	163:22 221:22	
117:11 119:2,16	124:13 125:8	196:1 197:22	223:3,11 224:3	
121:5,6 124:13	186:11	200:3	226:9	
126:6,18 131:10	bank's 123:2	basis 25:6 36:1	bernie 24:2,11	
134:24 135:16	bankers 186:12	37:2,6,13 40:15	25:2,4 41:3 73:9	
134:24 133:10	196:25 197:1	53:17 56:22	88:12,17 89:1	
150:25 151:7,7	bankruptcy 1:1	105:24 133:5	91:11 105:14	
150:23 151:7,7	5:2	basket 20:21	141:10,10,13	
160:21 161:19	banks 121:22	bates 22:20 62:18	142:10 143:24	
163:20 167:14	122:6,19 123:15	79:17	144:4,12 145:18	
168:14 191:19	123:16,17 124:10	bear 86:8 122:13	155:15 212:21	
193:7 212:14	124:14,15,20,23	122:15,16 143:16	bernie's 141:19	
215:20 221:10	126:3 127:1	188:14,16,17,19	bernstein 6:10	
backdate 32:12	185:20 193:19	188:21,23 189:1,1	best 13:10 18:16	
backdated 27:20	195:22	189:16,18,20,23	25:18 45:23 65:5	
28:5	barbara 159:18,25	189:23 190:3	71:6,15,17,22	
backdating 16:25	barclays 122:10	196:7,13 198:17	75:22 76:20,23	
31:9 32:18	bargain 88:4 90:2	199:10 218:2	81:3 84:21 134:20	
backer 54:7,7	136:9	becoming 108:16	134:20 203:2	
background	barney 58:23 77:9	began 12:15 13:11	bettencourts	
165:18	base 217:22	19:10,17 26:19,20	197:3	
backwards 187:21	based 11:19 28:5	100:1 169:23	better 70:18 72:3	
187:25 188:6	35:21 36:10 58:2		158:3 159:6	
137771000				

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 233 of 274

[bias - bring] Page 6

bias 20:20	blome 74:11 22	183:2 189:4,9,12	bookkeeper 32:21	
bias 20:20 bible 104:1	blame 74:11,22 89:15	197:20,23 198:6	bookkeeper 52.21	
bid 46:22	blamed 74:10	197.20,23 198.0	36:21	
	124:7	bonds 41:25 42:2	bookkeeping	
bidding 77:12	blank 45:3	42:8,13,14,14 43:2	32:18	
bids 57:12,16 58:1		56:1 58:12 93:10	books 88:13	
bienes 9:16 10:9	blecker 3:21 164:4		bore 211:17	
10:11,23 11:4,18	172:3	102:24,25 103:2,7		
11:21 167:13,17	blew 171:2	103:8,10,13,15,15	boring 213:14 borrow 55:25	
168:4 171:2,5	blmis 27:19	103:17,18,20		
176:23 177:1,5	165:18,19,20	105:21,23 106:2,5	bothered 111:25	
big 29:13,14 67:25	166:7,9 187:21	106:7 107:6,9,18	bottom 22:21	
73:14,19 78:1	blocked 68:21	108:2 109:16	bought 40:5,14	
95:8 141:3 142:8	bloods 79:15	110:10,15,20	44:15 46:6 70:18	
189:20 191:17	blue 79:15	114:22 115:6,22	74:3,4 93:16,20,23	
198:11 203:12,13	board 50:11,23,25	115:24 116:11,20	93:24 94:3,4,15,17	
203:25 213:21	51:21 140:6	117:13 118:3,8,10	94:18 96:16,18,20	
214:1	boarded 199:5	118:14,17 119:6	96:21,25 97:3	
biggest 213:5	boards 52:14	119:11,21,21	100:13 103:6,10	
217:4	bob 4:13	120:20,21 121:1,9	103:15,17 107:9	
bill 125:25 159:23	bombing 54:10	121:13 122:5,22	110:19 111:4,14	
160:3 162:25	bona 196:5	122:22 123:6	111:19,24 114:12	
215:21	bond 34:6 36:16	126:22,24,25	114:12,14 121:4,5	
billion 86:24 87:10	41:24 42:20,23	127:6 133:2,2	125:22 129:7,8,10	
87:11 140:16	43:6,11,12 92:18	136:22,23,24	129:11 130:18	
143:23 155:23	99:14,18 100:7,9	137:5,8,9,13 138:2	134:21 164:24	
156:13,23 157:1	104:2,5,18 105:15	164:7 177:20	172:16 173:1	
159:18,22,24	106:9,11,13	178:16,16,20	182:10,18,20	
160:5,5,9	107:16 110:12,17	179:4,9,12 180:19	189:12 191:5,5	
billionaires 214:24	110:25 115:11,11	181:8 183:3,5	box 94:14 154:6	
billions 217:8	116:1,2,3,4,5,5,7	185:19 190:9,12	197:12 201:8	
bills 161:16,17,18	116:12,14,16,22	190:13 196:4,11	boy 88:20 215:1	
161:24,24 162:2,8	116:23,24 117:1,6	197:24	brain 47:25	
162:11,16,20	117:9,10,15,16,18	bongiorno 27:23	108:23,24	
163:1 183:19	117:18,20 118:5	28:4 32:21 33:4	break 42:12,12	
184:9	118:23 119:8,19	34:14,24 36:22,22	52:25 91:9 126:13	
bit 24:6 32:24	119:25 120:24	43:9 49:1 170:12	163:4	
47:25 109:8	122:24,25 123:5	bongiorno's 33:2	breaking 140:17	
191:20	133:10 137:2,7	book 103:24	briefly 7:2 57:5	
blackmailed 89:5	164:6 178:6,13	104:12,15,20	177:18	
89:17	179:25 180:22	141:1 142:6,10	bring 78:2	
	181:12,21 182:8,9			
		L		

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 234 of 274

[brings - case]

			Tuge /
brings 101:16	bunker 58:7	64:17 66:11 68:8	148:12 167:5
150:21	bureau 141:3,12	68:23 70:9,12,14	178:22,24 182:10
broker 64:23	business 25:22	70:16,22 74:2	183:1,19 192:21
128:6,18 129:6,9	26:1 29:23 44:9	76:2,22 77:17,19	called 13:24 34:23
135:5 144:18	44:16 45:8,8,9,22	80:21,23 98:7,8,9	57:15 65:1 67:19
166:1 187:14,15	47:2,15 51:11,12	101:6,11 105:4	67:20 72:13 75:8
195:4	55:17 56:7 59:1	106:13 110:2,3,4,6	77:2 88:14,15
brokerage 32:4	60:17,24,25 61:5	114:23 116:1,2,24	104:18 109:9
55:5 57:17 59:11	62:16,19 63:2	117:1,6,16 118:8	117:21 131:1
59:15 64:16 75:3	64:9 68:20 69:2	118:23 119:20	134:20 139:22
75:11 97:11	69:13,15,19 70:20	125:13,16 128:14	144:16 158:15
123:21 124:11,22	70:24 73:25 74:1	131:3 135:5,10	179:17 180:1,13
125:6,20 128:3	74:15 75:2 76:7	137:2 138:3	180:17,18,20
131:14,23 140:9	77:22 78:18 81:7	145:12 172:13	181:8,12
145:21 147:12,16	81:12 88:15,18	182:13 183:2,3,20	calling 86:13,23
150:5 153:17,22	96:1,6,8 124:15,22	184:2 188:21	calls 86:15,17
154:5,19 157:6,19	126:3 138:15	189:21 194:13,19	153:9 211:20
159:1,12 183:18	139:5 149:7	195:1,5 197:8	calm 86:19
184:8 187:6,8	151:17 152:21,22	201:13 211:19	capacity 63:22
brokers 130:21	153:2,6,12,15	buying 19:20 38:9	94:23 95:1,2,4
brook 2:15	165:24 166:3,4,5,6	38:10,24 39:14	144:1
brother 7:11 58:5	166:8,21,23,25	45:18 98:5 100:10	capital 51:25 55:7
66:17 72:15 78:19	167:1,6,22,25	105:5 110:4,9,11	55:15 198:3 211:3
brother's 65:21	168:1,4 175:23	110:17,18 111:19	caption 4:22
78:9,13	176:4 179:16	111:21 114:11	card 66:22
brothers 79:10	180:6 182:2	116:2 117:25	cards 66:22,23
214:23 217:24	184:21 185:11	128:2,5,19 133:23	care 150:8 158:24
brought 60:16,24	186:2 187:19	151:11 160:22	160:8 224:4
60:25 61:4 65:22	196:3 197:18	161:4 164:6	career 81:11
82:13 103:24	200:6,7 211:2	172:20 182:14	carl 29:6 30:3
brown 79:10	212:2,4,8,12	183:22 203:8,12	33:18,19 44:14,18
bruce 81:13	213:11,13 215:5	211:22	136:23 137:3
build 67:3 72:8	216:8,12 217:20	buys 45:16 121:6	191:12,18 208:6
74:16 77:1 211:16	219:17	125:24 131:3	208:18 210:3
building 53:3,9	butner 1:21 2:22	144:21 154:4	carlo 122:10
216:25,25 217:19	2:23 4:17,19	С	carolina 1:21 2:23
buildings 54:11	butterworth	c 2:1 3:1 6:1	4:19 226:1
built 73:11	104:17	104:16 223:1,1	carried 149:12
bullish 201:4	buy 42:4,6,18	call 20:23 33:8	carry 14:20 24:3
bunch 66:22	45:13 47:1 57:18	40:21 59:12 74:7	case 4:22 5:1,3
	57:20 59:13,13,14	85:15,25 135:4	140:4 144:25
A PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	Varitavt Loc		A STATE OF THE STA

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 235 of 274

[case - clearly]

156:12 219:24	18:22 20:25 21:5	change 224:13,16	circulation 121:1
220:5 221:8	21:24 22:3,7,11	224:19,22,25	121:9
cases 48:23	23:6,13,16,20,22	225:3,6,9,12,15,18	citicorp 67:17
cash 55:11 183:8	27:3,6,10,12 30:24	225:21,24	68:23,25 77:9
catch 114:9	35:8 41:10,16	changed 8:15	124:10
caused 87:20	48:6,11 49:11	19:16 168:9 209:7	citigroup 79:10
cellphones 4:9	52:15,24 61:12,22	209:23	citizen 194:2
cents 109:24	61:23 63:8,11	changes 223:7	citizens 193:25
certain 13:16	81:17,21 82:2,6,9	224:4	194:25 195:7,22
16:13 17:2 26:22	83:7,21 87:6 91:6	changing 32:18	claim 103:10
28:20,20 31:10	91:9,18 94:13	119:19	133:11
35:10 45:3 46:5	96:12 97:13,18	charade 87:19	claimed 13:23
64:20 90:24 91:2	98:24 99:3,4	charge 141:15,24	157:3 208:21
147:6 154:22	102:11 104:7,14	chart 176:10	claiming 103:4
174:12	104:21,25 112:8	chase 122:6	214:13
certainly 7:9	112:11,16,23	124:10	clarification 22:19
32:25 37:18 39:20	113:3,9,11,15,18	cheaper 70:18	clarified 161:10
40:25 90:5,14,15	114:1,3,6,8 126:14	111:19	clawback 5:11
132:2 140:24	127:2 142:13,16	cheapest 111:16	cleaning 141:24
178:8 200:6	142:19,23,25	check 39:25	142:4
certificate 226:4	143:2,4,8 147:15	123:24 126:2	clear 22:25 33:3
certify 223:3	148:15 149:9,23	177:24	33:21,23 41:8
226:8,12	157:20,25 158:5	chest 8:3	124:4,13,18,23
chair 70:5	158:10,14,19	chicago 69:21	150:1
chaired 51:15	159:5 163:3,7,11	70:13,22 71:17,22	cleared 124:9
chairman 48:10	163:13,23 165:8	71:23 72:3,4	clearing 51:5,7
50:10,11,23,25	165:10,13,21,23	123:2,4,22,23	63:18 76:5 122:9
51:4,6,8,9,10,14	170:1 171:25	chief 217:24	123:11,20 124:2,3
51:16,17,18,24	173:9,13,18,25	children 29:18	124:3,8,18,24
52:1 83:2 125:4	174:3,7 176:7,20	61:4 208:23,25	125:2,4,9,19 126:3
143:24	192:1,4,6,10,12,15	chin 11:25 13:6	126:4,21 127:1,1
chairmen 54:1	192:23 193:1,5,12	chinese 37:23	127:14 130:24
88:25	196:20 220:17,21	choice 205:19	154:6 186:9
chais 29:7 30:9	221:5,9,11,17,19	214:11	clearinghouse
33:16,17 204:17	chaitmanllp.com	choices 210:14	131:7 190:8
204:19 210:7	2:6	choudhry 104:9	clearly 96:9
chaises 197:22	challenge 201:10	christian 197:3	107:12 109:4
chaitman 2:3,4 3:3	201:10	cincinnati 69:22	112:2 128:8 132:5
5:9,9,10 6:7 9:1	chance 83:10	72:15,17	156:22 157:7
11:23 12:2,7	220:25	circulate 61:18	160:16 191:4
15:24 16:2,4			205:8
		ral Solutions	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 236 of 274

[client - concern]

			1 450
client 10:1,15	214:12,13 216:20	166:2 223:24	company's 63:5
13:21 17:14,18	217:22 218:3	commit 88:17	63:12 64:3,12
18:6,6,11,11 42:4	close 58:12 87:10	commitment	compelled 13:21
42:14,24 43:2,7	178:25 205:5	16:19 85:10	compensated
59:12 71:13,14	closed 31:24	202:22 213:2	35:23
81:3 93:15,16,16	167:12 180:6,20	215:19	compensation
93:19,20,23,24	180:24	commitments	35:21 36:10
95:24 107:9	closing 11:15	13:16 14:15 16:16	compete 69:6
110:10 136:21	111:8 168:14	26:9 156:3 205:10	76:24 77:9,20
145:20 172:19	181:18	205:20,21	competing 72:23
173:5 176:3	clue 45:12,23	committed 7:7	137:20,23
183:14 184:10	code 94:23,24 95:4	151:19	competition 68:14
189:16 213:3	codes 94:23	committee 51:9,10	69:18 70:2 75:17
217:22,25	collapse 27:19	51:12,15,16,17,19	competitive 70:4
client's 16:16 75:6	86:9	51:22,24,25 52:2,3	competitor 68:9
183:25	collapsed 55:24	52:6 70:5,6 72:9	69:8 73:14 189:18
clients 10:18 11:18	collapsing 85:2	72:15	189:19
13:16,17,22,25	collar 211:14,16	committees 52:6	complaining 73:10
14:13,16 15:4	collected 175:8	88:20	complete 223:5
16:24 17:5,25	collier 2:19 4:13	committing 87:21	compliance 53:15
24:12 25:1,6,11,24	combination	218:12,22	54:14
25:25 26:4 28:1,8	20:13	commodities	complicated 109:8
28:13 29:13,14	combine 116:22	198:19 200:7	213:17
32:5,25 34:2	come 10:23 17:11	commodity 200:8	complicity 91:3
42:11 45:19 46:7	18:4 37:12 53:15	common 55:5 66:9	computer 59:13
55:17 57:22 58:22	53:15,25 67:5	117:7 153:8 180:9	140:5 142:1
58:24 59:8,15,16	81:12,20 91:22	184:21 185:12	170:15 201:14
59:18,18 63:18	132:13 211:7	186:1 197:25	computerize 169:6
64:16 67:9,11	212:4,6	198:14	computerized
71:3 79:8,14	comes 37:21 76:8	communist 193:18	132:18
84:16,23 89:19	125:23 204:14	companies 140:5	computers 66:21
90:7 95:20 105:5	coming 86:6 138:5	185:15,21,21	141:24
128:3,17 157:12	138:6 141:7	company 45:15,15	conceal 25:11
164:3 167:14,20	183:23	45:17 58:7 105:8	conceded 54:20
168:22 169:2	comment 150:25	105:10 121:5,6	concept 58:4 65:8
172:12,13 175:24	179:19	122:11 140:7,8	67:1 75:3 76:13
177:1,5 184:7	comments 73:23	144:21 168:6	124:21 217:13,20
188:22 189:20	commercial 122:7	181:22 183:22	218:13,15
193:8,9,9 198:5,11	123:1,10 186:11	184:4 187:12	concern 26:6
198:11 199:15	commission	196:8 199:14	141:4 212:5 213:5
201:23 203:2,7,16	109:23 144:14		
		Commence of the commence of th	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 237 of 274

[concerned - copy]

[concerned copy]			
concerned 11:18	129:25 130:11,15	conversations 4:8	103:20 105:20
210:22	130:22 131:8,9,11	conversely 164:22	107:6 114:22
concluded 222:1	131:18 133:20	conversion 13:24	115:24 116:1,4,5,5
concluding 191:1	187:19 190:24	14:19 15:12 16:7	116:7,8,11,16,20
conclusion 174:24	220:2	16:14 17:8 18:9	116:23 117:15
175:4 179:19	conflict 213:12	18:18 19:9 57:3	118:5 119:6,21,25
185:9	confronted 7:11	59:22,23 60:23	122:5 126:10
conclusions 83:13	confused 96:11	84:1 92:7 117:10	133:2,10,15
conditions 14:12	confuses 147:6	118:14,16 120:21	136:22,25 137:2,7
15:1 19:15,19,24	confusing 148:14	120:22 121:13,17	154:13 164:6,7
20:5	190:25	123:2,13 149:11	174:10 177:6,14
conduct 24:7	confusion 144:10	179:17 180:2	178:6 179:4,15
51:12 54:25	149:25 178:15	181:25 186:3	182:4 185:4,12,25
conducted 35:4	179:1	convert 43:11	186:1 187:20
confessed 7:1 9:4	congress 70:1	115:24 117:8,17	189:4,9,12 190:9
12:15	connected 142:23	118:17,21,25	190:12,13 196:4
confessing 7:3	considered 58:16	119:1 120:3,9,24	196:11 197:20,23
confession 144:10	65:18	121:11,17 122:21	197:24 198:6
confidential 1:16	consult 53:9	122:22,24,25	199:17
2:20	consultant 52:11	123:3,5,9,12,18	convertibles 93:4
confidentiality	consulted 53:21	126:22,24,25	103:1
22:24,25	contact 33:4	127:10 137:13,19	converting 117:3
confirmation 3:15	context 12:14	180:2 181:10,12	117:25 120:6
93:15,19,22,25	continental 122:7	186:9,16 198:13	194:18
94:3,10,11,22 95:2	continue 4:11	198:22 199:8,25	convicted 143:22
95:7 96:10,23	10:17,25 11:12,13	converted 115:22	convince 207:10
97:7,11 99:19	19:16 23:4 68:24	118:4,10,11 120:7	convinced 90:2
103:5 109:3,12,21	87:17,19,24	120:17 180:1,8,11	158:23 206:5,18
111:7 112:1	167:21 205:1,2	180:14,16 181:2	207:14 218:19
114:20 128:16	continued 203:24	185:11,25 186:8,8	cooperate 88:1
129:6,12 130:17	207:3	186:17	coopers 33:20
131:5,14,16 132:5	continuous 131:1	convertible 25:24	208:8
133:9,18,22,24	132:12 133:4	26:13 34:6,11,17	coordinate 202:14
134:1 164:14,15	contract 58:6	34:20 35:1,10	coordination
188:3 191:8	control 75:1	36:8,16 38:6	202:19
confirmations	controlled 25:3	41:24,25 42:2,8,20	copies 61:16,18
28:1 94:1 114:11	196:5	42:23 58:12,17	copy 22:2 61:8
115:16,20 126:8	controls 193:24	59:19 92:17,18	62:3 82:5,7 83:9
127:16,25 128:1,4	conversation	93:10 99:14,18	93:25 105:2
128:6,8,17,18,21	185:5	100:7,9,15,18	113:13 155:17
128:24 129:15,18		102:2,4,16,25	156:5 158:3
	and the state of t	L.,	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 238 of 274

[corporate - customers]

corporate 105:22	165:25 178:2,13	crazy 66:3 72:7	114:16 120:15
corporation 1:5	178:17 212:1	124:21	121:3 128:5 129:8
4:23 51:5,7	counterparties	create 27:20,24	129:12,18,25
123:20 124:2,3,8	105:25	28:4 34:15 40:19	131:15,17,18
124:18,25 125:3,4	counterparty	145:2	133:22 135:2
125:9,19 126:5	128:1,10,11,15	created 40:3 49:6	136:18 137:15
130:24 154:6	131:5	89:5 136:10,14	138:3,10,11,12,16
corporations 76:5	countries 203:20	156:1 187:25	138:18,24 139:14
correct 10:12	country 13:12	creates 40:4	139:16,18 140:1
14:21,23 15:6,13	58:18 70:11 75:11	creating 32:9	145:13,15,16,22
17:21 19:5 22:22	78:11,18	39:19 41:12 47:10	146:5,6,9,12,19,20
31:6 35:5,14,23	county 226:2,7	credibility 48:18	147:1,8 148:2,3,17
57:4 62:12 80:11	couple 7:23 55:22	48:22 49:3,4,8,9	148:23,25 149:1
98:19 100:2,12,22	124:10	49:10	150:3,6,8,10 152:2
114:18 149:3,17	coupon 116:9,18	credit 218:25	152:22 153:6,6,18
149:22 161:6	183:2,3,5	crime 7:8 26:15	154:1,3,4,15,24
164:13,25 165:4	course 36:19	crisis 54:5 55:23	156:10 157:17
167:9 169:24	64:24 65:7 73:8	86:5 124:17	159:8,9 160:23
175:5 179:18	81:11 88:21	criticism 115:19	161:12 162:7
207:3 214:5 219:1	108:16 124:6	crossed 97:22	164:12,12,17,20
correction 4:18	126:14,14 135:7	crupi 27:24 28:4	164:21,24 180:20
correctional 2:22	141:3 168:15	32:23 43:9 170:11	180:24,25 181:4
corrections 224:4	207:6 209:22	cuban 55:23	181:18 182:17
224:7	210:10 212:18	currencies 193:25	184:2,13,15,19
correctly 14:18	219:15	currency 193:24	186:23,25 187:12
correspondent	court 1:1 5:2,19	194:5,22 198:10	187:15 188:2
63:18	21:15,16,18 24:19	201:24 203:19	191:5,6
correspondents	54:19 126:12	current 162:13	customer's 16:8
63:19	226:6	customer 16:9	96:16 149:6
cost 13:22 76:8	cover 115:15	25:22 70:14 71:6	186:23 187:7,10
84:3	117:20 143:12,13	71:21 72:2 75:12	customers 1:20
counsel 4:20 6:6	163:2	75:20,23 76:18,19	2:2,21 4:20 6:6
7:19 8:6,11 9:5,7	covered 115:16,17	94:4,4,5,18 95:10	15:8,11 16:13
226:13,14	115:18 134:8	95:19,21 96:1,3,18	25:20 29:5 35:3
counter 57:15	crash 16:18 26:3	96:20,21,24,25,25	35:12 46:24 61:3
58:20 69:24 93:3	29:2 56:24 206:22	97:24 98:1,5,7,10	76:3 87:9 98:14
95:3 103:22	213:20	101:5,6,6,8,9,13	100:10,17,24
105:24 106:7,12	crashed 16:12	103:5,6,7,10,15,17	102:4 121:24
106:15,25 107:14	crashes 204:15	106:23,24 109:13	130:1 131:9
108:4 114:24	crashing 54:10	110:2,23 111:2,10	151:10,16 152:23
115:4,6 133:1	86:7 204:20 205:1	111:15 114:15,15	153:2,9,13,25

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 239 of 274

[customers - depends]

156:21 157:7	day 2:23 12:9	121:24,25 126:8	deemed 11:6 17:2
159:9 161:19	37:14 56:11,12	128:8 135:11	defaulted 151:15
162:22 168:22	63:20 64:25 65:7	146:20	defendant 1:9
172:10 183:3	79:18,22 80:15	deals 68:16 92:7	4:25 21:19
187:7 188:16,20	84:5 101:14 111:6	dealt 28:13 32:14	defendants 5:10
216:10	111:8,24 115:12	32:16 34:16	61:13
cv 92:22,22 108:20	125:16,21,23,25	108:21	defined 6:13
cy 196:13	131:3 132:18	deathnell 77:15	defrauded 84:24
d	135:1,8,18 138:15	debentures 180:8	defrauding 143:22
	139:22 145:20	180:15	degroat 1:24 2:24
d 3:6 6:1 104:15	178:25 205:16	debit 155:24	5:20 226:6,22
104:16	217:1 218:5 221:7	156:15 157:9,16	deliver 64:16
daily 37:2,6,11,13	221:8 223:16	158:25 159:10	117:11 123:20
53:17 57:16 64:1	226:16	160:1,6,10	delivered 40:6
64:3 174:18,20	days 7:23 40:25	debt 149:18	181:1
175:8,9	55:4,15 66:21	156:20,22 157:18	deliveries 125:7
dark 67:20 69:7	85:17 86:3,3	186:1	delivering 64:4,12
74:6 78:11,17	110:7,7,7,16 111:4		delivery 40:6,11
data 175:7,11	111:22 115:2	december 1:22	150:12 186:24
date 4:14 12:20	134:25 141:22	2:24 4:15 7:1	dell 201:14
26:23,24 30:5	174:12 175:1	12:15,25 21:21	demand 85:6
97:10,12,12,20,21	deaf 129:15	24:2,10 25:4	86:12 157:15
100:4 102:7 115:7	deal 28:8 42:5	27:20 54:22 64:9	demonstrate
129:13 134:7,8	67:25 84:1 88:5	81:9 149:12	103:23 152:17
178:18 226:23	90:21 92:15	226:23	demonstrated
dated 97:20 164:4	144:12 211:25	decide 101:5	108:7
dates 20:2 134:21	221:14	136:18 172:22	denominated
david 2:8 5:14	dealer 36:6 45:8	212:25	194:23
22:12 28:17 34:1	58:22 75:10 93:3	decided 68:23	dentist 108:24
34:5,10,24 35:18	93:9,22,24 94:22	70:3 84:21 87:24	dep 192:21
36:7,15 37:6	95:13 100:16	124:17 167:14	department 38:16
38:23 41:9,19	101:4 109:3	168:13 193:18	66:18 67:5 141:9
42:19 43:4,16	120:13,20 122:1	204:19 211:5,15	141:16,21 155:5
44:2,19 47:4	144:18 166:1	215:10	departments
48:24 82:11 119:4	211:25 217:16	decision 84:13	38:14
127:21 136:2,3,4,6	dealers 45:21 51:1	90:1 172:12,18	depended 178:19
136:6,9,12,19	59:9 89:7 143:25	173:3 211:1	depending 31:24
137:16,21 139:3	182:16 183:4	decisions 209:19	66:18 127:8
155:10 218:14	dealing 25:19	declared 184:3	depends 133:9
david's 132:8	32:20 34:19 59:20	deed 74:10	178:12 182:8
davis 2:3 5:9	92:16 108:11	71.10	190:6
	72.10 100.11		10.0
Ann management of the second o	Vouitout I oc	A	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 240 of 274

[depleting - dramatically]

depleting 211:3	65:20 67:15,18,19	disallowing 199:6	60:22 61:2 65:9
depo 226:24	68:5 76:13 77:2	disappear 212:15	67:2,9 75:19,20
deposition 1:17	119:8 124:1,18	disaster 57:1 69:1	78:18 85:21 87:2
2:20 3:8 4:11,17	211:13	210:16 220:10	88:8 100:18
6:11 48:18 83:9	developing 67:14	disclose 9:25	102:12 117:14
91:11,16 108:15	74:11	149:15	122:1 123:13
163:21 164:1	development	disclosing 151:19	132:25 133:12
221:22 222:1	57:23	discount 116:3,21	134:18 141:23
223:15 224:3,4,5,5	dickstein 8:16,18	116:23 117:7,16	145:18 153:1,12
226:8	die 208:20	118:8 119:15	161:11 167:5
depository 51:6	difference 57:6	discretion 80:20	168:1 169:9,9
125:5 126:5	148:6 204:10	discretionary	182:22 183:6
describe 188:13	different 17:10	135:11	184:21 188:25
191:11	29:24 31:14 33:23	discuss 7:17	189:4 191:13
described 28:9	38:2 43:15 44:13	discussed 7:24	197:17,20,22,24
61:15 112:18	49:22 102:22	discussing 91:21	198:6,6,10,17,20
description 62:16	135:23 137:19	105:3	199:22 200:11,15
64:7	174:1,4 203:19,20	discussion 81:24	202:25 203:1,17
design 51:10	differently 174:5	discussions 26:10	203:22 208:9
designed 53:11	difficult 76:9	dishonest 61:3	212:2 213:11
72:10 85:20	dior 197:3	distinctions 47:16	214:9 215:11
desirable 17:2	dipascali 21:2,10	47:18	216:16 218:7
desire 68:22	21:15,19 23:10,24	distinguishing	219:2
destroyed 54:17	24:21,25 25:15,19	97:6	dollar 155:23
detail 83:22	48:25 168:24	district 1:15:2	160:6,10 194:23
details 109:24	170:11	dividend 182:3,13	195:2
118:22 211:17	dipascali's 27:4	182:14,25 183:9	dollars 39:23 59:4
213:15	direct 6:6 33:4	183:11,15,21	86:24 87:10
detect 41:1	63:17 168:19	184:2,3,4,4,16,18	140:16 153:21
determination	directed 17:14	dividends 182:12	156:14,24 157:1
92:10	28:24 58:23	187:11	159:18,22 160:5
determine 19:18	direction 25:4	document 23:2,18	161:13 194:3,9,13
19:19 80:24	30:17 33:25	97:14 157:22	194:15,18,20,21
determined 35:21	226:10	documentation	195:11,16 217:9
65:14	directly 32:20	186:2,5	donald 144:5
devalued 194:7	33:9,13,14 105:24	doing 11:10,20	doubt 35:15
develop 68:25	167:15 187:12	16:13 19:16 25:21	downstairs 169:22
125:2 212:7	directors 50:11,24	26:13,13 28:13	draft 123:18
120.2212.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	29:23 31:1 32:4	dramatically
developed 13:24	51:21	29.23 31.1 32.4	uramaticany
	51:21 disadvantage	32:17 34:9 45:18	194:7

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 241 of 274

[dream - exact]

		1	
dream 203:23	e.f. 198:17 199:11	emotional 7:3	established 37:23
204:12	earlier 90:8 100:3	employed 13:23	196:10
drink 22:14	107:3 129:12	53:23 226:13,14	establishing 49:9
drive 77:21	186:7 190:23	employees 18:1,3	establishment
drop 66:24	early 13:11 16:23	32:6,14 53:14	52:9
drug 89:7	18:21 21:21 24:2	54:24	estate 209:9,11,12
dsheehan 2:11	24:9,22 25:3,16,17	employs 64:5	estates 209:6
dubinsky 3:14	26:19 27:19 30:1	enabled 27:24	evaluate 193:2
81:13,22 83:18	34:21 50:21 51:2	encompassed	evaluating 92:13
91:22,25 95:6	56:5,22	58:14	event 209:24
99:12 100:6 102:6	earned 39:23	ends 62:21 91:10	219:22
102:15,23 103:4	earning 162:10,22	221:21	eventually 40:10
106:16 107:7	ears 129:15	engraving 141:16	55:18 68:15 73:23
108:19 110:25	earth 207:24	141:17,19,20	78:5 187:2 197:2
114:20 115:21	easier 191:16	entered 6:10 11:25	216:23
117:22 118:6	economist 217:24	12:18	everybody 23:17
126:7 132:2,3	economy 85:1	enthralled 67:1	23:20 24:6 34:20
134:6 142:7	edition 104:19,23	entire 151:9	46:14 49:8 54:8
150:22 152:12	104:25	174:11	61:18 74:11 75:16
160:14 163:8	edwards 122:11	entities 185:18	75:18 78:10 86:5
165:6,10 174:4	efficient 73:15	197:1	86:9,13,23 88:16
176:10,21 177:13	75:25 76:7	envy 88:19	88:19 89:3 107:1
179:14 183:17	efficiently 76:14	equals 41:25	121:4,8 129:20
184:22 185:9	effort 67:16	equipped 168:20	163:3 188:18
187:18	eight 6:25 88:11	equities 123:21	192:6,12,23 193:3
dubinsky's 83:9	88:25 204:24	equity 31:13,14	193:22 199:2,21
83:24 165:18	214:17	106:18 109:13,14	203:8,12,21
173:7 174:9	either 28:10 57:21	equivalent 109:23	204:12 205:6,17
due 157:16 183:19	60:8 66:17 117:16	errata 224:9	208:25 209:5
184:9	117:24 123:4	error 89:18	210:15 212:3,6
duly 6:4	126:1 130:19	150:21 153:8	218:11 219:13
dumb 209:19	131:17 132:10	errors 91:25 92:14	everybody's 90:18
218:20	electronic 69:5	92:15	everyday 47:8
dumbfounded	72:12,18 74:12,16	especially 204:6	129:22 130:14,19
47:11 88:24	77:7	esq 2:3,8,9,14	214:17
dwarfed 106:6	electronically 58:1	establish 31:25	everyone's 90:16
e	72:8 74:4,17	48:17 49:10 90:20	evidence 118:13
e 2:1,1,9 3:1,6,6,6	76:14,24	102:24 103:8	185:11 191:2
6:1,1 61:17 223:1	else's 138:7	107:19 152:18	evidencing 182:6
223:1 224:1,1,1	embarrassment	195:8	exact 20:2
LLJ.1 LLT.1,1,1	95:15		
		ral Calutions	The state of the s

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 242 of 274

[exactly - factual]

exactly 170:6 exclude 99:5 113:22 114:4,5 explained 44 220:9,9 excludes 193:11 142:16,18 143:5,6 175:3 examination 3:2 193:14 157:21 158:1,11 explains 132 6:6 excluding 193:12 158:12,15,17 174:16 explains 132 examined 6:5 excuse 21:23 163:18 164:2 explanations 89:10 example 38:14 63:21,21 165:7,14 89:10 expose 76:21 41:24 42:14 45:4 execute 64:20,24 exist 99:18 122:19 expose 76:21 45:14,23 93:14,20 65:6 66:6 71:13 127:5 161:22 exposed 75:2	
examination 3:2 193:14 157:21 158:1,11 explains 132 6:6 excluding 193:12 158:12,15,17 174:16 examined 6:5 excuse 21:23 163:18 164:2 explanations example 38:14 63:21,21 165:7,14 89:10 41:24 42:14 45:4 execute 64:20,24 exist 99:18 122:19 expose 76:21	:1
6:6 examined 6:5 example 38:14 41:24 42:14 45:4 execute 64:20,24 excluding 193:12 158:12,15,17 174:16 explanations 165:7,14 execute 64:20,24 exist 99:18 122:19 expose 76:21	:1
examined 6:5 excuse 21:23 163:18 164:2 explanations example 38:14 63:21,21 165:7,14 89:10 41:24 42:14 45:4 execute 64:20,24 exist 99:18 122:19 expose 76:21	
example 38:14 63:21,21 165:7,14 89:10 41:24 42:14 45:4 execute 64:20,24 exist 99:18 122:19 expose 76:21	
41:24 42:14 45:4 execute 64:20,24 exist 99:18 122:19 expose 76:21	
45:14,23 93:14,20 65:6 66:6 71:13 127:5 161:22 exposed 75:2	
	.3
93:24 99:15 73:6,20 74:1,19 169:17 177:25 76:15	
106:17 156:12 76:16 77:5,11 187:1 exposing 76:	10
180:4 190:22 79:18,21 80:25 existed 71:15 exposure 40:	
examples 107:15 81:2 195:23 123:16 177:25 extent 91:2	
exceeded 174:11 executed 28:6 184:23 extraordinary	7
176:12 35:12 64:3,21 existence 120:25 85:6	
exchange 50:17 65:12,13,19 73:12 121:7 166:19,19 f	
52:4,9,11,12,12,13 76:14 92:8 100:5 167:2 f 3:6 223:1	
52:13,14 58:15	07.10
60:10 64:23 65:5 177:21 expect 131:21 facilities 54:1	
68:7,7,9,11,13,20 executes 71:7 expectations 124:12 186:5	
69:9,14,15 70:10 executing 56:10 13:22 facility 4:18	
72:16,17,18,23,25 65:25 72:25 73:16 expected 13:18 124:13 178:2	,
73:3,9,10,12,15,19 92:11 98:17 99:6 14:1 120:11 128:4 186:13	21
73:21,24 74:3,5,15 execution 51:16 128:23 129:5 fact 7:14 10::	5 11.6
74:24,25 77:15,16 64:5 65:3 134:20 expecting 215:24 14:10 17:4 2	
77:21 82:22 83:3 executions 64:1,13 expensive 103:24 25:12 26:10,	
102:20 103:12,14 executor 209:6,9 experience 92:25 28:3 40:13 5	,
103:18,22 106:3,4 209:11,11,12 93:5,8 108:11 54:4 55:6 58	
106:5,10,14,21,22 exemption 143:17 experienced 67:4 69:18 8	
107:3,4,12 108:1,3	
114:21,21 117:9 145:4 146:23 expert 108:17 92:14 111:21	
140:9 166:1 exerted 159:16 185:1 118:3,18 119	
174:17,20,21 exhibit 3:8,9,10,11 expertise 195:21 121:11 126:2	
175:9,10,13,14 3:12,13,14,15,16 expiration 226:23 130:21 139:3	
176:6 177:20,22 3:17,18,19,20,21 expires 223:24 148:1,19 149	
178:9 179:6 212:3 4:1 6:9,15 12:4,5 explain 7:2,6 143:1,19 145:1	7.4,11
exchanges 25:8 21:1,3 22:20 23:8 20:10 24:5 45:25 175:17 180:1	1
52:3,5 53:3,7 54:3 23:9,14 27:14 46:16 50:12 54:21 175:17 180:1	
69:7,20,23 70:7,10 52:20 61:13,19,24 54:22 55:9 57:5 187:2 208:6	
71:15 74:20 78:4 62:1 79:5 81:18 138:25 144:12 210:20 218:4	
175:16 176:1 81:23,25 97:14,16 175:4 191:16 factual 165:1	
112:17 113:16,19 Tactual 165:1	0
Veritext Legal Solutions	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 243 of 274

[failed - first]

,			
failed 19:11	favor 22:13 218:9	131:14,16,16	147:13 150:6
fails 190:23	federal 2:21 4:18	135:19,20 150:21	153:22 154:5,20
fair 10:22 13:3	51:23	156:5 195:4 224:4	156:21 157:10,19
30:19 49:21,25	feel 11:20 49:9	finds 144:22	159:1,12,17 160:6
64:7 162:5 169:20	91:25	fine 22:16 23:3	164:19,20 166:11
fairlawn 2:15	fees 144:19	82:13 98:24	166:13 170:5
fairly 26:22	fein 2:9 5:17,17	104:24 114:2	184:18 187:8
fairness 45:4	165:9,11	167:25 168:5	195:20 215:6,9
132:3	fell 82:19,24 83:3	191:24 192:3	firm's 36:13 38:3
faith 5:11	felt 8:5 13:21	193:4 197:16	38:4,11,21 39:17
fake 24:16 27:20	28:10 90:5,12	203:21 204:6,13	42:24 114:17
27:24 28:5	134:4 191:13	205:15 209:22	137:14,17 138:6
fallacy 103:19	fictitious 24:17	212:6 213:2	157:6 172:17,17
fallen 192:8	39:3,21,25 41:13	finish 9:22,23	181:5 203:2
false 14:8,13 39:4	44:10,22 47:10	110:8 139:2 185:3	firms 8:15 32:4
falsely 13:25 14:4	136:10 139:6	finished 6:16 56:9	36:5,6 37:21 55:5
familiar 36:13	182:6,7	110:23 113:13	57:17 58:3 59:11
38:20 43:13 95:13	fide 196:5	220:24 221:12	59:15 60:9 64:16
118:4 154:19	fidelity 58:22 66:5	finishes 78:14	64:22 65:17 67:22
families 29:21,24	67:10	finishing 110:18	67:25 72:11 77:4
30:16 32:15,16	figure 88:11	firm 18:1,3 28:8	124:12,23 125:6
33:5,7,25 197:21	214:18	34:14 36:4,12,16	125:20 128:3
199:9 203:25	files 153:23	36:21,25 37:19,23	131:23 137:24
204:15,16 208:3	finalizing 220:12	38:10,17,18,20	144:18 153:18
family 10:5 29:6	finally 123:25	39:1,10,12,15	183:18 184:8
55:17 84:19 87:21	125:1 155:19,21	40:14,16,17,23,24	187:6 196:9
196:23 209:2	finance 104:17	42:3,17,20 44:11	198:18,21 210:21
famous 175:25	141:2	45:2 46:1,4,7 47:6	213:7
fantasy 78:14	financial 68:2	47:9 48:25 52:7	first 12:22 14:15
far 11:17,17 28:13	139:20 150:16	53:18,18 55:1,21	19:9 21:7 27:23
181:7 186:19	153:14	56:2,5,6,9,17,19	28:12 30:4 43:20
fargo 79:11 97:25	financially 226:15	56:20 59:2,5,9,10	43:23 48:8 55:22
98:6	financials 152:23	59:10 60:6,13	57:24 58:6 60:8,8
fascinating 78:22	find 44:5 62:15	65:9 66:4,17 69:9	66:2 72:17 83:25
father 10:8 55:3	83:17 92:9,24	70:22 72:22 75:3	86:8 92:20 104:22
56:1 167:19	102:18 103:25	75:11,25 94:16	104:25 119:5
fathers 214:23	118:19 120:6	96:7,8 97:11	127:18 129:16
fault 89:15 92:10	126:8,22 127:16	114:11,12,14	130:20 134:16
92:24	127:25 128:6,16	123:21 131:14	141:15,15,19
faulting 184:12	128:24 129:5	137:24 139:11	143:11 151:4
	130:11,15,15,16	140:9 145:2,22	169:23 208:14
THE R. L. LEWIS CO., LANSING, MICH. 40, 101 10	A SAME TO STATE OF THE STATE OF	A SALE FRANCE FOR THE SALE FOR	And the second s

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 244 of 274

[firsthand - general]

firsthand 93:8	forgot 72:19	fragile 20:16	friday 110:5,9,13
five 24:25 52:4	form 11:1 15:15	franc 194:1,6,8,12	110:23
67:18,22,23,25	15:19,23,25 18:19	194:17 195:2	fried 48:3
69:20,22,23 70:10	30:20 35:6 56:1	france 193:16,20	friends 209:1
75:1 77:4 110:7	83:19 85:7 98:21	193:21,22 194:8	front 66:9 68:1
137:19 163:11,12	98:23 145:3	196:22	109:21 140:4
166:7	175:21 224:8	francs 194:4,18	fulfilling 68:22
flat 182:10,13	formation 170:5	195:10	full 109:24
183:2,6	formed 50:20,21	frank 21:9 48:24	fully 153:18,25
flaw 154:25	70:5 123:20 125:3	168:24 170:11	fund 85:25 86:11
floor 64:23 65:4	169:21	frankfort 52:13	fundamental
72:25 73:21 74:3	forms 197:14	53:5	91:25
74:4,15 107:3,4	formula 41:23	frankly 95:15	funds 59:21 85:13
166:18,22 167:2,8	43:18 44:1 47:6	fraud 7:15 13:11	161:8 211:6
169:11,17 170:9	137:4,4	15:8,11 17:17,24	212:11,12,21
176:1,5 212:3	formulas 43:14	19:10,17 24:3	215:16
flow 51:18 78:3	forth 70:24 110:21	49:6 56:25 57:2	furious 168:7
99:2	125:15 130:2	59:23 84:7,10	furnish 224:8
flowing 144:23	131:10 182:25	87:22 88:6,9,17	further 7:10
focus 18:5 149:16	196:14 197:4,15	90:9 92:23 99:25	226:12
151:20 152:15,23	210:25	141:4,14 149:14	future 98:22
153:3,7,23 154:16	fortunately 85:9	149:15 152:19	120:23
focusing 133:13	forward 68:19	169:23 170:4	futures 52:12
following 25:5	78:6	191:2 214:6	g
follows 6:5	found 19:9 83:24	218:12,22 219:9,9	g 6:1 155:17
footnote 136:8	92:15 118:15	219:25	196:24
175:7	129:6	fraudulent 60:22	ga 155:16
forced 180:2 214:1	founded 165:19	148:22 167:6	gain 32:12 144:18
forcing 16:18	founder 51:4	174:25	200:22 205:3
foregoing 223:4	founders 50:22	freeman 3:18	gains 31:10,17,18
226:8	founding 124:8	143:9	31:21,25 34:9
foreign 52:8 54:3	four 27:15 29:13	freilich 33:15	198:4,7,13,13,22
78:2 193:8 212:10	29:14,20,24 30:16	french 193:9,24	198:24 199:7,8,14
212:12	32:15,25 33:4,7,24	194:2,4,6,17,25	200:1,1,10,11,13
foreigners 205:13	46:13 54:1 68:17	195:6,10,22 197:1	200:1,1,10,11,13
206:10	68:17 86:3 109:24	198:9 201:23	game 87:4 204:20
forerunner 67:13	110:7 191:17	202:7 203:6 205:5	game 87.4 204:20 gao 155:3,6,17
67:20	197:21 198:11	frenchmen 196:16	156:6,25 158:23
forever 139:18	199:9 203:25	frequency 74:7	general 57:13,22
forgive 151:8	204:15 208:3	frequently 183:21	58:19 62:15 75:24
	213:21 214:1	184:1 216:7	75:24 76:11,16,22
E E			1 / 3.44 / 0.11.10.22

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 245 of 274

[general - guaranteed]

(generai - guaranteeu	1		rage 10
98:13 122:1 130:3	86:11,22 91:1	91:12 92:5 94:7	173:14,16 195:25
131:11 135:25	92:2 98:6,9 100:3	96:15 98:22 100:3	196:6,13 202:25
153:9 155:4,8	101:11,20 115:15	102:3 108:24	213:6 218:1
156:7 197:23	117:19 118:3,22	109:12 112:17	good 5:11 6:8,24
generally 100:10	119:15,16,20	113:18,20 116:17	12:8,11 21:12
175:17	120:12 126:6	126:15 134:3	23:23 27:14 71:19
generate 17:1	128:13 134:24	136:5 137:8 138:9	74:10 92:22
200:10	135:15 149:21	139:19 140:23	108:21 112:16
generating 199:17	151:7 152:11	141:13 144:11	119:24 163:14
genius 215:2	159:2 160:21	152:10 155:20	173:6 174:8 193:5
george 140:15	163:4,8 169:25	157:21 158:14	201:9 202:3,6
georgetown 144:5	184:11 187:3,11	159:21,25 163:8	205:4 217:13,21
145:6	188:22 189:16	163:15 169:4	gotten 210:18
getting 76:19	191:19,25 192:16	170:16 184:4,18	government 9:5
86:22 168:15	192:19 193:2,23	186:23 187:2,3	88:2,3 155:7
183:15,21 184:15	197:11,17 200:25	191:20 193:22	194:25
184:16 192:2,4,15	201:1,8,11 203:21	194:6 197:8,24	governments 52:8
204:3 215:16	204:9,9 205:1,1,2	201:1 202:10,14	gradual 56:21
217:2	206:19 214:11	202:15,16,23	gradually 56:4
give 13:25 14:5,8	218:10 220:16	204:25 205:1,2,7,8	graduated 58:9
34:13 42:14 43:6	221:19	205:11 206:9,19	60:18,20
61:7 64:22 65:10	goal 75:11 120:3	206:21,21,23	gray 115:13
77:11 82:3,3,9	120:20,25	207:1,15,16,18	grease 144:20
85:16 101:20,21	god 156:25	208:21 210:21,23	great 70:20 77:14
108:15 113:20	goes 45:14 74:10	212:20,23 213:6	93:6 112:20
123:8,9 136:17	74:19 79:6 105:9	213:22 216:13	124:14 150:20
137:1 138:1,1,1	109:6 119:2	218:21 219:23,24	188:10 201:22
156:4 196:21	138:17 143:21	220:13 221:23	217:11 218:17
given 163:24	144:7 193:6	golden 215:1	greater 120:18
221:2 226:11	200:21 201:18	goldman 2:14 5:12	grew 55:18
gives 137:11 180:4	204:13	5:12 8:16,18,20	grounds 206:14
giving 66:10 95:24	going 6:14 8:21	22:6,15,18,23 23:3	group 7:21 198:5
139:4 160:4	12:2 23:8,23	41:3 48:20 61:7	201:23 203:16
212:22 221:13	27:15 31:25 39:11	67:16 68:18 72:11	groups 172:8
glad 161:9	42:13,23 46:25	75:6 77:8 81:19	grownup 144:9
gmail.com 2:16	48:5 52:17 61:12	82:11,15 104:3	gruss 196:7
go 4:12 7:10 23:16	66:9,19 68:9,25	105:14,17 112:12	guarantee 139:21
27:2 28:18 42:6	71:9,12,14,21,23	113:1,10 142:9	201:1,6
66:19,25 68:19	71:25 73:2,19,20	147:18 148:4	guaranteed
76:4 77:6 79:4	74:25 78:15 80:22	155:14 165:22	209:14
83:22 84:14,17	81:17 90:12,18	171:18,21 173:8	
Į	Veritevt Lea	L	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 246 of 274

[guard - hung]

,	-		
guard 144:14	220:13	heinemann 104:17	home 7:17
guess 7:5 16:22	happened 7:24	held 4:17 5:1 64:2	honestly 14:22
54:12 55:19 132:8	11:19 26:2 70:1	80:14,19 81:24	79:2
guilt 49:2 84:11	73:23 89:8,12	165:3 182:21	honored 151:13
guilty 11:25 12:18	90:23 93:17	194:20,22 204:23	honoring 156:2
21:10 24:5 78:20	103:25 105:2	helen 2:3 5:9	hope 123:5,23
84:10,14,18,22	120:1 160:15	hell 169:4 173:11	hoped 78:4
87:24	167:12 196:15	help 65:22 94:7	hopefully 46:25
gulf 20:2,17	201:3 207:10	144:19 200:2	horror 84:19
gun 89:21 214:10	happens 78:21	helped 24:2 27:20	hostetler 2:9 5:15
gus 196:12	125:11 140:24	28:4	5:18
guy 72:3 108:20	197:19 220:13	henry 217:23	hot 212:11
108:20 144:8	happy 199:21,22	hereon 157:16	hours 178:21
guys 71:5 208:18	204:13	hereto 226:14	house 75:8 76:4,6
208:20 214:22	hard 43:25 175:24	hewlett 201:14	76:18 77:6 166:7
h	harmless 157:14	high 56:11 74:7	166:9,12,20
h 3:6,6 104:16,16	207:12 208:11	140:23 198:2	169:12,21 170:5,7
224:1	209:18	199:24	housekeeping
half 49:14,15	harry 218:17	highway 2:23 4:19	112:7
116:15 162:4	hazy 115:10	hired 34:11 119:5	huge 207:21
hand 6:8	hchaitman 2:6	historical 27:22	huh 8:17 12:21
handed 41:21	he'll 138:1,1	28:5	21:14 24:24 27:9
handing 61:24	head 52:5 62:14	historically	28:22 50:2 62:2,8
handle 75:12 78:5	67:5 89:22 171:13	117:19 119:9,17	62:20 63:1,16
168:20 169:4,7	171:22 214:11	history 45:25 52:7	79:9 80:4,16 81:8
handled 34:23	heading 174:9,14	68:13 88:17	94:20 98:15
36:24 38:22 122:2	182:1	hit 65:15	100:19 102:10
124:11 126:4	hear 15:16 40:22	hold 68:13 81:22	122:14 133:16
171:8,12 172:5,11	81:15	117:18 119:1	148:24 158:22
handling 32:25	hedge 31:11,11,19	133:19 157:13	162:15,15 164:10
168:25 211:5	59:21 85:13,25	174:5 186:25	170:10 171:16
handwriting	86:11 161:8	187:7,9,10 189:21	173:17 174:13,19
43:24 44:2	196:18 197:10,14	189:22 190:1	176:9 177:12
handwritten 3:12	201:5 211:6,21	200:20 201:18	180:13 182:5
3:17 41:23 48:12	212:10,12,21	202:11,12,13	186:4 190:18
55:10 61:15	215:16	205:13 207:12	hundreds 58:21
113:19	hedged 34:8	208:11 209:18	59:4 73:4 122:2
hanley 122:11	hedges 201:7	holding 144:19	125:17 129:22
happen 71:25	hedging 16:15	187:8 190:10,11	130:13,18 217:8
101:14,15 103:23	195:21 201:23	190:13	hung 141:18
187:3 207:1	204:4 211:18		
Veritext Legal Solutions			

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 247 of 274

[hurt - internally]

hurt 24:3	igoins 196:23	industries 193:19	instruction 42:16
hutton 198:17	ike 41:22 90:17	industry 47:17	43:3,8 44:7,17,21
199:11	illegal 10:24 17:15	51:13,21,22,23	137:7
hysteria 193:21	28:23 30:19 31:1	74:16 124:7,16,19	instructions 16:24
194:7	31:3 138:23	125:10 129:20	32:6 34:15 42:25
hysterical 205:17	140:11 216:14	131:10 143:18	47:5 109:18
i	illusion 25:14	156:18 176:4	118:16,19 121:16
ia 148:22 166:25	imagine 129:21	178:15 188:11	126:22 136:17
179:16 180:6	immediate 211:11	infers 152:14	137:1 138:1,2
182:2 185:11	immediately 117:6	information 10:1	139:4
186:1 187:19	117:17 155:20	27:22 75:15 88:6	instrument 183:10
ibm 44:14,17	immoral 140:12	136:9 185:23	186:22
59:13 64:18 66:7	implies 14:5	221:13	instruments
70:9,12,15,17,22	important 30:22	infringement	182:18
71:13 74:2 76:22	148:14	78:12,16,20	intended 14:10
93:20,21 96:17	impossible 129:24	ingenious 217:14	23:9 85:22
102:3,7 106:20	impression 14:8	initially 32:17	intention 14:14,20
119:11 121:6	14:14	initiate 70:3	interco 164:23
135:6,6 136:21	inability 126:22	initiated 70:21	interest 67:22
137:19 140:6	inaccurate 115:20	152:18 161:3	116:10 161:24
146:12 154:4,5,5	include 79:10	191:17	162:2,10,13
201:13	included 27:25	initiation 15:12	168:10 182:3,11
idea 38:9,10 71:19	191:18	inside 143:12,13	183:9 194:16
72:24 75:2,15	includes 109:23	166:7,8	213:12 215:22
77:18 127:9	including 63:13	insinuating 188:8	interested 125:12
137:16 138:4	64:6 141:23	insist 159:23	182:20 226:15
139:8 167:10	175:23 204:13	insisted 11:14	interfaces 58:23
183:8 219:5	income 182:25	inspector 155:4	interfere 4:10
ideally 117:3	incorrect 99:19	instances 102:15	interject 41:7
identification 3:7	incorrectly 30:15	120:8 182:9	188:12
4:2 12:6 21:4	incurring 211:4	institution 2:22	intermarket 52:2
23:15 52:21 61:20	indemnification	institutional 13:16	70:6 72:9
82:1 97:17 113:17	213:21	64:16,22 193:9	internal 198:25
113:23 143:7	indemnify 157:13	213:13	internalization
158:13,18 163:19	indemnity 214:12	institutions 66:10	75:9
identify 5:7	indicate 171:21	185:17	internalize 188:24
idiots 218:17	individual 59:16	instructed 17:25	internalized 181:6
ignore 157:3	66:15 85:24	32:5	internalizing
ignoring 107:14	168:21	instructing 44:11	184:20
igoin 196:23	individuals 185:18	66:6	internally 181:17
igum 190.43			
		ral Solutions	And the second s

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 248 of 274

[international - know]

124:21 129:17,25				
interrupt 84:25 sirvestments 13:13 13:18 13:13 13:13 13:13 13:13 13:13 13:13 13:13 13:13 13:13 13:13 13:13 13:13 13:13 13:13 <th< td=""><td></td><td>212:7,16</td><td>j</td><td>124:21 129:17,25</td></th<>		212:7,16	j	124:21 129:17,25
Strict S	-			130:3 185:17
200:1 213:16 investor 1:4 4:23 investor 1:4 4:23 53:1 28:20 198:14 investor 3:18 28:20 198:14 investor 39:18 57:10,10 59:3 invited 67:4 involve 38:5 involve 16:14 20:22 25:19 28:9 10:110,12 114:17 20:22 25:19 28:9 10:120,11,13 43:18 58:10,13 69:10 72:20 88:6 88:9 89:6,7,13,18 133:25 136:19 69:10 72:20 88:6 88:9 89:6,7,13,18 39:13,121 139:11 120:22 129:23 159:19 210:5,23 jet 83:4,5,6 jewish 196:6 joann 27:23 job 46:20 54:24 119:5 144:15, 19 142:4,5 jobs 141:22 jobs 141:18 jobs 141:22 jobs 141:18 jobs 141:22 jobs 141:18 jobs 141:12 jobs 141:18 jobs 141:12 jobs 141:18 jobs 141:12 jobs 141:18 jobs 141:18 jobs 141:18 jobs 141:19 jobs 141:18 jobs 141:18 jobs 141:19 jobs		13:18	· ·	212:18 216:13
Interrupted 9:3 53:1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	210:1 213:16	investor 1:4 4:23	0 2	keeping 156:17
28:20 98:14 investive 49:23 intrigues 151:8 investive 49:23 invested 10:11 21:11.1 21:11.1 21:11.1 investigation 10:11 investigation 10:11 investigation 10:11 investigation 10:11 investigation 10:11 investing 11:13 investigation 10:11 inv	interrupted 9:3	investors 13:18		212:22
interview 49:23 invested 49:15 intrigues 151:8 inventory 39:18 57:10,10 59:3 invited 67:4 involve 38:5 involved 16:14 20:22 25:19 28:9 210:120:1214:17 29:10,11,13 43:18 58:10,13 69:10 72:20 88:6 88:9 89:6,7,13,18 90:3,25 92:6,18 139:14 145:1 197:8,24 199:3 211:18 199:15 190:10 invest 10:25 14:10 14:11,16 85:25 215:16 investigation 21:11 issue 11:3 41:12 investigated 9:20 investigation 10:11 issue 11:3 4:20 47:3 131:4 13:23 25:20 28:1 33:22,123 25:20 28:1 33:22,123 25:20 28:1 33:22,123 25:20 28:1 33:22,123 25:20 28:1 33:22,123 25:21 38:21 20:121 20:22 19:23 178:13 215:25 216:25 218:21 219:10,13 kevin 143:9 kevin 143:12 10:12 13:13 kin 14:12 linus 14:12 linus 14:12 linus 14:12 li	53:1	28:20 198:14		keeps 140:22
inventory 39:18 57:10,10 59:3 involved 67:4 involve 38:5 involved 16:14 20:22 25:19 28:9 101:10,12 114:17 32:24 34:12,13,22 43:18 58:10,13 133:25 136:19 20:10 72:20 88:6 138:7 139:11,12 90:3,25 92:6,18 197:8,24 199:3 211:18 139:14 145:1 172:17,21,21 189:15 190:10 involves 31:16 involves 31:16 involves 31:16 involves 10:25 14:10 14:11,16 85:25 215:16 invested 10:6,13 14:11 investing 11:13 investing 11:13 investing 11:13 investing 11:13 investment 1:8 4:25 11:7 13:15 13:22 13:21 133:2,1 133:21 13:21 13:22 12:12,24 56:20 28:1 133:21 137:14 13:12 133:21 137:14 13:12 133:21 137:14 14:15 133:21 137:14 13:10 132:5 15:11 186:12 133:8,17,18 139:1 15:12 133:8,17,18 139:1 15:12 133:8,17,18 139:1 15:12 133:8,17,18 139:1 13:12 137:14 14:15 13:25 13:25 13:25 13:24 14:22,1,24 56:20 13:21 13:22 140:5 148:22 140:5 148:22 151:10 166:8 169:23 170:4 171:8 172:2,9 182:21,23 209:19 invited 67:4 ionvolve 38:5 involved 16:14 ion 16:14 jeffry 29:730:11 155:23 12:2 15:10 166:8 169:23 170:4 17:18 172:2,9 182:21,23 209:19 involve 38:5 ionvolve 31:16 jour 46:20 54:24 119:5 142:45, jobs 141:22 jodi 32:23 43:9 138:21 39:5 170:11 john 148:16 johc 67:16 johc 67:16 johc 67:16 johc 73:17 journal 141:18 184:10 judge 6:10 11:25 13:6 21:8 23:24 judgment 65:6 89:19 june 11:25 164:1,4 172:3 justice 141:9 kardman 217:23 keep 16:1775:3,7 75:18,25 76:4,18 87:2 105:14 11:20:20 121:1,9 k kaufman 217:23 kept 36:20 120:21 120:21 120:22 120:3 178:13 21:5 120:22 120:3 178:13 21:5 120:22 13:59:19 210:5,23 178:13 21:5 120:22 13:59:19 210:5,23 178:13 21:5:12 120:22 128:9 kept 36:20 120:21 120:21 120:22 120:23 178:13 21:5:12 120:22 120:23 178:13 21:5:13 156:23 178:13 21:5:13 156:23 178:13 21:5:13 156:23 178:13 21:5:13 156:23 178:13 21:5:13 156:23 178:13 21:5:13 156:23 178:13 21:5:13 156:23 178:13 21:5:13 156:23 178:13 21:5:13 156:23 178:13 21:5:13 156:23 178:13 21:5:13 156:23 179:13 120:13 14:13:15 120:10 13 14:11:15 15:13 15:13 15:13 16:23	interview 49:23	invests 45:15		144:23
120:22 129:23 178:13 215:25 178:13 156:23 178:13 215:25 178:13 156:23 178:13 215:15 178:13 156:23 178:13 156:23 178:13 215:16 178:13 156:23 178:13 156:23 178:13 156:23 178:13 156:2	intrigues 151:8	invited 67:4	0	kept 36:20 120:21
100:12 101:1,3,8,9 101:10,12 114:17 102:22 25:19 28:9 101:10,12 114:17 102:20 88:6 137:12,18 138:6,6 138:7 139:11,12 139:14 145:1 177:17,21,21 189:15 190:10 14:11,16 85:25 215:16 1nvested 10:6,13 14:11 11:15:11 8 138:2 139:12 137:14 155:11 186:12 218:14 155:11 186:12 218:14 150:25 121:18 132:3 25:20 28:1 35:2,11 38:21 4:25 11:7 13:15 13:23 25:20 28:1 35:2,11 38:21 42:21,24 56:20 69:1 98:14 100:17 133:12 137:14 146:9 148:25 156:13 156:23 155:19 210:5,23 15e 83:4,5,6 15e wish 196:6 15e 83:4,5,6 15e 198:12 34:15 119:5 141:15,19 142:4,5 15e 141:22 16e 13 13:27 170:11 170:11 188:13 191:11 171:8 172:2,9 181:21 181:10 132:5 170:11 181:21 18	inventory 39:18	involve 38:5		120:22 129:23
100:12 101:1,3,8,9 101:10,12 114:17 20:20 121:1,3,8,9 101:10,12 114:17 20:20 121:1,9 20:22 25:19 28:9 20:22 25:19 28:9 20:22 25:19 28:9 20:22 25:19 28:9 20:22 25:19 28:9 20:22 25:19 28:9 20:22 25:19 28:9 20:22 25:19 28:6 20:22 25:19 210:5,23 20:23 25:10 25:10 20:325 92:6,18 197:8,24 199:3 172:17,21,21 21:18 197:8,24 199:3 172:17,21,21 21:18 197:8,24 199:3 172:17,21,21 21:18 197:8,24 199:3 172:17,21,21 21:18 199:15 190:10 190:62 31:16 190:46:20 54:24 119:5 141:15,19 142:4,5 190:6 201:7 19	57:10,10 59:3	involved 16:14	•	178:13 215:25
101:10,12 114:17 32:24 34:12,13,22 43:18 58:10,13 69:10 72:20 88:6 137:12,18 138:6,6 138:7 139:11,12 139:14 145:1 172:17,21,21 189:15 190:10 14:11,16 85:25 215:16 invested 10:6,13 14:11 investigated 9:20 investigation 10:11 investigation 10:11 investigation 10:11 investigation 10:11 investigation 13:23 25:20 28:1 35:23,11 38:21 42:21,24 56:20 69:19 8:14 100:17 133:12 137:14 133:23 25:20 28:1 35:23,11 38:21 44:20 47:3 131:4 155:25 184:8 issued 42:16 43:1 43:61 155:7 133:12 137:14 issues 69:16 155:8 issuing 130:22 140:5 148:22 if 169:23 170:4 171:8 172:2,9 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 133:24 34:12,34 120:20 121:1,9 133:15,16,20 32:17 34:19 36:3,16,24 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24	100:12 101:1,3,8,9	20:22 25:19 28:9	0	
129:10,11,13 133:25 136:19 69:10 72:20 88:6 88:9 89:6,7,13,18 99:3,25 92:6,18 197:8,24 199:3 172:17,21,21 139:15 190:10 14:11,16 85:25 215:16 involving 34:6 iou 145:2,23 ira 8:12 9:8,9,15 irvested 10:6,13 14:11 investigated 9:20 investigation 10:11 investigation 10:11 investigation 10:11 isue 11:3 4:25 11:7 13:15 132:32 52:20 28:1 35:2,11 38:21 42:21,24 56:20 69:1 98:14 100:17 133:12 137:14 14:20 47:3 131:4 14:16 155:7 18:21 isue 6 9:16 155:8 isuing 130:22 140:5 148:22 167:6 168:6 it'll 150:25 201:16 216:21 75:14 20:20 121:1,9 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24 34:19 36:3,16,24 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24 34:		32:24 34:12,13,22		219:10.13
133:25 136:19 137:12,18 138:6,6 88:9 89:6,7,13,18 90:3,25 92:6,18 197:8,24 199:3 211:18 197:8,24 199:3 211:18 199:5 190:10 14:11,16 85:25 215:16 ira 8:12 9:8,9,15 ira 8:13 4:12 joint 67:16 joke 73:17 journal 141:18 184:10 judge 6:10 11:25 13:23 25:20 28:1 135:2,11 38:21 42:21,24 56:20 69:1 98:14 100:17 133:12 137:14 issue 69:16 155:8 issuing 130:22 140:5 148:22 ira 11:25 164:1,4 17:24 156:14 117:24 156:14	,			1 1
137:12,18 138:6,6 138:7 139:11,12 139:14 145:1 197:8,24 199:3 211:18 197:8,24 199:3 211:18 197:8,24 199:3 211:18 198:15 190:10 involves 31:16 involving 34:6 iou 145:2,23 ira 8:12 9:8,9,15 irs 198:25 201:9 irving 5:15 132:7 155:11 186:12 218:14 131:10 132:5 132:2 25:20 28:1 35:2,11 38:21 42:21,24 56:20 69:1 98:14 100:17 133:12 137:14 133:12 137:14 133:12 137:14 146:9 148:25 157:1316 157:16 166:68 167:6 168:6 179:23 170:4 171:8 172:2,9 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 188:6,7,13,18 190:6 201:7 kinde 77:22 kind 93:11 95:14 117:24 156:14 119:6 201:7 kinds 73:22 188:13 191:11 217:7 knew 24:11,11,12 24:17 25:9,21 28:23 39:11,13 217:7 knew 24:11,11,12 24:17 25:9,21 28:23 39:11,13 217:7 knew 24:11,11,12 24:17 25:9,21 28:23 39:11,13 84:18 87:3,12 39:10 32:23 43:9 138:2 139:5 132	* *	,	•	
138:7 139:11,12 90:3,25 92:6,18 197:8,24 199:3 211:18 197:8,24 199:3 211:18 198:15 190:10 14:11,16 85:25 215:16 ira 8:12 9:8,9,15 ira 8:12 9:8,9,15 ira 8:12 9:8,9,15 ira 8:12 9:8,9,15 ira 98:25 201:9 138:2 139:5 170:11 john 148:16 joke 73:17 journal 141:18 184:10 judgment 65:6 39:19 33:2 139:5 13:23 25:20 28:1 35:2,11 38:21 42:21,24 56:20 43:16 155:7 181:21 133:12 137:14 146:9 148:25 155:10 166:8 167:6 168:6 169:23 170:4 171:8 172:2,9 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 183:25 136 20:21:1,9 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24 190:6 201:7 kind 93:11 95:14 117:24 156:14 117:24 1			•	
139:14 145:1	, ,		9	
172:17,21,21	,	, ,		
189:15 190:10 involves 31:16 involving 34:6 involving 34:6 involving 34:6 iou 145:2,23 ira 8:12 9:8,9,15 irs 198:25 201:9 irving 5:15 132:7 investigated 9:20 investigation 10:11 investment 1:8 4:25 11:7 13:15 13:23 25:20 28:1 35:2,11 38:21 42:21,24 56:20 69:1 98:14 100:17 133:12 137:14 issue 69:16 155:8 140:5 148:22 167:6 168:6 169:23 170:4 171:8 172:2,9 182:21,23 209:19 182:21,23 209:19 involving 34:6 involving 34:6 involving 34:6 involving 34:6 involving 34:6 involving 34:6 iou 145:2,23 jodi 32:23 43:9 138:2 139:5 170:11 217:7 knew 24:11,11,12 24:17 25:9,21 28:23 39:11,13 84:18 87:3,12 89:11,13 90:7 107:20 144:8 160:3 175:22 184:23 207:24 136:21:8 23:24 judgment 65:6 89:19 june 11:25 164:1,4 172:3 justice 141:9 16:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 16:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 25:22,22 26:3,7 75:18,25 76:4,18 87:2 105:14 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24		_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
invest 10:25 14:10 involving 34:6 jodi 32:23 43:9 188:13 191:11 188:13 191:11 217:7 215:16 ira 8:12 9:8,9,15 irs 198:25 201:9 138:2 139:5 knew 24:11,11,12 invested 10:6,13 irs 198:25 201:9 john 148:16 24:17 25:9,21 24:17 25:9,21 24:17 25:9,21 24:17 25:9,21 28:23 39:11,13 84:18 87:3,12 89:19 89:11,13 90:7 107:20 144:8 107:20 144:8 160:3 175:22 184:23 207:24 142:21,24 56:20 133:8,17,18 139:1 136:6 21:8 23:24 142:21,24 56:20 43:16 155:7 181:21 172:3 172:3 172:3 179:20 144:8 200:13 179:20 144:8 184:23 207:24 214:21,21 218:6,8 220:13 184:23 207:24 214:21,21 218:6,8 220:13 179:25 18:2,12 184:23 207:24 214:21,21 218:6,8 220:13 179:25 18:7,12 179:25 18:7,12 179:25 18:7,12 179:25 18:7,12 179:25 18:7,12 179:25 18:7,12 179:25 18:7,12 179:25 18:7,12 179:25 18:7,12 179:25 18:7,12 179:25 18:7,12 179:25 18:7,12 179:			· ·	
14:11,16 85:25			· ·	
138:12 139:5 170:11 180:12 170:11 180:12 180:13 180:			0	
invested 10:6,13 14:11 investigated 9:20 investigation 10:11 investing 11:13 investment 1:8 4:25 11:7 13:15 13:23 25:20 28:1 35:2,11 38:21 42:21,24 56:20 69:1 98:14 100:17 133:12 137:14 146:9 148:25 155:11 150:25 201:16 169:23 170:4 171:8 172:2,9 182:21,23 209:19 irs 198:25 201:9 irving 5:15 132:7 155:11 186:12 218:14 john 148:16 joint 67:16 joke 73:17 journal 141:18 184:10 judge 6:10 11:25 13:6 21:8 23:24 judgment 65:6 89:19 june 11:25 164:1,4 172:3 justice 141:9 k kaufman 217:23 keep 16:17 75:3,7 75:18,25 76:4,18 87:2 105:14 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24	-	,		
14:11 irving 5:15 132:7 joint 148:16 28:23 39:11,13 investigated 9:20 155:11 186:12 218:14 joint 67:16 69:16 15:3 84:18 87:3,12 89:11,13 90:7 107:20 144:8 89:11,13 90:7 107:20 144:8 89:11,13 90:7 107:20 144:8 107:20 144:8 107:20 144:8 160:3 175:22 133:8,17,18 139:1 133:8,17,18 139:1 133:8,17,18 139:1 133:8,17,18 139:1 155:25 184:8 155:25 184:8 155:25 184:8 155:25 184:8 155:25 184:8 11:25 164:1,4 172:3 100:21 107:20 144:8 107:20 144:8 107:20 144:8 107:20 144:8 107:20 144:8 107:20 144:8 160:3 175:22 184:23 207:24 11:25 164:1,4 172:3 11:25 164:1,4 172:3 172:3 100:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 16:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 16:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
investigated 9:20 155:11 186:12 218:14 joint 67:16 joke 73:17 journal 141:18 184:10 17:20 144:8 84:18 87:3,12 89:11,13 90:7 107:20 144:8 investing 11:13 investment 1:8 4:20 47:3 131:4 131:10 132:5 133:8,17,18 139:1 13:23 25:20 28:1 135:25 184:8 issued 13:23 25:20 28:1 155:25 184:8 issued 13:23 25:20 28:1 155:25 184:8 issued 13:23 25:20 28:1 155:25 184:8 issued 42:16 43:1 43:1 43:12 judgment 65:6 89:19 jume 11:25 164:1,4 172:3 justice know 6:16 7:7,9 7:14,21,24 8:1,2,4 8:4 11:2,3,8 14:9 16:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:22 26:3,7 7:14,21,23 209:19 169:23 170:4 171:8 172:2,9 182:21,23 209:19 17:18 172:2,9 182:21,23 209:19 18:21 12:19 13:15,16,20 32:17 34:19 36:3,16,24			9	,
investigation 218:14 journal 141:18 89:11,13 90:7 investing 11:13 44:20 47:3 131:4 131:10 132:5 132:10 132:5 133:8,17,18 139:1 132:20 28:1 135:25 184:8 133:8,17,18 139:1 135:25 184:8 136:25 184:23 207:24 140:20:13 140:25 164:1,4 172:3 172:3 181:21 172:3 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:23 181:23 181:23 181:24 182:21 181:23 181:24 182:21 181:23 181:24 182:21 182:22 182:23 183:24 182:23 18			0	
investing 11:13 issue 11:3 41:12 184:10 107:20 144:8 investment 1:8 44:20 47:3 131:4 131:10 132:5 133:8,17,18 139:1 13:23 25:20 28:1 135:25 184:8 135:25 184:8 135:25 184:8 135:25 184:8 135:25 184:8 135:25 184:8 135:25 184:8 135:25 184:8 135:25 184:8 135:25 184:8 135:25 184:8 136:21:8 23:24 146:21:25 164:1,4 172:3 172:3 181:21 172:3 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:21 181:21 182:3 184:10 11:25 164:1,4 172:3 16:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 16:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 16:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 19:25 22:19 24:6 17:18,25 76:4,18 18:21 19:25 22:19 24:6 18:21 19:25 22:19 24:6 18:25 105:14 18:25 105:14 18:25 105:14 18:25 105:14 18:25 105:14 18:25 105:14 18:25 105:14 18:25 105:14 18:25 105:14 18:25 105:14 18:25 105:14 18:25 105:14 18:25 105:14 18:25 105:14 18:25 105:14			joke 73:17	· ·
investing 11:13 44:20 47:3 131:4 judge 6:10 11:25 184:23 207:24 4:25 11:7 13:15 133:8,17,18 139:1 155:25 184:8 judgment 65:6 89:19 35:2,11 38:21 issued 42:16 43:1 43:16 155:7 june 11:25 164:1,4 know 6:16 7:7,9 7:14,21,24 8:1,2,4 69:1 98:14 100:17 181:21 issues 69:16 155:8 justice 141:9 146:9 148:25 issuing 130:22 140:5 148:22 kaufman 217:23 8:4 11:2,3,8 14:9 160:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 169:23 170:4 216:21 75:18,25 76:4,18 30:1,23 31:6,9,11 31:15,16,20 32:17 171:8 172:2,9 182:21,23 209:19 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24	-		journal 141:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
investment 1:8 131:10 132:5 13:6 21:8 23:24 14:21,21 218:6,8 20:13			184:10	
4:25 11:7 13:15 133:8,17,18 139:1 135:6 21:8 23:24 214:21,21 218:6,8 13:23 25:20 28:1 155:25 184:8 35:2,11 38:21 220:13 42:21,24 56:20 43:16 155:7 43:16 155:7 181:21 35:2 184:8 35:20:13 69:1 98:14 100:17 133:8,17,18 139:1 43:16 155:7 43:16 155:7 43:16 155:7 181:21 35:2 164:1,4 47:2:3 35:2 184:8 35:2 184:8 35:2 184:8 35:2 184:8 35:2 184:8 35:2 184:8 35:2 184:8 35:2 184:8 35:2 184:8 35:2 184:8 35:2 184:8 35:2 184:8 35:2 184:8 36:1,24 36:1,24 36:1,23 31:6,24 36:1,23 31:6,24 36:3,16,24 <td></td> <td></td> <td>judge 6:10 11:25</td> <td></td>			judge 6:10 11:25	
13:23 25:20 28:1 155:25 184:8 220:13 35:2,11 38:21 42:16 43:1 43:16 155:7 42:21,24 56:20 43:16 155:7 181:21 69:1 98:14 100:17 181:21 issues 69:16 155:8 146:9 148:25 issuing 130:22 151:10 166:8 140:5 148:22 kaufman 217:23 169:23 170:4 216:21 kaufman 217:23 171:8 172:2,9 87:2 105:14 30:1,23 31:6,9,11 182:21,23 209:19 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24			13:6 21:8 23:24	
15:25 25:20 28:1 35:25 184:8 35:2,11 38:21 42:21,24 56:20 43:16 155:7 181:21 33:12 137:14 146:9 148:25 151:10 166:8 167:6 168:6 169:23 170:4 171:8 172:2,9 182:21,23 209:19 155:25 184:8 89:19 june 11:25 164:1,4 172:3 justice 141:9 7:14,21,24 8:1,2,4 8:4 11:2,3,8 14:9 16:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 25:22,22 26:3,7 75:18,25 76:4,18 87:2 105:14 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24		, ,	judgment 65:6	
42:21,24 56:20 43:16 155:7 172:3 7:14,21,24 8:1,2,4 69:1 98:14 100:17 181:21 issues 69:16 155:8 8:4 11:2,3,8 14:9 133:12 137:14 issues 69:16 155:8 130:22 140:5 148:22 140:5 148:22 140:5 148:22 16:24,25 17:5,6,6 167:6 168:6 it'll 150:25 201:16 15:17 75:3,7 25:22,22 26:3,7 25:22,22 26:3,7 171:8 172:2,9 87:2 105:14 30:1,23 31:6,9,11 182:21,23 209:19 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24				
42:21,24 56:20 43:16 155:7 69:1 98:14 100:17 181:21 133:12 137:14 issues 69:16 155:8 146:9 148:25 issuing 130:22 151:10 166:8 140:5 148:22 167:6 168:6 it'll 150:25 201:16 169:23 170:4 216:21 171:8 172:2,9 8:4 11:2,3,8 14:9 16:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 25:22,22 26:3,7 75:18,25 76:4,18 87:2 105:14 120:20 121:1,9			june 11:25 164:1,4	
133:12 137:14 146:9 148:25 issuing 130:22 151:10 166:8 it'll 150:25 201:16 169:23 170:4 216:21 171:8 172:2,9 87:2 105:14 182:21,23 209:19 16:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 10:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 10:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 10:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 20:1,23 31:6,9,11 10:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 20:1,23 31:6,9,11 10:2,20 121:1,9 10:24,25 17:5,6,6 17:9,25 18:7,12 19:25 22:19 24:6 20:20 121:1,9				
133:12 137:14 issues 69:16 155:8 k 16:24,25 17:5,6,6 146:9 148:25 issuing 130:22 k 17:9,25 18:7,12 151:10 166:8 it'll 150:25 201:16 kaufman 217:23 25:22,22 26:3,7 169:23 170:4 216:21 75:18,25 76:4,18 30:1,23 31:6,9,11 171:8 172:2,9 87:2 105:14 31:15,16,20 32:17 182:21,23 209:19 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24			justice 141:9	
146:9 148:25 Issuing 130:22 151:10 166:8 140:5 148:22 kaufman 217:23 19:25 22:19 24:6 167:6 168:6 it'll 150:25 201:16 keep 16:17 75:3,7 25:22,22 26:3,7 171:8 172:2,9 87:2 105:14 30:1,23 31:6,9,11 182:21,23 209:19 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24			The state of the s	, , , ,
167:6 168:6 it'll 150:25 201:16 keep 16:17 75:3,7 25:22,22 26:3,7 169:23 170:4 216:21 87:2 105:14 30:1,23 31:6,9,11 171:8 172:2,9 87:2 105:14 31:15,16,20 32:17 182:21,23 209:19 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24				
169:23 170:4 216:21 75:18,25 76:4,18 30:1,23 31:6,9,11 171:8 172:2,9 87:2 105:14 31:15,16,20 32:17 182:21,23 209:19 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24				
171:8 172:2,9 182:21,23 209:19 87:2 105:14 120:20 121:1,9 31:15,16,20 32:17 34:19 36:3,16,24			•	
182:21,23 209:19 120:20 121:1,9 34:19 36:3,16,24		216:21		30:1,23 31:6,9,11
31.17 30.3,10,21				31:15,16,20 32:17
	182:21,23 209:19		120:20 121:1,9	34:19 36:3,16,24
			1.0.1	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit | Pg 249 of 274

[know - late] Page 22

37:2,19 39:9,11,13	121:13 122:9,18	181:7,8,10,11,22	185:17 196:4,23
39:14,15,16,20,21	122:21,22,23	182:9,10,16,22,24	212:11 218:12
40:9,15,18,19,24	123:8,16,24 124:4	183:5,5 184:12,17	219:25
40:25 42:4,8,18,25	124:6 125:1,13,13	187:5 189:10,19	knows 38:9 96:22
43:18,19,25 44:5,8	125:17,20 126:23	190:5,6 193:17	132:5 137:5
44:14,15,15,24,25	128:14,15,20,22	195:6,14 196:7,24	kugel 22:5,7 28:17
45:10,12,15,18	129:4,5,6,24 130:1	197:1,21 198:10	33:22 34:1,10,24
46:6,10,14 47:1,4	131:11,25 132:2,9	198:21 199:2,7	35:18 36:7,15
47:11,13,24 48:18	132:10 133:10,17	200:2,9,20,25	37:6 38:23 41:9
48:23 53:4,14	134:20,21,23,25	201:6,14 202:4,8	42:19 43:4,16
54:9,15,18 55:13	135:5,9,23 136:3	202:14,15,20,21	47:4 48:24 119:4
55:18,19 56:2,21	136:17,20,21,24	203:2,3,22 204:2,8	136:3,4,7,12,20
57:1 58:2,21 59:3	136:24 137:1,2,2,3	204:22 205:7,10	137:16 139:3
60:14 65:11,18,24	137:6,9,22,22	205:15,16,20,22	kugel's 27:5,6,11
66:11,24 67:3,3,8	138:5,7,20 139:8	205:23 206:1,2,5	27:16 34:5 44:2
68:13,16 69:2,2	139:10,10,23	206:14 207:1,3,4,4	44:19 136:9
70:4,21 71:3,7,16	140:3,3,15,17,20	207:14,17,23,25	l
72:7,12 73:2,3,5	140:20,21 141:6,8	208:15,20,22	1 1:8,12,19 2:20
74:13,21,22 75:19	141:9,17,19,24,25	209:1,4,10,22,24	3:2 4:24 5:4 6:3
76:10 77:4,14,16	142:3,11 143:11	210:10,11,17,18	91:12,12,17 95:24
78:3 82:2 84:17	145:12,18,20,20	210:23,24 211:2,5	98:2 163:22
84:19 86:1,8,14,23	145:24 146:1	211:8,10,23	221:22 223:3,11
87:1,2 88:5,10,15	147:1,3,4,4 148:8	212:20,24 213:4,9	224:3 226:9
88:21 89:1,3,6,6,8	150:10,21 151:17	213:14 214:10,12	l'oreal 197:4
89:9,9,11,11,20,22	152:24,25 153:6	214:15,16,17,18	l.l.c. 4:25
89:23,24 90:6,19	153:11,14,22,24	214:23 215:1,4,5,6	l.l.p. 2:4,9 5:10
90:19 91:3 92:12	154:2,6,7,9,20	215:7,9,10,11,14	laborde 122:10
93:8,11,15 94:6,6	157:3,8 158:6,23	215:18,20 216:1,2	lack 108:7 109:2
94:19,19 95:11,15	160:3,7,11,11,16	216:3,4,17,18,21	lag 83:4,5,6
96:3 97:5 99:8	160:17 162:4,20	1 1	langevoort 144:6
103:12 104:21	162:20 166:20		language 152:14
105:1,4,18,18,19	167:21 168:6,10		158:21 159:7
106:10,16 107:10	168:13,15,17,17		large 25:24 39:10
107:21,22,23	168:19,21,22,22	knowing 84:11	40:19,23 51:14
108:5,7,12,16,18			64:17 110:10
			123:11 195:6
111:9,21 112:2			larger 135:12
116:18 117:11,24	172:25 173:25		largest 58:17,18
118:5,7,8,11,12,22	174:3 175:25		88:17
119:14,16,19			late 24:21 25:17
120:7,16 121:11	178:24 179:20,21	175:18 183:23	26:19 31:7 50:21
	39:14,15,16,20,21 40:9,15,18,19,24 40:25 42:4,8,18,25 43:18,19,25 44:5,8 44:14,15,15,24,25 45:10,12,15,18 46:6,10,14 47:1,4 47:11,13,24 48:18 48:23 53:4,14 54:9,15,18 55:13 55:18,19 56:2,21 57:1 58:2,21 59:3 60:14 65:11,18,24 66:11,24 67:3,3,8 68:13,16 69:2,2 70:4,21 71:3,7,16 72:7,12 73:2,3,5 74:13,21,22 75:19 76:10 77:4,14,16 78:3 82:2 84:17 84:19 86:1,8,14,23 87:1,2 88:5,10,15 88:21 89:1,3,6,6,8 89:9,9,11,11,20,22 89:23,24 90:6,19 90:19 91:3 92:12 93:8,11,15 94:6,6 94:19,19 95:11,15 96:3 97:5 99:8 103:12 104:21 105:1,4,18,18,19 106:10,16 107:10 107:21,22,23 108:5,7,12,16,18 108:23 110:3,25 111:9,21 112:2 116:18 117:11,24 118:5,7,8,11,12,22	39:14,15,16,20,21 40:9,15,18,19,24 40:25 42:4,8,18,25 43:18,19,25 44:5,8 44:14,15,15,24,25 45:10,12,15,18 46:6,10,14 47:1,4 47:11,13,24 48:18 48:23 53:4,14 54:9,15,18 55:13 55:18,19 56:2,21 57:1 58:2,21 59:3 60:14 65:11,18,24 66:11,24 67:3,3,8 68:13,16 69:2,2 70:4,21 71:3,7,16 72:7,12 73:2,3,5 74:13,21,22 75:19 76:10 77:4,14,16 78:3 82:2 84:17 84:19 86:1,8,14,23 87:1,2 88:5,10,15 88:21 89:1,3,6,6,8 89:9,9,11,11,20,22 89:23,24 90:6,19 90:19 91:3 92:12 93:8,11,15 94:6,6 94:19,19 95:11,15 96:3 97:5 99:8 103:12 104:21 105:1,4,18,18,19 106:10,16 107:10 107:21,22,23 108:5,7,12,16,18 108:23 110:3,25 111:9,21 112:2 116:18 117:11,24 118:5,7,8,11,12,22 119:14,16,19 122:21,22,23 128:16,24 124:4 124:6 125:1,13,13 125:17,20 126:23 128:14,15,20,22 129:4,5,6,24 130:1 131:11,25 132:2,9 136:17,20,21,24 136:17,20,21,24 136:17,20,21,24 136:24 137:1,2,2,3 136:37,20,21,24 136:17,20,21,24 136:24 137:1,2,2,3 136:17,20,21,24 136:24 137:1,2,2,3 136:17,20,21,24 136:24 137:1,2,2,3 136:17,20,21,24 136:24 137:1,2,2,3 136:17,20,21,24 136:17,20,21 136:17,20,21 136:17,20,21 136:17,20,21 136:17,20,21 136:17,20,21 136:17,20,21 136:17,20,21 136:17,20,21 136:17,20,21 136:17,20,21 13	39:14,15,16,20,21 40:9,15,18,19,24 40:25 42:48,18,25 43:18,19,25 44:5,8 44:14,15,15,24,25 45:10,12,15,18 46:6,10,14 47:1,4 47:11,13,24 48:18 48:23 53:4,14 54:9,15,18 55:13 55:18,19 56:2,21 57:1 58:2,21 59:3 60:14 65:11,18,24 66:11,24 67:3,3,8 68:13,16 69:2,2 70:4,21 71:3,7,16 72:7,12 73:2,3,5 74:13,21,22 75:19 76:10 77:4,14,16 78:3 82:2 84:17 88:21 89:1,3,6,6,8 88:21 89:1,3,6,6,8 89:9,9,11,11,20,22 89:23,24 90:6,19 90:19 91:3 92:12 93:8,11,15 94:6,6 94:19,19 95:11,15 96:3 97:5 99:8 108:23 100:3,25 108:23 100:3,25 118:27,22,21 108:10,10,16,22,24 1183:5,5 184:12,17 187:5 189:10,19 190:5,6 193:17 189:56,14 196:7,24 197:1,21 198:10 199:56,14 196:7,24 197:1,21 198:10 199:2,1 199:2,7 197:1,21 198:10 199:2,1 199:2,7 200:2,9,20,25 201:6,14 202:4,8 202:14,15,20,21 203:2,3,22 204:2,8 202:14,15,20,21 203:2,3,22 204:2,8 205:23 206:1,2,5 206:14 207:1,3,4,4 142:3,11 143:11 145:12,18,20,20 145:24 146:1 145:12,18,20,20 145:24 146:1 145:12,18,20,20 145:24 146:1 145:12,18,20,20 145:24 146:1 145:12,18,20,20 145:24 146:1 145:12,18,20,20 145:24 146:1 157:3,8 158:6,23 108:23 110:3,25 120:3,22,24 120:3,22,24 120:3,22,24 120:2,2,24 12

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 250 of 274

[late - looking]

			1 age 23
60:15 220:8	152:2 154:2,8,10	lists 79:5,8	206:24 207:16,22
laundry 142:4	155:23 160:1,17	literally 68:21	216:9 220:6
law 2:14 55:4 56:1	209:19	77:21 88:16	longer 33:11 87:17
58:8 144:5 145:6	liaison 52:10	204:14,23 205:22	87:20 120:25
151:18 167:19	library 103:25	214:24	122:20 130:1
law's 55:3	lie 50:6	litt 139:23	162:16 192:17
laws 28:10,11 31:5	life 105:12 215:11	little 24:5 32:24	look 18:23 19:19
203:20	light 26:18	47:25 93:5 109:8	19:22,22,24 21:7
lawyers 108:13	likes 74:9	112:6 191:20	24:23 27:14 66:20
131:21,22	limit 6:14 17:22	192:16	71:4 75:2 79:25
lazard 3:13 61:8	99:24	live 84:11 168:17	82:12 84:9 85:8
61:25 62:4 81:4	limited 158:16	214:16	85:10 88:9 89:1
learn 10:23	line 13:9 23:24	living 12:25	90:18 95:19
leases 170:24	24:8,25 27:15	llc 1:8	104:22 109:11
leasing 199:13,14	224:5,11,14,17,20	load 66:22	111:5 119:10,18
leave 206:5	224:23 225:1,4,7	loaded 66:14	134:13,21,24
lecture 141:8,11	225:10,13,16,19	loan 168:11	135:18 139:25
led 20:6	225:22	located 4:18	157:5 165:6,14
legal 4:14 5:20	link 72:1	lock 118:25	167:24 168:23
195:12 201:15	linking 70:7	locked 118:9	169:1 170:24
202:20 203:18	links 52:3	lockup 85:15,21	172:21 173:6
206:14	liquidate 16:18	86:13	177:11 180:12
legalized 145:3	86:18 206:1,2	london 52:11,11	190:16 199:24
legitimate 54:20	liquidating 17:1	53:4 55:20 60:1,4	205:6 208:18,22
56:14,16 90:10	205:11,24	60:7,9,10 83:2	209:4 215:18
140:21 203:10	liquidity 202:4	114:21	218:9 220:2
lehman 86:8,9	203:14	long 9:9 31:13,13	looked 32:19
letter 3:19,20	lisa 1:24 2:24 5:20	31:17,17 34:9,19	41:18 43:23 66:2
letting 66:11	226:6,22	34:22 36:17 46:8	106:17,20,21
level 60:12	list 79:14 196:8	50:1 57:21 59:4	107:25 114:20
levy 29:7 30:7	223:7 224:5	125:16 131:3,4	122:24 128:21
33:8,10 196:12	listed 50:15,15	138:15 143:22	172:2 174:16
204:17 209:8	53:2,4 58:13,19	145:21 149:8	178:4 204:2
210:3	63:24 70:3 79:25	150:10 152:24	217:21
levys 59:17 197:22	80:9 106:2,9,13	154:8,11 164:9,12	looking 53:17
lewis 196:13	listen 141:6	165:1 179:22	76:22 102:6
liabilities 55:12	153:10 160:4	197:24 198:13,24	107:10 115:2
150:20 151:23	204:20 206:20	199:8 200:1,10,12	122:4,17 127:9
163:2	listened 74:14	200:22,22 201:25	129:16 140:25
liability 139:20	listing 57:18 134:4	202:3 204:2,3,3,7	155:15 174:1
145:24 150:16		205:3,25 206:4,15	175:13 176:8,15
The state of the s	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	I	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 251 of 274

[looking - market]

180:24 203:16	218:2	magic 46:10	215:23 224:7
219:10	lynch's 153:14	mail 61:17 123:5,6	man 55:19 108:10
looks 44:1,1 103:7	m	123:23 125:7	208:7
103:12 106:16,16	m 104:15	mailed 131:9	managed 38:17
107:8,11 111:5	madoff 1:8,12,19	mailroom 170:14	155:11
137:11 164:6	2:21 3:2,7 4:1,24	main 121:21	manhattan 122:6
180:22	5:4,13 6:3,8,9,25	maintain 42:7	manual 65:3
loophole 194:24	12:5,8 16:5 21:3,6	57:10 59:3,3	manually 65:3
lose 159:3 205:2	23:14 24:3,11	maintains 185:22	march 12:19,25
losing 22:17 207:7	25:3 35:9 49:12	major 68:9 69:8	13:6 180:7,21
207:8,22 210:22	52:20,25 54:18	72:16 124:10,20	203:24
214:14	,	154:25 155:25	margin 86:15,17
loss 32:10,13	61:15,19,25 73:9 73:18 74:14 75:7	majority 83:25	154:1 156:15
38:25 47:1 84:2	77:8 81:25 83:8	92:6 115:3 122:23	157:9,18
losses 17:2 31:10	88:12,17 89:1	maker 36:7 42:7	marine 122:8
31:18,22 32:1,2,8	91:12,17,19 93:14	46:6,18,23 57:9	186:13
32:10 37:25	94:3,16 95:9,23,24	58:11 59:7 63:13	mark 12:3 21:1
157:15 204:5	95:25,25 96:2,4,13	63:19 65:5 144:22	23:8,9 48:1,8
207:13 208:13	96:21,22 97:16	145:1 146:20	60:18 61:12 64:15
210:15 211:3	98:2 100:11,11,21	147:7,21 173:1	66:17 81:18 94:12
213:24	103:15 106:1	188:23 189:2,11	97:13 112:17
lost 90:3	107:8 111:18	216:6,9 217:17	113:3,11,18
lot 13:3 40:20 44:5	113:16,19,21,22	makers 43:5 58:17	142:13,17,19,21
49:16 84:3 92:25	113.10,19,21,22	58:18 66:16 93:9	148:12 157:21,21
135:10 168:15	118:7 121:16	130:17 138:14	158:6,14 165:8
184:1 199:21	141:10,10,13	144:17,18 145:11	marked 4:1 6:9
211:24 214:25	143:6,17,20,24	146:22 172:15,16	12:5 21:3 23:14
217:11	144:6,16 145:4	making 18:6 36:6	27:13 52:20 61:19
low 79:20 106:4	156:21 158:12,17	37:21 38:4,5,16	81:25 97:16
lower 182:13	160:2,6 163:18,22	45:7,21 47:9 56:5	112:10,11 113:16
184:16 205:2	163:24 164:1,17	56:6,16,19 57:6,8	113:22 143:6
lybrand 33:20	165:19 193:7	57:11 58:13,25	158:12,17 163:18
208:8	209:19 215:8	59:10 60:6 62:11	163:25 165:6
lying 136:13	218:20,22 219:9	63:12 64:5 93:2	market 3:16 13:19
lynch 45:10,12	219:14,15 220:25	99:13 100:6 122:1	14:12 15:1 16:12
58:22 59:13 67:16	221:7,23 223:3,11	131:13 137:24	16:15,18 19:15,18
68:18 75:5 77:9	224:2,3 226:9	165:24 166:4,6	19:24 20:5,15,21
79:11 80:23 93:19	madoff's 25:4	171:9 172:8 175:6	26:6 31:20 36:6,7
125:14 131:5	99:19 100:12	188:15 189:8,9	36:8 37:21 38:4,5
153:10,20 198:18	144:15 175:23	199:19,21 202:21	38:16 40:9 42:7
199:11 213:6	177.10 110.20	204:10 206:25	43:5 45:7,21 46:6
	No.:4A I		

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit | Pg 252 of 274

[market - minute]

			1 450 23
46:18,21,23 47:9	76:15 77:25 93:7	108:18 139:6	mention 132:3,4
50:17 51:9 56:5,6	93:12 98:6,9	146:2 147:22	mentioned 28:19
56:16,19 57:6,8,9	101:12 103:9	153:1 156:24	59:25 127:24
57:11,12,15,22	111:20 119:6,9,20	170:6 172:15	mentions 136:2,3
58:11,13,17,18,25	120:23 121:7	175:18,23,24	merged 122:19
59:7,10 60:6	140:22 141:2	176:2 180:2	125:5
62:11 63:12,13,19	145:10 148:8	186:17 187:23,24	merrill 45:10,12
64:5 65:5 66:16	marketplaces 72:1	188:2 191:3	58:22 59:13 67:16
67:5 69:25,25	74:12	206:12,13 207:24	68:18 75:5 77:8
86:7 93:2,9,9	markets 13:14	221:9	79:11 80:23 93:19
102:8 105:3,6,7,8	20:16 45:11 51:25	meaning 46:21	125:14 131:5
105:10,13 106:7	76:11 93:3 95:13	93:23 94:24,25	153:10,14,20
106:12,18,25	104:2,6,18 107:24	95:24 106:1 124:2	198:18 199:11
107:2,15,17 108:4	143:15 144:8,23	127:21 139:5	213:6 218:2
109:3 110:13,19	217:25	147:12 186:11,21	messenger 123:4
114:24 115:4,6,11	marking 143:4	201:13 202:16	met 7:21 8:7 44:25
122:1 130:17	157:25 158:11	means 80:20 94:3	65:23
137:24 138:14	markopolos	96:4,18 114:12,13	method 199:13
140:17,21 144:17	218:17	114:13 148:13	michigan 60:19
144:18,22 145:1,4	markup 109:24	164:12 181:4	microphone 81:20
145:11 146:20,22	match 107:13	182:11	microphones 4:6
146:25 147:7,7,21	135:20	meant 31:12	4:10
147:25 165:24	matter 32:9 54:4	media 91:11,16	mid 60:20
166:4,6 171:9	55:6 58:5 71:18	163:21 221:21	midland 122:8
172:8,15,16 173:1	92:14 98:25	medication 79:1	186:13
174:11 176:12,24	109:15 119:4	medium 2:22	mike 22:9,10
177:15 178:2	120:19 141:23	meet 55:9 86:15	million 63:22 64:1
188:15,23 189:2,9	160:16 168:3	86:16 218:5	64:2 79:21 80:14
189:10,11 195:16	187:2 208:6 209:8	meeting 43:20	86:24 125:22
197:9 198:23	210:11 218:4	54:2 82:19,25	161:12 180:8
200:21,24,25	224:6	meetings 83:4	millions 59:4
201:1,18 203:23	maximum 32:12	140:6	217:8
204:14,20,25,25	mcmillan 180:5	member 51:20,24	millisecond 74:19
205:7 206:21	meadowbrook	52:1 60:10	mind 35:15 115:25
207:3,6,15,20	122:8	members 10:5	152:7 216:13
212:1 215:14	mean 20:10,11	60:9	mine 199:16 209:1
216:6,7,9 217:17	22:21 37:17 46:11	memorized 80:7	218:3
marketplace	47:18,20 49:5	mental 7:13 8:1	minted 196:12
50:14 55:24 65:13	53:22 64:11 72:22	87:16	minute 108:1
65:15 69:18 70:4	74:21 80:19 85:8	mentally 87:15	130:10
71:7 74:17 75:24	88:7 89:16 105:15		
i			

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 253 of 274

[minutes - new]

minutes 65:12	206:25 207:7,8,16	multiple 29:15	206:16
66:23 74:18	207:18,21,22	municipal 56:1	negative 156:11
163:11,12	210:22,25 212:11	n	156:13,18
misery 193:7	212:14,18,22,22	n 2:1 3:1,1,6 6:1	negotiable 186:21
misinterpreted	212:24 213:3	196:24	negotiated 58:6
136:14	214:13,14,25	naked 143:16,19	68:15
misinterpreting	215:12,17,19,23	143:19 144:17	neither 226:12
136:15	219:4,6,6		nerve 55:10
misled 16:9	moneys 11:15	145:3	net 36:11 125:21
misrepresentation	monies 184:9	name 4:13 5:4	125:23 131:1
18:6,11	217:5	104:16 142:9	132:12,20 133:4
misrepresented	monitor 37:5,10	183:24,25 184:5,8	137:17 154:14
16:7	37:13 40:13	186:20,23 187:7	nets 125:19
missile 55:23	monitored 36:17	187:10,11	never 13:20 15:10
misstated 17:12	37:2	names 184:7 196:21	24:12 34:25 45:19
mistake 109:4,5,7	month 37:1 39:23	nasd 50:11 51:8,9	68:11 77:19 78:6
111:13 114:9	99:8 175:11	/	112:1 115:4,12
121:10 134:6	212:14 216:22	51:16,17,18 52:5	116:1 118:9,10
206:11	217:1	54:4,5,6,12 57:9	120:5 123:7
mistakes 83:18,23	months 54:13,15	72:14 143:25	128:17 135:19
134:4 136:1	85:17	178:23	137:21 140:12
mitterrand 193:15	monumental	nasdaq 47:19	151:15 168:8
mob 89:7,18	159:14	50:10,13,14,20,21	175:15 184:23
mode 168:9	moorad 104:9,13	50:22,24 52:4	185:5 187:3,9
moment 7:4	morgan 67:17	53:10,12 57:23	191:8 207:15
monday 110:4,5,8	68:18 75:7 77:8	58:6,11 63:14,25	210:11,18 218:20
money 14:6,10,16	121:20,23 209:9	69:25 73:8,11,12	219:23,24
14:24 25:1 26:25	209:10,15,15,17	74:5 77:24,25 80:10	nevertheless 13:15
34:22 45:14 84:4	218:2	national 25:7 51:1	new 1:1 2:5,5,10
84:8,15,23 85:11	morning 6:8 70:15		2:10,15 5:3 25:2
85:12,15,18,23	moscow 52:14	51:5,9,10,11 122:8 124:2 125:3 126:4	32:9 50:17 52:4
86:2,9,12,14,16,17	53:5		53:3 55:9 58:15
86:22,25 87:8	mother 10:7	143:24	60:1 68:6,7,11,12
90:3,19 91:4	motivation 50:5	nationalize 193:19 nature 87:3 182:7	69:8,14,15,20,21
104:5,18 120:16	mouth 31:4	nature 87:3 182:7	70:9,13,17,23
154:24 157:19	move 20:22 31:20		71:18,22,23 72:3,4
159:17 161:12	moved 167:7	necessary 66:4 224:8	72:23 73:8,10,14
162:6,22 167:17	169:21 170:8	need 86:14 94:2	73:19,24 77:15,16
168:14,17 195:10	217:24	102:2 130:1 189:7	77:21 95:4 102:20
195:15,17 198:12	moves 110:13,19	needed 86:9,16	103:12,13,18,21
199:22 206:16,23	ŕ	169:10 186:2	106:3,10,14,21,22

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 254 of 274

[new - okay]

107:11,16,25	number 5:3 20:4	181:11 182:24	21:12 22:11 23:3
108:2 113:4	36:15 40:3,3	183:11 195:14	23:3,6,8,19,20,23
114:21 121:21	41:25 49:22 53:2	203:3 220:24	26:15,21 27:2,10
122:3 169:2	53:19 54:24 62:18	occasions 186:16	28:15 29:1,4,9,12
174:17,21 175:9	62:20 67:10 89:19	occur 15:5 84:20	29:20,23 30:2,9,13
175:13 176:5	91:11,16 94:24	occurred 19:24	30:25 31:2,8
177:20,22 178:9	97:22 102:24	28:3 56:23 86:5	32:14 33:3,12,16
179:6 195:13	103:13,20 110:14	89:16 176:12	33:21 34:4,4
211:2,15	110:15 128:7	occurrence 47:8	35:15 37:16 39:2
news 188:10 202:6	129:16 137:13	occurs 213:20	41:2,5,6,17,20
newspapers	142:2 163:21	october 97:20,21	43:6 47:14 48:9,9
141:13	176:13 197:14	odd 55:19 159:18	48:15,15 50:5,8,12
nine 24:9 50:24	221:22 224:5	offer 46:22	50:18 57:5 59:25
51:2	numbered 79:17	offers 57:12,17	60:11,16 61:12,21
nodded 171:15,17	nuts 219:19	58:2	62:2,6,9 63:2 66:5
nodding 171:22	0	office 33:9 35:13	72:22 76:17 79:4
nods 62:14 171:13		37:12 43:1,9,13	79:7,16,21 80:5,14
non 50:15 131:17	o 3:1,6 6:1 104:15	54:2,15 55:3,9	81:1,4,11,17 82:23
normal 7:13 16:15	104:15,16 196:24	67:6 124:13 155:9	83:12,15,17,22
norman 29:7 30:7	object 11:1 15:14	156:8 224:6	85:5 87:11,23
33:8,10 209:8	15:14 18:19 30:20	offices 53:25 54:16	91:8,10,20 92:2,4
210:2	35:6 85:7 98:21	officials 9:5	94:6,9 95:6 96:20
north 1:21 2:23	98:23 149:4 170:3	offset 32:3 199:7	97:2,4,13 98:2,11
4:19 122:7 123:1	175:21 176:18 191:14	199:14	98:13 99:7,9,20
123:10 186:11		offsetting 144:23	100:3,9 101:16,20
226:1	objected 15:18 26:7	oh 6:19 9:10 15:20	102:1,6,14,19,21
notary 1:24 2:25		22:7,7 26:20	103:3,4 104:14,24
223:22 226:7,23	objection 15:25 65:25 83:19 92:9	47:20 62:24 72:22	105:17 108:14
note 4:6 48:2		80:8 82:2,15	111:25 112:5,16
noted 156:10	102:9 149:20,20	126:21 152:9	112:17 113:9,13
notes 3:12,17	169:25	155:16 161:5,9	114:7,16,19 115:8
47:23 48:12 61:15	objections 15:22 15:23	171:20 173:25	115:14,23 117:4
112:13,14,21,23		180:17 187:23	126:6,20 127:15
113:4,4,6,19,25	obligated 150:14	191:24 207:9	127:17 129:3
notice 85:17,17	obligation 146:3,4	okay 6:21,24 8:11	132:15,25,25
113:5	146:8,11	9:15,23 10:10,17	134:3,3,10,23
notified 7:16	obligations 151:16 obvious 78:24	10:22 12:2,11,13	137:12 138:19,22
november 27:17		12:24 14:18 15:3	143:2 147:14,16
nowadays 40:19	93:1	15:7 16:2,2 17:10	147:17 148:16
ntte 124:3	obviously 71:2	17:22 18:2,4,8,23	149:10,24 151:18
	87:1 98:16 147:20 150:19 152:18	19:6,8 20:25,25	152:5,5,8,9 156:4
	150:19 152:18		

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 255 of 274

[okay - paid] Page 28

157.00.01.05		1 2017/10	1 15404
157:20,21,25	opening 77:25	order 3:8,15 6:10	owed 154:24
158:5,10 159:11	opens 95:21	19:19 20:19 22:24	156:20,23
159:13,13 160:19	157:18	51:16,18 57:8	owes 157:19
161:9,9,9,21 162:5	operate 54:6 55:5	64:17,20 65:1,2,6	owned 151:10
162:22 163:3	65:24 67:6,11	65:10,11,14,15,19	195:17 197:2,4
164:9,22,25 165:5	68:3 71:5 73:25	65:20,24,25 66:9	owners 72:16
165:16,22 166:23	74:15 77:19 93:9	66:10,12,13,15,20	owning 149:13
169:12,16,18,20	108:13	66:25 70:14 71:8	owns 148:18
170:18 171:3,3,7	operated 36:5	71:13,16,21,23	164:12
172:7,14,20 173:4	45:2 46:1 55:2	72:2,4 73:5,6	р
173:6,10,17,24	58:7 97:10 166:2	74:19 76:15,21	p 2:1,1 6:1
174:8,16 175:17	166:21 169:8,11	77:5,6,10,11 78:3	p.m. 91:14 126:17
176:8,21 177:11	operates 73:8	80:22,25 107:8	126:17 163:17,17
177:23 180:4	77:25 101:4	123:22 134:17,18	222:1
181:20,24 182:1	operating 7:15	144:19,23 148:11	pacific 69:21
184:25 185:9	10:3 11:7 54:15	159:15 185:25	70:14,19
186:18 187:18	56:9,17 73:24	194:12 198:11	packard 201:14
189:1,4,7 190:16	74:7 78:7,10,17	207:10 217:16	page 6:20,20 12:12
191:1,1,15,24	95:1 100:16	orders 65:13	12:22 13:9 21:7
192:6,7,10,11,12	121:25 122:3	72:12,25 73:3,11	21:13,24,25 23:11
192:13,17,23,25	operation 55:19	73:16,21 75:4,6,8	23:16,25 24:8,23
193:5,5 197:5,16	58:25 60:5 63:12	75:12,15,18,23	24:25 27:15 62:18
201:19 203:9,11	64:5 69:1,3 70:25	76:1,11,13,18	62:20 68:1 79:5
207:11 209:18	168:9 170:15	ordinary 85:2	79:16,17 80:3,6
210:9 212:5 214:8	171:8	organizations 76:5	112:16,18,19
216:19 219:1	operations 36:25	original 148:11	113:25 143:11
221:19	38:2 42:17 44:11	224:4	156:6 165:15,21
old 2:22 4:18	47:5 53:17 139:4	originally 26:9	173:14,15 174:9
66:21 193:10	opines 177:13	41:13 56:23 57:14	176:8,21 177:11
208:20	oppenheimer	85:22 141:5	179:14,15 185:10
older 208:19	79:11	205:21	224:5,11,14,17,20
once 68:5 120:24	opposed 105:6	otc 105:24 107:17	224:23 225:1,4,7
192:9	116:2 124:1	outperform 13:19	225:10,13,16,19
ones 53:11 135:4	opposite 93:17	outside 141:7	225:22
219:16	95:8 144:11	210:20	pages 143:10
ongoing 40:15	164:15	overall 38:20	190:16 224:8
open 16:17 22:21	option 67:8	83:17	pagoldman45 2:16
117:18 119:1	options 20:23	overview 62:19	paid 56:2 110:24
120:22 168:18	101:2 197:15	63:3	123:22 144:4
204:24	orally 18:10	owe 159:12 160:6	153:18,25 187:14
			194:15 210:18,23
		And the state of t	171.10 210.10,20

Exhibit 1 Pg 255 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I
Pg 256 of 274

[panel - picowers]

panel 144:6 145:7	132:8 203:3 208:7	197:3 198:9 202:7	permitted 6:13,17
panels 144:2	partnership 11:8	205:5 207:24	147:22
panic 205:7	party 185:16	210:12,17 211:24	permitting 6:10
paper 28:6 41:21	pass 71:12	212:10 214:22	perpetrated 15:11
43:3,15,21	patent 78:9,12,16	215:7 217:23	perpetuate 25:13
paperwork 124:16	78:19,20	219:24 220:1	perpetuated 56:24
129:23 151:6	patience 221:12	people's 118:20	59:24
paragraph 13:10	paul 7:20	people 3 116.20 pepsi 22:15	perpetuating
63:8 165:17 173:7	pay 40:12 126:1	percent 14:2 56:12	141:14
173:9,10,12,22	157:14 184:4	63:23,24 67:22,24	person 45:11,16
180:5,12 182:1	200:16,22 206:16	69:14 73:25 74:1	96:5 107:23
185:10,24 187:18	214:14	75:1 79:25 80:9,9	132:10 226:2
190:19	payable 154:3,15	90:13 107:2,4	peter 2:14 5:12
paragraphs	paying 110:12	162:3,4 176:11	58:5
173:19	130:9 161:24	198:3 199:19,19	peter's 65:22
paren 166:7,8	168:10	199:20 204:9,10	philadelphia
parentheses 166:5	payment 51:18	204:11 215:24,25	69:21 70:19 71:18
parents 10:13,25	payments 182:3	percentage 36:11	phone 178:24
paris 52:14 53:5	pays 116:10 154:4	162:23	phones 25:7
park 2:4	184:2	perfectly 195:12	phonetic 122:10
parkway 2:15	peak 63:21,21	201:15,15 202:20	122:11
part 16:18 17:24	people 8:10 24:3,4	203:10,18	photocopy 112:12
18:21 31:12 32:6	24:12 34:18 36:25	performance	phrase 166:11
44:16 46:20 48:4	40:12 45:5,7,19	35:22	physically 118:10
50:16 58:24 77:24	46:13 48:24,25	performed 35:13	121:12 123:12
113:7 118:2 127:7	49:22 53:15,22	period 7:8 12:24	127:7 181:10,11
137:23 138:15	54:14 55:20 59:17	28:13 46:5 54:12	186:16
141:8 155:17	60:1,13 67:10	54:15 64:20 85:21	picard 5:16 132:7
156:8 170:14	73:4 74:5 83:2	86:2,13 99:5,23,25	155:11
particular 43:5	85:10,14,23 88:9	100:8 101:14,15	picard's 218:14
44:20 102:18	89:13,23 90:3,12	110:6 111:4 115:2	pick 4:7 39:5
179:21	90:23,25 91:1	122:4,18 128:18	picower 29:8
particularly 36:12	106:1 108:12,22	129:19 130:1,5	30:11 33:12,13,14
38:6 204:15	108:22,23 120:2	160:24,25 162:6	155:13 156:12,23
parties 4:12 5:7	125:2 130:7 141:2	166:16 171:7	159:19,19 199:13
226:14	141:7,11 144:4,11	177:3 202:12	204:16 205:16
partly 53:6	153:10 166:11	209:22	210:5,24
partner 68:12	167:7,25 168:2,4	periodic 36:1	picower's 155:24
196:12 218:1	168:16 169:22	periods 54:23	picowers 159:16
partners 67:18	170:8 186:12	85:15 135:24	197:21
68:6,16,17,17	194:17 196:21		

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 257 of 274

[piece - probably]

		400000000000000000000000000000000000000	
piece 43:21	points 125:18	possibly 91:5	135:3,14,17,18
pink 57:15,25	136:8 152:17	103:16 218:24	148:7 174:18,21
place 4:9 24:16	159:7 181:16	219:4	175:8,10 182:14
25:8,10,13,15,16	policy 53:13 130:3	post 57:12	183:12,13 184:14
26:11 37:5 70:13	ponzi 7:15 10:3	practice 156:18	184:17 208:8
70:13 86:21 92:17	87:3 143:23	precipitated 89:19	priced 179:12
93:11 106:5,11	pool 202:4 204:19	preferred 185:25	prices 28:6 140:23
130:19 132:13,13	pools 67:20 69:7	premier 193:16	177:14,15,24
176:16 178:10	74:6 78:11,17	premium 116:8,12	178:1
191:9 193:24	203:14	116:22 117:19	primarily 16:22
220:4	popular 67:21	119:2,12,13,15,16	25:23 29:10 32:21
places 25:16 86:10	portfolio 135:12	120:11	33:1,14,17 34:18
plaintiff 1:6 4:24	148:18 151:9	premiums 117:13	56:18 59:9 60:6
plan 55:16 78:13	195:8 196:18	prepared 48:14	203:2 204:18
plane 54:10	197:6,7 200:20	62:10 187:21	primary 105:6,8,9
planned 68:3	201:25 204:3,4	presence 223:15	121:23
platform 53:9,10	211:20	present 5:5 55:10	primex 67:14,19
53:11	portfolios 202:17	preserve 221:4	68:8 69:4,5 72:21
platforms 53:4	202:17 204:1	preserved 15:22	74:24,25 77:3,22
plaza 2:10	205:24	president 47:19	78:1,4,6,8,10,12
plea 11:25 12:18	portion 161:23	193:16	78:16,20
21:2,16 23:10	posed 13:13	press 68:2	primex's 78:1
27:16,16 88:4	position 31:23	pressure 125:2	principal 42:5
90:2,20 136:9	34:22 47:6 54:19	159:16 168:16	90:5,16 95:5 96:9
plead 21:10 84:10	86:18 137:22	215:16	98:12 128:8,9
84:14,22 87:24	146:15 150:3	pretty 37:11	184:20 187:22,24
pleaded 88:1	151:24 152:1	169:14 203:16	188:3,9
please 4:6,8 45:25	153:11 175:11	prevent 79:1	printout 132:18
224:4,8,8	177:18 180:6	previously 163:25	prior 10:15 15:11
plus 69:24	181:5 217:18	price 20:23 64:4	16:6 18:9 26:14
point 7:2 49:25	positions 19:20	64:13 65:2 67:10	161:7,8 170:5
50:6 74:9 92:12	26:5 31:13,14,14	70:12,17,17,18,18	171:7
95:8 101:16,22	34:19 37:25 38:11	71:6,15,17,22 72:3	prison 49:13,19
102:15 114:19	38:20 39:18 42:7	76:20,23 80:24	prisons 141:3,12
117:3 119:12,13	42:11 153:6 165:1	81:3 93:20,21	private 4:8
119:15 127:15	165:2 204:7,8,24	107:18 109:7,10	privileged 10:1
159:18 160:9	206:6,15,18,21	109:22,23 110:1	privy 37:24 38:23
pointed 190:22	207:8,12 213:22	110:16 111:5,6,8	probably 9:10
pointing 180:19	214:1	111:11,16,24	17:23 30:4,8,12
181:9	possible 84:15	112:3,4 115:18,19	43:15 44:4 60:14
	176:24 177:23	116:8,13 134:9,23	112:1 125:12
			Action management of the control of

Exhibit 1 Pg 257 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I
Pg 258 of 274

[probably - rate]

qr = 10 to 25			. Tage 51
132:14 162:3,9	program 161:3,13	purchases 15:5	49:7 61:2 78:24
178:8 190:5,15	promise 9:24	24:14 98:18	91:24 101:19
209:23	101:24	102:17 133:1	102:13 128:23
problem 11:22	promised 13:20	158:16	144:10 147:19
13:13 17:7 56:23	prompt 150:25	purchasing 20:6	154:20 156:19
66:10 71:20 75:19	224:6	203:6	160:21 185:1,3
78:7 89:5 120:15	promptly 157:14	purported 174:10	220:12,16
156:1 202:6 205:4	proof 115:21	purportedly 151:9	questioned 156:25
212:9 217:4	proper 40:24	179:16 180:6	questioning 6:14
problems 44:25	properly 191:10	purpose 182:21	199:1
54:9 213:11	proprietary 38:2	purposes 17:3	questions 6:14
proceed 5:22	38:17 56:19 57:7	130:2 203:18	78:25 99:24
proceeding 12:3	59:1,10 62:13	put 12:14 31:4	131:25,25 173:20
process 69:6 76:25	130:17 166:5	39:24 40:21 44:16	188:12 190:21
77:7,12	171:9 172:8	58:1 61:14 70:16	221:1,3
processes 63:19	188:15	77:19 83:1 84:18	quite 33:22 92:21
produce 84:4	proprietorship	89:14,20 90:23	95:14
146:6	165:20	95:16 113:2	quote 13:10 21:17
producing 145:14	prosecutor 45:24	118:14 121:12	21:20 24:9 144:7
professional 2:25	88:3 139:22	125:1 127:6	quoted 144:7
13:17 226:22	protection 1:4	129:13 141:14	quotes 144:3
professionals 64:6	4:23	148:10 149:24	quoting 145:6
182:17 183:1	prove 140:2	169:11 172:18,18	156:7
professor 144:5	152:14	173:5 178:23	r
145:6	provided 27:22	186:22 187:6	
professors 141:8	49:18 156:9	193:23 197:6,7	r 2:1 6:1 104:15,16
proffer 45:1	175:10 186:13	202:4 208:11,22	223:1 224:1,1 raised 156:19
138:10	providing 88:5	209:5 211:6	ransed 130:19
profit 38:25 47:1	psychiatrist 49:19	215:23 216:5	ran 38:15 55:23
70:23 117:3,8,12	public 1:24 2:25	219:4 220:23	195:16
117:18 118:9,11	22:20 23:1 105:9	puts 72:2 211:22	
118:24,25 120:18	105:11 143:23	putting 32:11 47:5	range 107:18 109:7 111:5
120:19 145:15	223:22 226:7,23	70:14 201:24	134:13
150:13 211:21	publicly 185:16	q	
profitability 40:16	published 57:16	quarter 110:20	ranges 134:11,15 134:17
profitable 28:2	104:17	212:13,20	rare 186:15
profits 36:11,14	purchase 16:8	quarters 110:24	rarely 116:21
36:18 37:3,24	102:7 152:3	111:3,11,15	135:20 186:22
90:4,10 161:20	177:13 194:13	queens 54:8	rate 13:20 162:2
199:18 200:11		queens 34.0	Tate 13.20 102.2
	purchased 149:1,2	question 0.2.22	162-13 25 102-1 2
207:21	purchased 149:1,2 179:3 190:1	question 9:2,22 17:9,12 28:19	162:13,25 198:1,2 198:3,8 200:16,22

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 259 of 274

[rates - relationship]

rates 199:23	168:20 183:6	150:1 153:25	reflected 15:5
ratio 146:1	185:8 201:9	163:16,20 174:18	19:21 20:7 95:9
raymond 79:11	204:16 210:10,12	174:21 175:8,9	99:6 152:1 156:14
reached 60:12	221:12	179:23 220:23	165:1
174:24	reason 11:20	221:20,23 226:11	reflecting 96:24
reaches 185:9	34:13 48:16 85:20	recording 4:11	97:1
read 13:9 21:16,17	96:11 104:1 132:9	139:19	reflects 81:5
23:23 27:15 48:5	157:2 158:22	records 102:18	refused 11:14
48:7,20,22 106:3	160:13 219:3	118:15 127:3	89:22
111:25 112:9	224:13,16,19,22	129:17,21 130:3,6	regard 220:12
150:25 155:2	224:25 225:3,6,9	131:19 133:19	regarding 185:6
157:23 158:7,11	225:12,15,18,21	136:5,7 139:20	186:2
158:20 173:19	225:24	147:9 148:10	regardless 160:7
190:20 223:4	reasons 219:20	150:16 152:13	regional 52:4
224:4,12,15,18,21	recall 11:24 87:8	154:7 156:9,13	69:20 70:10
224:24 225:2,5,8	136:1 151:3	157:6,6 174:17	register 11:12,12
225:11,14,17,20	recapitalize 56:2	176:16 177:24	168:5
225:23	receivable 154:3	178:13 185:23	registered 2:25
reading 6:17	154:15	recourse 206:12	46:22 165:25
47:23,24 63:6	receive 132:23	recover 84:15,23	168:6 183:24
80:3 112:14,21,24	received 13:15	90:5,16,18 205:8	184:5,6,7 226:22
140:25 190:23	62:3 180:25	207:16	registering 11:13
191:10	receptive 215:15	redeem 162:7	regular 25:6
ready 57:20	recess 8:23 52:19	redemption 85:5	regularly 144:1
208:20	91:14 126:17	redemptions 85:3	regulation 37:22
real 40:1 54:25	163:17	reduced 226:9	51:23 67:5
55:14 135:16	recession 13:12	refer 29:20	regulations 17:24
realize 24:20	20:17	reference 170:7	37:20 57:9
135:19	recognize 19:1	178:9	regulator 176:3
realized 7:9,12	recollection 12:8	references 92:23	regulators 24:13
71:2 129:5	13:11 18:16 25:18	referencing 175:7	regulatory 51:12
realizing 32:10	169:14 179:5	177:19	reinvest 86:19
really 11:4 16:23	185:2	referring 57:3	related 42:8 148:7
17:13 20:8 26:25	recommend 200:5	94:16 97:15 100:7	150:23 152:6
32:24 36:9 43:24	record 4:5,12 8:20	103:1 113:20	164:7 170:16
45:22 56:21 61:2	8:22,24 23:7 48:5	129:1	226:13
68:20,21 69:10	50:13 52:18,22	refers 114:14	relates 156:2
89:10 90:22 93:13	81:24 91:12,15	166:20	160:12
99:18 115:12	113:24 126:16,18	reflect 151:23	relating 186:19
116:25 118:22	129:19 130:5	152:22	relationship
144:8 160:8	134:15 137:12		119:19 205:12,12
	1		

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 260 of 274

[relationship - right]

206:10 214:21	178:24 184:24	researching	rid 129:21 130:8
215:5	187:6 190:17	103:21	ridiculous 71:3
relationships	reported 1:24	resell 98:10	73:1
63:17 69:11	115:1,4 131:6	101:13	right 12:16 13:1
208:16	135:1 150:15	reserved 221:25	15:8,24 16:3
relatively 55:22	156:20 174:11,17	respect 10:11	17:16,18 22:4,6,20
175:25	176:22 178:17,17	33:12,16,18 35:1	23:18 26:17,21
relevance 175:13	178:20,21	35:19 52:9 83:22	27:2,13 31:15
relief 87:18	reporter 2:25 5:19	98:13 134:7	42:19,20 43:6
relieved 7:5 8:2	21:18 82:10 88:14	respond 208:24	47:22 50:10 60:2
relying 65:4 81:2	126:12 226:6,22	responsibility	61:9 63:10 76:8
168:16	reporting 51:15	24:7 33:2 89:25	76:17 80:2 81:21
remaining 63:24	92:24 115:10	responsible 28:8	84:13 90:15 91:23
remember 18:17	reports 36:1	145:14,16 157:8	92:4,13,22 94:15
20:2 21:10 53:1	149:16 151:20	159:10 172:1	94:21 95:11,17
60:12 82:22,25	152:15,23 153:3,7	208:12 209:20	98:1,3 99:3 102:5
101:18,23 127:19	represent 5:8,13	215:7	102:8,21,22
150:22 162:1	156:20 177:14	rest 39:1 56:6	103:11,19 104:2
170:22,23 179:21	represented 8:6	105:12 107:5	105:1,10,13,15
193:11	9:5,16 10:10	191:22	109:1,20 110:25
remembered	56:12 72:14,15	result 213:25	111:9 114:25
152:10	representing 4:13	results 14:1,6	115:14,25 116:6
remind 101:21	5:20 12:16 63:18	155:21	116:22 117:1,14
remotely 5:6	reprogram 142:2	retail 55:16,21	117:23 118:2,6,18
renumbered 164:1	reprogramming	59:16 93:18 95:7	119:24 120:3,5,10
report 3:14 35:18	141:25	retention 129:19	121:10,15 122:4
36:25 37:1 39:4	reputation 195:19	130:5	123:14 124:1
39:23 61:8,25	217:19	return 13:21	127:11,13 128:7
62:4 81:5,22 83:9	request 53:6	90:13 212:24	129:14 131:24
83:23 84:1,3	109:25 186:24	213:4 224:5	132:19,22,24
91:22 92:6,16	require 55:15	returned 159:17	133:14,21 134:5
95:9,16 99:12	required 20:14	167:17	135:9,22,25,25
100:6 107:11	46:19,21 55:8,25	returning 11:15	140:12,24 141:4
110:22 115:5	124:23 140:22	159:25	146:8 149:19
117:22 125:18	145:11 147:22,25	revenue 198:25	150:17 151:14,18
136:4 150:22	148:1 149:6	reversing 190:24	151:21,25 152:21
153:23 154:17	requirements	review 83:10	153:8 156:23,24
155:3,6,7,9,17,18	115:5,11 147:7	156:9	157:5,19 158:10
155:22 156:6	requires 37:22	reviewed 61:10	158:22 159:14
160:14 163:8	researches 103:11	reviewing 48:23	160:20 161:2
165:6 173:7 174:4			162:14 164:12,15
1			L

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 261 of 274

[right - securities]

[right - securities]			1 460 5 1
166:10 167:4	running 17:6 91:6	93:23 94:3,14	144:1,6,16 149:15
169:8 170:20	runs 143:16	95:2,11,17,23 96:2	167:23 218:21
172:6 174:15,23	russian 89:7	96:16 97:21,22	219:8,10,18,21
175:2 176:16	rye 2:15	105:22,22 106:3	sec's 55:8
177:7,12,16	S	107:9,16,17	second 27:11
180:18 181:13,15		109:15,17,20	52:16 63:8 70:23
187:13 188:1,2,4,7	s 2:1 3:1,6 6:1	114:12 115:21	96:14 109:13
189:2 191:2,3,11	224:1 s&p 63:14	118:7 137:25	174:6 213:16
192:22 193:6,7		138:8,17 141:12	secondary 3:16
201:21 202:8,25	sachs 67:17 68:18	143:9 145:7	105:3,6,13
204:6 207:15	72:11 75:6 195:25	152:25 153:17	secret 142:15
210:4,8 213:23	196:7,13 202:25 218:2	156:8 157:11,12	143:10
214:25 219:8,20		158:8 164:9,22	secretary 155:4
219:22 220:6,11	sale 16:8 154:9,10 154:10	165:18 180:25	section 105:20
220:16 221:4	sales 24:15 98:18	185:15,24 188:2	securities 1:4,8
rightfully 150:11	133:1 158:16	219:24	4:23,25 13:13
rink 73:20	salomon 217:24	scheme 7:15 10:3	15:5 17:1 20:7,11
risk 39:17 117:5	sarcastic 107:22	87:3 143:24	20:21 24:15 25:8
195:16 197:9	sarcastic 107.22 sat 54:14	scholars 49:23	28:11 34:6,7,11,17
199:3 200:24	satisfy 13:21	school 55:4 58:8	36:8 38:6,6 40:6
215:10 216:8,9	sausty 13.21 save 198:12	88:15 144:5	40:11 42:10 47:17
risks 37:25	saw 85:1 128:4,16	scrap 41:21 43:3	50:15,16 51:1,5,7
robert 2:19	128:18 184:23	scraps 43:15	51:11,20,22,23
rockefeller 2:10	saying 30:22 33:8	screaming 73:4	57:19,21 58:12,14
rogue 40:22	66:5 73:18 86:14	screen 58:2	58:17,19,20 60:6
roller 73:19	92:20 94:19 95:9	screw 205:12	69:23 70:3 81:12
room 5:6 45:3	96:24 99:17	206:10	124:3 125:3 126:4
46:12 53:16 142:4	105:14 108:19	scribbling 41:23	143:25 144:19
144:9	111:18 115:18	se 73:8	146:19 148:18
roughly 7:8	136:13,13,15	sec 7:22 9:12,14	149:1,13 150:12
route 72:4,8,11	144:3,7 148:21	9:18 10:11 11:8	151:12 153:19,25
routed 71:16	149:10 153:1	11:17 17:23 24:13	154:14 160:22
routing 72:12	160:12 176:22	25:12 32:19 37:20	166:1 177:14
rowe 67:10	180:13,15,17	46:13 51:14,18,24	179:15 182:4
rpr 1:24	191:7 199:3	52:1,5 53:7,13,23	185:12,16 186:3
rudely 9:3	210:24 213:24	54:1,5 55:9 57:9	186:24 187:1
ruined 69:3	215:25	65:22,23 66:13	189:3,21,22 190:1
rule 71:12 131:12	says 21:16,19,20	67:1 69:11,13,17	190:2 194:11,14
144:16 148:13	40:4 46:2 63:2,5	70:1 71:2 74:9	194:19,23 195:5,9
run 66:9 86:4	64:11 80:9,14	82:24 88:23 125:1	195:18 197:6,7,13
The state of the s	88:16 92:13 93:16	134:18 140:4	201:12 203:6
	35.15 22.15 23.10	27.70	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 262 of 274

[security - sheet]

			rage 33
security 25:13	133:22 138:12,23	served 50:10,23,25	97:23 98:5 102:3
42:9 43:5 44:20	140:11 143:17	51:14,15 52:6,10	102:7 110:6,15
51:24 102:18	144:25 145:3,9	144:1 145:7	116:6 125:14,14
174:11 187:9	147:5 148:10	service 198:25	125:22,23 126:1,2
194:13	149:7,8 150:2,9	services 49:19	130:14 135:6,6
see 12:19 13:18	164:7 194:17	set 31:11 137:5	144:19 145:1,2
21:7 39:15 66:15	203:12 206:15,24	setting 42:2	146:12 152:3
78:21 119:10,18	sells 105:10	settle 40:7	180:8 185:12
120:5 121:16	125:24 144:22	settled 40:11	sharing 75:15
122:16 134:21	send 53:14 68:19	125:9 194:14	shed 26:18
135:16 150:22	73:3 86:17,18,23	settlement 86:2	sheehan 2:8 5:14
174:14,22 185:12	86:24 87:8 123:4	97:10,12,21 131:2	5:14 11:1 15:14
seeing 95:6	125:6,25 153:24	132:12 133:5	15:18,21 16:1,3
seen 62:4	213:3	134:8 159:15,22	18:19 21:22 22:1
sees 107:12 137:12	sending 15:3	seven 6:17 41:14	22:5,22 23:1,5,21
sell 26:5 42:18,23	86:25 96:22,23	49:13,14,15	27:5,8 30:20 35:6
44:17 46:19,25	117:9 168:14	141:22,22 155:18	41:18,19 48:1,4,7
57:18,20 62:10	206:16	155:20 159:18	61:21 63:6,10
66:12 70:22 76:3	sends 93:22	160:8	78:23 82:13,17,21
80:21 101:5 102:3	senior 196:12	sham 199:5	82:24 83:6,19
105:5,9 111:10	208:7 218:1	shapiro 8:16,19	85:7 94:12,20
117:2,7,20 118:8	sense 28:16 44:23	29:6,7 30:3 33:18	98:21 99:1 102:9
118:24 125:13,16	47:12,13 89:4	33:19 44:14,18	104:10,19,24
131:3,4 132:21	136:11 217:12	136:23 137:3	112:6,9,13,20,25
136:18,20,21,23	218:8,16,16,23	191:18 204:16	113:6,24 114:2,5
137:10 138:3,17	219:21	205:16 208:19	127:21,22 142:17
140:10 144:18	sensible 73:7	210:3	143:12 147:11,14
145:7,11 146:3,25	211:9 217:15	shapiro's 191:12	149:4,20 159:4
147:2,22,23 148:1	sensitive 4:7	208:6	163:6,10,12,14
148:2,7 150:6	sent 83:8 93:14	shapiros 59:17	165:12 169:25
172:13,24 188:21	118:10 123:23	197:21	170:2 171:15,17
194:12 202:16,17	161:12 164:11	share 45:14,16	171:24 173:10,24
202:22 204:25	sentence 78:14	65:10,11 75:6	175:21 176:18
205:8,18,22,23,23	84:22	109:24 116:6	191:14,22 192:5,8
207:3 211:20	sentencing 87:25	shareholder	192:14,16,20,24
selling 38:9,10,25	separate 38:13	185:23	193:4 220:15,20
39:14 42:21 44:14	167:7,10	shares 20:11 41:25	220:22 221:6,10
45:18 46:17 67:8	separately 171:9	43:1 44:14,17	221:16,18
77:24 100:11	171:12	64:1,2,2,17 65:11	sheehan's 61:14
101:8 116:25	series 95:21	66:6 74:3 79:21	sheet 42:16 43:4,8
117:9 128:2,5,20		80:14,19,23 96:17	44:7,17 55:11
į	L	-1 C -1 -4'	

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 263 of 274

[sheet - somebody]

137:7 145:24	shorted 14:12 46:9	211:22 216:7	skip 24:8
153:17,23 154:22	46:18 139:17	sided 38:5 46:21	sleep 83:2
154:23 224:9	147:9	57:11 147:25	sliced 65:12
sheets 57:16,25	shorter 162:18	sides 144:11	slicing 64:4,12
153:19	shorting 138:16	203:14	65:21 67:11 80:22
shelf 77:19	139:24 140:17,18	sign 157:12	slipped 155:12
shelter 200:5	140:20 147:2,8	signature 221:25	slowly 21:17
shelters 199:13	shorts 31:22 36:18	226:21	small 51:16 55:5,7
200:4	46:16 152:24	signed 209:13	55:16,21 135:10
short 31:14,17,18	206:6	223:15 224:6	smaller 36:13
31:19,23 46:9,11	show 19:3 32:2,2,3	signs 141:17	59:18 65:13
46:11,14,19,24	96:15 103:5 111:7	silver 198:12,16	smart 108:19,20
57:21 59:5 138:10	146:1 147:9 152:4	199:1,6,10,25	108:22 159:23
138:12 139:13,16	153:11,13,18,24	201:11	160:4 209:17
139:19,20 140:1,8	154:2 159:15	similar 53:10	220:1
140:11,14,16	164:16,24 176:16	197:13 201:11	smb 1:7 5:3
143:16 144:17,25	showed 43:21	202:2 203:1	smith 58:22 77:9
145:3,8,9,11,19,20	102:17 149:14	simple 24:10	148:17
145:21,22 146:3	151:12 156:13	simply 25:1	smoothly 144:24
146:13,25 147:5	showing 96:19	simultaneously	socialist 193:17
147:22,23 148:2,3	145:23 148:25	117:7	soda 22:13 23:4
148:11 149:8	176:10	singapore 52:12	sold 20:23 40:5,14
150:3,6,10 151:20	shown 153:7 178:1	53:5	46:7 67:21 68:11
151:22,24 152:1	shows 97:9,11	sipc 155:17 224:2	93:16,21,23,24
154:9,9,10,11	103:14 111:16,16	sitting 35:9 46:12	94:4,15,18 96:25
160:24,25 162:19	146:12 148:18	46:13 141:11	97:3,23,23 100:13
162:20 164:23	159:8	situation 18:5 20:2	103:6 114:15,16
175:11 189:15	sia 51:25	70:16 89:14,20	114:22 123:21
197:11,12,13,25	siav 51:25	90:24 147:6	125:22 129:7
198:3,7,8,13,22	sic 66:17	199:23 201:22	138:11 145:1
199:7,25 200:10	side 16:16,16	202:21 209:3	151:20,22 164:17
200:12,16 201:8	31:19,21,22 38:17	213:17 215:4	164:18,20,21
201:11,13 202:1,3	42:17 44:11,19	six 41:14 85:17	191:6 195:1 209:7
204:4,5,7 206:1,4	47:5 53:17,18	87:10,11 129:18	sole 165:19
206:6,17,18,21,24	59:1,5,5 79:20	129:20,20 130:4,8	solely 18:5
206:25 207:8,12	106:18 128:10,12	133:20 134:2	solutions 4:14
207:17,18,22	128:15 131:15,17	158:25 160:9	5:21
210:21 213:22,25	139:4 184:25	size 65:14	somebody 123:4
214:15 216:4,5,7	205:25 206:1,2,24	skating 73:20	132:1 138:8
216:10,10,13,18	206:25 207:17,17	sketchy 178:14	189:12
216:19,21 220:6	207:18,22,22		
L			

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 264 of 274

[somewhat - stock]

somewhat 70:21	specialty 38:7	68:18 75:7 77:8	148:17,22 164:3,5
son 38:15,15,17	119:22	210:7 218:2	164:11 172:3
60:18,20 66:18	specific 6:12 13:20	stare 45:3	188:9
sons 7:12,18 37:19	20:5 37:20 102:7	start 9:2 92:20	statements 15:3
60:17 64:15 65:8	specifically 27:18	102:14 110:3,8,11	18:23 19:21 20:7
65:20	33:25	110:17 169:9,9	27:25 32:19 33:1
soon 86:19 212:13	spelling 104:11	205:11 210:13,15	97:7,10 102:17
212:19	split 13:24 14:19	210:17 211:5	115:17,20 149:14
sophisticated	15:12 16:7,14	started 14:6 15:3	151:12 153:14
198:14	17:8 18:9,18 19:9	16:22 18:17 20:1	165:2 176:14,23
sorkin 8:12 9:8,9	19:12,17 27:1	20:3,18 26:24,25	states 1:1 5:2 21:9
9:15 10:2,2,6,23	57:3 59:21,22	28:17 29:2,25	39:20 56:13 57:17
12:15 41:22 90:18	60:23 68:4 78:5,8	30:8 31:1,6 46:3,4	67:24 69:24 93:20
soros 140:16	84:1,6 85:11,19	47:15 55:1,6,14,21	96:10 109:13
sorry 15:16 22:8	92:7 98:17 99:16	56:25 59:20 60:4	112:2 124:20
22:11 27:6,23	99:25 100:4	60:5 69:9 86:22	152:12 157:8
35:7 63:7,9 82:6	106:19 121:24	86:25 90:9 111:21	179:14 199:2
98:22 104:20	139:18 145:19	131:2 134:4 167:5	stating 106:8
sort 20:14 45:2	147:17 148:17	168:15 170:25	145:5
47:10 69:2 77:22	149:11 160:22	171:5 197:17	stay 212:17
84:2 88:5 93:7	161:13 167:1	203:22 205:24	stearns 86:8
104:9 108:5	211:7,12,14	207:7,7 210:14	122:13,15,16
115:13 118:16	212:17 214:4	215:13,15,15	188:14,16,17,20
128:22 129:15	215:11 217:10	starting 32:1 51:2	188:21,23 189:1
178:14,25 195:21	spoke 13:6 34:2	54:21 199:16	189:16,18,20,23
196:11 200:15	107:20,23 155:3	210:20	189:24 190:3
202:10,14,19,22	172:12	starts 72:2	196:7,13 198:17
203:4 210:10	spoken 177:17	state 7:3,13,13 8:1	199:10 218:2
211:12 212:17,18	spreadsheet 119:7	20:16 87:16	steps 25:11
217:18	staff 64:6 127:23	117:22 143:21	sterling 140:16
sorting 66:23	stage 34:3	226:1,8	stock 28:5 40:5,5,9
southern 1:1 5:2	stages 34:21	stated 109:20	41:25 42:4,6
space 169:10	stamp 22:20 61:14	128:9 136:10	45:11,14,17 46:6,7
speak 88:24	stamped 62:18	186:7	46:8,9,17,19,24,25
144:12 147:20	stand 41:4 201:16	statement 3:21	50:17 52:4,9,11,12
185:7	standard 55:8	14:2,4 16:8 19:3,9	52:13,13,14 58:15
special 68:16	94:22 156:18	93:14 95:14 96:15	59:14 60:9,10
specialist 65:4	standing 21:20	96:16,19,19,20,23	68:6,7,11,12 69:9
specialized 45:8	24:1	97:8,8,9 98:13	69:14,15 70:9
45:22 93:7,11	stanley 29:7 30:9	99:7,13 100:7	72:16,17,23 73:9
203:4	33:16,17 67:17	109:2 146:12	

[stock - supplemental]

[stock - supplemental			rage 30
76:2,3,4 77:15,16	188:21,24,25	197:12 198:14	212:17 214:4
77:21 80:23 83:3	189:15 190:6,7	200:17,18 201:9	215:11 217:10
93:16,17 101:5,6,7	197:13,25 198:23	201:17 202:3	strong 208:21
101:10 102:20	201:19 202:12	211:8,9,11,12,12	studying 218:23
103:2,6,6,12,13,18	216:10,11,19	211:15,15,16,23	stuff 130:10
103:22 105:5,9,11	stock's 184:5	211:25 212:16,17	154:16 169:1,5,7
105:12,15 106:4	stocks 45:19 58:14	213:8 215:25	stupid 207:23
106:10,14,21,22	63:13,14,15,24,25	216:3,4,19 217:6	214:19 215:3
107:11,25 108:2	74:2 125:7 128:5	217:10,11,11,12	217:23 218:11,20
110:2,3,4,10,14,19	131:4 140:23	217:15,21 218:3,6	subject 15:22
111:6,12,14,17,19	145:22 165:25	218:8,11,15,19,23	17:11 102:22
114:21,21 116:2,6	184:6 185:18	218:24 219:10,11	188:13 200:24
116:9,13,18 117:2	195:1 196:18	219:12,25 220:4	223:6
117:7,11,12,20	200:20 201:25	street 73:17 75:16	subordinated
118:8,17,24 121:4	204:1,21 211:20	76:22 78:16 79:15	133:1 180:7,15
121:6,6,8 123:21	stop 96:13 200:14	86:15,17 88:19,21	subparagraph
123:23 125:13	200:14	101:12 124:8	174:10
126:2 128:2,14,20	stopped 19:20	125:20 128:13	successful 88:18
129:8 131:3	20:3 98:17 99:6	141:18 173:2	successfully 20:20
134:22 135:1	130:21 151:11	183:24 184:8	sudden 49:3 212:7
136:18,20 137:10	160:22,24	186:20 187:10	212:25 217:2
138:10,11,12,12	storage 130:9	196:1 215:2	sue 78:15 206:14
138:16,17,24	story 206:4 220:6	216:11 219:14	206:14 210:12
139:16,24 140:8	straddles 198:12	strengths 142:3	suffered 213:25
140:10,14 144:21	198:16,22 199:1,7	stretch 41:4	suggest 71:11
144:22 145:8,9,11	199:10,25 201:11	strictly 34:5	suggesting 33:22
145:13,14,21	strange 104:1	strike 13:24 14:19	suggestion 61:14
146:6,25 147:2,5,8	strategies 191:16	15:12 16:7,14	suing 210:15,17
147:10 148:1,2	strategy 13:23,24	17:8 18:9,18 19:9	sullivan 21:9
149:7 150:3,6,9,9	14:7,7,8,12,15,20	19:12,17 20:23	summarize 30:14
164:8 172:16	15:12 16:7,14,15	27:1 57:3 59:21	30:18 97:19
174:17,18,20,21	16:17,19 18:10,18	59:22 60:23 68:4	177:18
175:8,9,9,10,13,14	19:4,12,13 20:9,13	78:5,8,8 84:1,6	summarizing
175:15 176:6	20:14,19,22,24	85:11,19 92:7	30:15
177:20,22 178:9	31:12,16 85:20	98:17 99:16,25	summer 171:4
179:6 180:9	102:2,16 104:6	100:4 106:19	supervise 39:16,17
182:13 183:12,14	115:23 117:15	121:24 139:18	supervised 38:16
183:20,22 184:2	118:20,21,23	145:19 147:17	supervisor 38:13
184:17 185:25	126:10,11 137:24	148:17 149:11	66:16
186:1,8,10,16,17	149:11 164:5	160:22 161:13	supplemental
186:20 187:6	165:2 195:12	167:1 211:7,12,14	224:8
And the state of t	Vovitovt Loc		The state of the s

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 266 of 274

[supply - things]

supply 36:1 76:23	t	tape 91:7	terms 26:15 68:10
support 64:6	t 3:1,1,6,6 67:10	tax 17:2,6 28:10	79:17
187:20	162:20 223:1,1	31:5 130:2 198:1	terribly 207:23
supposed 108:15	224:1,1	198:3 199:23	terrific 67:7
supposedly 88:20	take 24:7 26:25	200:4,5 201:16	200:23
215:2	30:2 62:1 65:9	203:18,20	testified 6:5 30:13
supposition	74:18 75:12 84:22	taxed 198:7	30:16 31:3
131:13	91:9 99:10,23	taxes 198:12	testifying 16:1
sure 9:13 18:14	100:15 104:11	200:16 206:17	79:1
22:24 23:5,17	109:12 113:14	teach 141:5	testimony 15:10
32:23 39:6,25	118:11 130:19	teaching 141:4	19:8 33:24 82:20
41:10 43:24 55:13	141:20 147:17	teams 53:14	161:2 164:25
71:14 76:19 90:4	148:16 157:22	technology 77:1	223:6 226:11
102:13 144:13	163:4,9 165:5	tedious 163:9	thank 6:24 61:21
159:4 163:6 170:6	167:14 169:2	telephone 32:7	61:22 156:25
178:12,18 179:24	178:10 191:20	72:6 105:25 142:2	171:24
179:25 192:20,24	206:6 207:11,13	tell 13:7 16:5	theoretically
212:23 220:20	211:2,21 215:19	24:13 30:14 35:25	101:2 116:12,24
surfaced 41:13	215:20 216:21	39:22 41:5 47:15	120:16 139:17
surgeon 108:23	217:1 220:3 221:6	50:8 64:23 83:23	145:12 213:10
surgery 108:24	taken 1:20 2:21	101:5 104:3 135:5	theory 145:17
surprise 93:6	4:20 52:25 54:19	137:3,4 142:9	152:18 156:14
surprised 10:2	191:9 217:4 226:9	146:11 155:14	thereof 157:15
surprising 108:6	taker 216:9	205:22 220:3	thing 7:25 8:3 41:8
surveillance 51:17	takes 93:10 106:5	telling 26:8 125:11	66:23,24 75:22
swap 120:13	106:11 137:13	139:9 218:22	84:21 89:18
127:12	talk 47:4 177:3	tells 23:24	105:16 106:17
swapped 180:23	179:11 184:22	ten 42:14 56:12	109:16 119:25
swear 5:21	221:14	67:22 78:14 107:4	123:19 124:7
swiss 195:22	talking 25:23	116:13 117:2	139:2 140:21
196:25 197:1	37:15,17 39:9	204:10 215:24	142:14,21 152:6
sworn 6:4	56:14 99:15,17	term 31:17,17,17	154:12,24 155:12
system 37:5 52:2	100:8 103:1	31:18 34:9 143:18	158:4,9 171:2
57:24 58:2 64:4	105:19,20 136:6	162:16,18,19,20	189:17 200:3,9
64:12,14,15 65:21	143:15 146:9	169:12 198:3,7,8	202:15 203:17
66:14 67:6,12,14	147:3 153:16	198:13,13,22,24	207:23,25 209:2
67:15,19 68:5,8	177:4 196:22	199:7,8,25 200:1	216:14,14,21
69:4,5 70:6,7 72:8	217:8	200:10,10,12,16	things 25:5 45:3
72:9,10,13,21 77:2	talks 109:7 126:7	200:22,22 204:2,3	86:19 91:21 93:13
77:13,14,23	136:3 142:8	204:5 205:3	108:11 126:7
132:13 178:23	143:16,16,17	216:21	141:1 152:16

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 267 of 274

[things - trader]

[
156:1 183:17	ticket 40:4,21	times 29:24,25	106:20,25 108:3
201:14 210:19	148:11	32:11 46:19	109:22 110:22
think 10:15 13:4	tickets 63:20	127:17 140:3	114:10 116:4,7,11
22:1 24:14 28:17	79:18	145:21 150:6	116:14,15,20,21
31:4 34:2 41:8	time 4:15 5:5 7:2	176:13 184:1	117:13,19 120:23
44:24 45:13 49:16	7:16 8:7,14,22,25	189:11,18,19,25	121:8 126:8
50:1 54:18 60:4	9:4 11:14 12:16	timing 30:22	127:16 128:11,12
60:19,21 78:24	13:4,12 14:17	47:12	128:16 131:15,17
112:20 126:20	20:16 24:18 25:1	tired 41:3 192:2,4	134:7 135:2
127:24 136:12	32:1,22 33:11	192:15 220:21	136:15,25 137:5
140:11 147:19,21	36:12 37:15,16	title 104:3 143:10	139:6,7,9 145:16
151:4,5 154:21	38:7 41:14,22	today 4:14 21:20	164:15 172:19
167:2 177:17	43:10,19 45:13,24	24:1 35:9 72:7	178:1,10 179:21
179:10 180:17	49:16 50:1 52:18	78:7,11,18 107:1	179:25 181:6,17
185:8 220:18,18	52:23 54:24 55:2	125:11 220:19	181:18 182:13,19
220:21,22,23	55:4,8 56:8,18	221:3	183:1,5,8,19 185:4
thinking 121:14	58:8 64:3,12,21	tokyo 52:13 53:5	187:19,23 191:8
216:19	65:18,21 67:11	told 10:3 17:5	194:5 195:24
thinks 111:12,23	69:9 72:11,14,24	24:12 25:6 43:5	196:16 198:19
121:20	80:22,24 82:18	45:2 48:21 82:24	209:15 210:21
third 185:16	84:9 88:22 90:1	90:17 103:25	211:8 216:9
thought 59:22	91:13,17 92:3	138:9 141:5	traded 39:4 42:2
86:6 90:14 193:22	99:10 103:9	156:16 167:23	58:11,15 59:11
199:4 211:8 217:1	110:13 115:2	168:9 215:19	69:23 70:9 100:24
thoughts 221:2	120:23 126:16,19	219:18,21	101:3 103:9,13,18
thousands 24:4	126:23 127:24	tons 108:21	105:23 106:15,24
122:2 125:17	129:7 130:1	top 79:8,14 179:15	107:3 109:5
128:17 129:22	134:19 135:24	topics 6:12,13,15	111:17 114:24
130:13,18	136:6 148:19	6:17	119:6,9,11,12,22
threat 68:6	152:20 160:24,25	total 108:7 210:16	135:13 178:16
threaten 91:3	163:16,22 166:16	totally 96:11 120:9	179:16 182:10,11
three 6:20,20	167:23 168:8	touched 34:25	185:16 186:21
46:13 54:13 68:17	169:1 177:3	track 119:5,17	188:17,23 194:19
86:2 110:7,24	191:20,21 195:19	159:3 185:17	216:7
111:3,4,11,15,21	196:3 197:19	tracked 119:8	trader 36:14,19
163:21 166:2,7	198:1,2,15 201:4	trade 27:22 28:1	37:24 38:8,8,24
204:19 221:22	205:5 207:9	32:11 40:10,22	40:4,18,18,21
throw 204:19	208:10,19 209:23	42:13 44:10 47:10	43:17,17 44:19
thrown 144:13	211:7 213:7 215:7	53:20 54:2 97:12	51:14 117:15
thursday 110:5	219:5,23 220:14	97:20 99:13,14,18	119:25 137:17
	221:6,23	100:7 103:21,22	196:11

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 268 of 274

[trader's - turns]

trader's 42:22 traders 35:23 36:16 37:13 40:20 42:20 43:13 64:6 66:16 74:7 121:1 130:18 137:19	35:4,11,12,19,22 36:11,14 37:24 38:3,3,17 42:4,24 50:15 51:8,22	182:15 195:23 202:24 203:4,14 203:22 215:12,13	true 14:2 19:4 71:25 73:13,22
36:16 37:13 40:20 42:20 43:13 64:6 66:16 74:7 121:1	38:3,3,17 42:4,24 50:15 51:8,22		,
42:20 43:13 64:6 66:16 74:7 121:1	50:15 51:8,22	203:22 215:12,13	740102070
66:16 74:7 121:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		74:21,23 85:3
	700 700 1616	transactions 16:25	100:10,14 139:25
130:18 137:19	52:2 53:3,16,16	19:14,23 25:7,9	187:16,23 192:20
	54:20,23 56:19	26:14 28:9,21	223:5 226:11
172:7 183:4	57:7,10 59:5,7	30:17 31:11 33:24	trust 51:6 66:8
trades 25:13 27:1	62:13 67:19 70:6	56:12,13,15,17	125:5 126:5
27:21,25 28:5	72:9 92:17 93:3	92:19 100:20	185:20 186:12
31:1,9 32:12,18	93:10 95:5,22	109:9,10,14 112:3	209:4,5,7,10,14,16
34:8,8,15,25 36:2	104:6 106:4,6,11	124:9,24 125:18	209:23
37:8 39:2,19,20,24	106:24 107:16	125:19 128:1	trustee 2:7 5:15,18
39:25 40:2,10	117:24 118:5	129:24 133:15	7:22 45:5 54:19
41:13 54:14,25	119:9,22 137:14	176:22 178:14	62:10 129:2 132:7
56:10,10 59:2,23	137:19 144:20	182:7 191:12	152:19 155:2
63:23,25 68:4	154:13 157:11	199:5 202:8 205:6	156:10,16,24,25
78:5,8 84:2,6 92:7	158:1,15 164:5	211:4	157:2 158:23
92:8,11 98:12,17	166:5 169:6	transcript 3:9,10	159:22 160:7
99:6 100:5,9	171:10 172:8,9	3:11 12:3 21:1,7,8	179:2,3 209:20
105:11,12 106:20	175:25 181:5	27:4,7,11 147:20	218:4 219:23
107:1,4,5 108:2	183:10 185:6,8	223:4,6 226:4,10	trustee's 155:9,22
122:2 124:14,18	187:21 188:3,6,9	transfer 185:17,20	184:25
125:9 129:22	188:14,15,19	185:22,22 186:19	trustees 4:21
130:12,14,18,19	197:20 198:20	187:4	185:6
131:6 136:10	199:18 200:15	transfers 181:10	truth 13:7 18:7,12
139:18 140:9	208:9 211:9 212:1	187:14	try 99:22 103:7
145:19 174:11,25	tragic 89:18	travel 53:8	106:23 201:5,20
175:1,12 176:11	transact 43:2 96:1	treasuries 215:23	215:13
176:12,13,17,25	96:6	treasury 155:3,4	trying 62:10 68:13
177:2,8,9,10,21	transacted 95:3	161:16,17,18,23	102:23 107:19,21
178:16 184:20	175:15 176:5	161:24 162:2,7,11	107:21 152:18
186:20 187:20	183:23	162:16,25 163:1	214:18
188:16 189:5	transacting 96:8	215:21	tubes 193:23
197:2 198:23	transaction 31:19	tremendous	tuesday 110:5
199:4,4 200:8	31:25 94:23 95:3	217:19	turn 4:8 12:11
201:24 202:5	97:9 109:18,22,25	trial 84:14,18,20	21:12 174:8
216:5,24 217:7,9	110:2 117:21	tried 77:17 89:23	turned 7:18
218:25 219:3,14	118:1 119:2 120:4	206:13	181:22 207:6,20
219:16 220:2,3,3	120:13,14 124:5	triggered 16:21	219:19
trading 19:4 28:2	134:24 135:14	trillions 153:20,20	turns 87:5,15
28:3,14 32:4 35:2	150:13,15 181:19		201:21 219:19

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit | Pg 269 of 274

[tutor - violation]

[tutor violation]			
tutor 141:1,5	80:16 81:8 94:20	45:20 89:11	use 45:23 65:5
two 25:15 38:1,5	98:15 100:19	107:24 161:2	124:23 125:8,8
46:21 57:11 91:16	102:10 133:16	undo 119:1 202:8	134:16 135:1
117:3 119:11,12	148:24 158:22	undoing 56:22	158:2 166:11
119:12 144:11	162:15,15 164:10	unfair 71:3 108:10	183:9 185:16
147:25 162:3,4,21	170:10 173:17	unfortunately	199:1,6,12,25
166:4 174:10	174:13,19 176:9	49:17 68:4 77:14	200:8,17,19,19
176:13 203:13	177:12 180:13	85:9 191:25	201:9 224:8
204:16,18 215:24	182:5 186:4	unhappy 69:17	usually 106:2
type 45:9,22 158:4	190:18	70:2	116:21 190:5
182:23 195:24	ultimately 214:1	unheard 65:18	v
196:4 202:2 203:1	un 117:21	unique 120:1	v 4:24
203:4 208:9 209:2	uncomfortable	195:13 217:18	vacuum 40:8
212:9 213:11	191:13	united 1:1 5:2 21:9	vacuum 40.8 vanguard 79:12
types 38:2	uncommon 44:8	56:13 57:17 67:24	varied 29:25
typewriting	underlying 182:7	69:24 124:20	various 5:10 47:16
226:10	undersigned	199:2	53:7 54:23 78:3
typical 36:5 42:3	157:13	units 62:13 166:3	191:12
47:2 115:23	understand 14:3	university 60:19	vehicle 183:10
117:15 150:5	14:18 37:4 43:25	144:5	verbal 205:21
157:4 158:25	48:17 49:5,6 62:6	unpunished 74:10	208:15
188:17	84:3,7 88:10	unrealized 31:18	verbalize 171:18
typically 64:21	92:21 98:4 99:23	unregistered 11:7	verify 135:17
93:18 110:9	102:13 108:12,13	unsuccessful	veritext 4:14 5:20
116:11 118:14	121:14,18,19	55:22	versions 174:4
135:4 182:16,23	128:22,23 131:20	untrue 120:9	versus 21:9 134:7
183:4 185:16	131:22 135:2	unusual 47:7	videographer 2:18
186:8 190:11	140:19 151:19	unwind 120:4,12	4:4 5:19 8:21,24
u	160:15 184:12	202:8,16,23	22:9 52:17,22
u 104:16	190:23 202:11	unwinding 117:21	91:10,15 126:15
u.s. 7:19,23 8:7	213:18 214:20	117:25 181:4	126:18 163:15,20
9:14 45:6,24 60:6	216:6 217:12	unwound 122:24	221:21
60:9 69:18 161:17	223:4	180:23 181:17	videotaped 1:17
194:11,13,14,19	understanding	upmarket 20:15	2:20
195:1,5,9,16,18	15:21 108:8 109:2	uproar 167:18	violated 31:5,8
196:18 198:10	114:10 121:19	upstairs 65:5	violation 16:19
ubs 79:12	146:4 191:4	uptake 148:12	17:7,23 26:8
uh 12:21 21:14	understands	upward 20:20,23	32:19 78:12 95:18
	139:21	203:24	139:19 145:23,25
24:24 27:9 28:22			
24:24 27:9 28:22 50:2 62:2,8,20	understood 6:23 13:17 15:7 30:18	usaa 79:12	150:4,5,7,18

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 270 of 274

[violations - withdraw]

violations 28:10	80:5,24 85:9	wanting 26:5	weapon 142:15
virtually 105:23	86:14 90:21 91:21	125:12	143:10
voice 22:17	99:1,5,23,24	wants 73:11 75:3	wednesday 110:5
volatility 20:8	104:20 108:9	121:9 145:15	week 101:15
volume 20:9 54:23	115:14,15 117:11	146:6 150:11,12	216:21 217:1
56:13 63:21 64:1	126:6 131:12	150:12 186:25	weighted 65:2
64:3 65:1 67:24	133:10 136:18,20	187:3 212:6	weiss 7:20
106:4,6,22 109:4	136:20,23,24	war 20:2,17	wells 79:11 97:25
112:18 114:20	137:21,21 140:7,9	warned 82:21	98:5
115:1,3,3,5,6,10	140:13,13,14	washington 65:23	went 7:16 16:23
115:17,19 174:12	149:25 150:24,24	watch 53:16 67:6	25:2 46:4 67:8
176:24 217:7	151:7 152:5	watched 53:20	68:24 69:13 70:21
volumes 40:20	158:21 159:2,3	watching 54:2	73:24 119:11
79:17	160:8,9,21 163:4	waterhouse 208:9	120:11 124:20
vs 1:7 224:2	165:5 167:24,24	way 8:5 32:8	126:3 129:7,15
vwap 65:1	168:3,23 169:9	35:24 36:4,4 40:9	151:17 152:19
W	173:20 182:24	42:2 44:9 47:2	161:14,17,18,19
wachovia 79:12	187:7 188:12	48:16 64:21 65:9	161:23 172:25
wait 52:15 81:19	189:15 200:15	66:1 71:5 73:7,7	173:1 190:7 204:1
86:12 108:1	202:2,7,7,11,11,13	73:15 76:7,21	204:7,8,21 205:15
117:18 130:10	202:17,22,23	78:24 85:19,22	219:8
147:18 159:2	205:3,18 209:18	90:20 97:10	wharton 60:20
205:25	209:20 211:17	103:11 107:7	wheels 144:20
wall 37:23 73:17	212:25 213:18,18	115:12 118:11	whispering 4:7
78:16 79:15 86:15	215:20,21,21	119:23 123:14	whistleblower
86:17 88:19,21	220:15,23 221:4	127:6 135:13	218:18
101:12 124:8	wanted 20:9 32:2	153:4 170:2 181:3	wholesale 58:24
125:20 141:18	32:3,8,12 42:23	182:22 184:20,21	wholesaler 217:16
196:1 215:2	55:13 64:19,20	194:10,21 201:10	wife 7:16,17,18
219:13	66:11,12 69:19	203:19,19 206:7	willing 11:11 53:8
walled 38:12	70:9 75:18 78:23	207:9 208:25	57:18 76:23
walls 141:18	86:1 88:3,4 89:3	216:11,17,23	201:18
want 6:8 9:25 13:9	123:2 124:21,22	ways 40:3 144:13	win 219:24
15:25 17:22 18:4	136:17 139:13	197:14	wind 110:12,18
22:15,24 23:11	140:15 167:21	we've 17:10 52:25	206:25
24:5,6 30:14,14	188:21 195:4,7,8	91:19 112:18	wiped 160:9
31:4 33:23 41:4	195:15 196:17	115:16,17,17	wires 25:7
43:6 64:24,24	199:12 205:23,23	165:6 200:11	wise 201:16
65:11,12 73:2,5,6	206:7 208:14	220:18	wish 224:4
74:15 75:5,7,14,16	212:3,14,14 213:8	wealthy 195:7	withdraw 85:14
76:2,2,4,6,17,18			
,-, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,			

[withdrawals - york]

withdrawals	85:13 92:23 93:18	writers 49:22	171:11 172:23
151:13	94:2 106:8 107:7	writes 180:5	185:14 189:3
withdrawn 90:8	110:1 111:23	writing 18:10 44:6	190:4 191:3 192:1
withdrew 90:10	115:24 116:4,12	written 32:6 43:16	192:14,18 200:24
161:19	122:12 131:2	88:13 104:15	214:7 220:22
witness 2:13 3:2	134:18 136:4,16	142:7 208:1	221:9,11,18,18
5:4,21 8:17 11:2	140:8 143:21	wrong 11:9,21	year 56:3 78:14
15:16,20 18:20	148:5 149:24	22:2,3 24:17,17	127:8 200:21,21
21:23,25 22:4,12	150:4 157:17	40:4 89:2 92:13	201:2,19 202:13
22:16 23:11,19	167:16 183:20	93:13 95:9 104:20	217:3
27:9 30:21 41:6	189:10 203:15	139:15,24 145:19	years 7:1,8 9:10
41:11 48:3,9,21	212:12 213:5	146:24 150:2	9:11 16:22 38:15
53:16 62:14 82:3	214:10	168:1 188:10	41:14,15 49:13,14
82:5,7,16,18,23	work 9:13 20:19	190:24 191:8	49:15 50:24 51:2
83:1,20 85:8 91:8	32:18 34:5 45:11	216:16 219:11,20	53:20 55:22 56:7
94:14,21 102:10	98:12 107:24	220:4	69:12 88:11,25
104:5,13 105:1,16	132:17 143:15	wrongdoing 7:14	90:8,11 108:10
105:18 112:15	201:17 212:20	11:5 90:24	119:11 129:18,20
113:2,8,12 114:7	213:20 216:23	wrote 58:6 182:2	129:20 130:4,8,22
126:20 142:11,15	219:5	187:18 191:8	130:25 133:20
142:22,24 143:1,3	worked 9:12 35:25	X	134:2 155:18,21
147:12,24 148:5	58:8 144:6,8	x 1:2,10,14 3:6,6	162:21 199:18
149:5,22 155:16	203:23 204:12	39:23 41:24,25	200:12 204:22,24
157:24 158:2,8	working 28:17	137:12 182:13	214:18
171:13,15,16,20	60:1,13 69:11		yell 130:6
171:23 173:11,15	179:2 215:8 219:7	y	yelling 73:4
173:17 174:2	works 40:10 45:12	y 104:16	yen 194:3
175:22 176:19	93:10 109:3	yeah 6:22 12:23	york 1:1 2:5,5,10
191:15,24 192:3	world 59:18 75:24	17:20 18:25 22:16	2:10,15 5:3 25:2
192:11,18,22,25	86:6 88:16 211:11	29:25 37:9 48:6,6	50:17 52:4 55:9
193:6,14 226:11	world's 218:17	49:18 53:24 63:4	58:15 60:1 68:6,7
witnesses 49:2	worried 206:20	71:25 80:4,5,18	68:11,12 69:9,14
woltz 33:11	207:5	81:10,21 82:21	69:15,20,21 70:9
wonder 88:21	worry 207:19	99:3,12 112:8,25	70:13,17,23 71:18
wooden 40:21	208:15,16,23	113:1,6 114:1,1,6	71:22,23 72:3,4,23
word 46:9,10	worth 116:13,17	133:3 134:12	73:9,10,14,19,24
101:21	wound 77:24	138:14 142:19,22	77:15,16,21 95:4
words 14:9 16:6	206:15 207:22	142:24 143:1,1,19	102:20 103:12,13
31:4 34:10 43:12	write 43:8 137:6	153:17 157:24	103:18,21 106:4
57:11 70:8 75:5	224:5	161:25 162:9,12	106:10,14,21,22
	I .	162:24 163:1,13	107:11,16,25

08-01789-cgm Doc 21338-1 Filed 04/05/22 Entered 04/05/22 13:36:00 Exhibit Exhibit 1 Pg 271 of 273

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit | Pg 272 of 274

[york - zero] Page 45

108:2 114:21 121:21 122:3 174:17,21 175:9 175:13 176:5 177:20,22 178:9 179:6 yup 23:21 27:8

Z

zabel 159:23 160:3 **zero** 183:2,3,5

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I Pg 273 of 274

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF SEPTEMBER 1,

2014. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

08-01789-smb Doc 16237-9 Filed 06/26/17 Entered 06/26/17 14:02:19 Exhibit I

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.